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## Summary

We prove a 'slightly non-abelian' version of the classical Eilenberg-Zilber theorem: if $K, L$ are simplicial sets, then there is a strong deformation retraction of the fundamental crossed complex of the cartesian product $K \times L$ onto the tensor product of the fundamental crossed complexes of $K$ and $L$. This satisfies various side-conditions and associativity/interchange laws, as for the chain complex version. Given simplicial sets $K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}$, we discuss the $r$-cube of homotopies induced on $\pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)$ and show these form a coherent system.

We introduce a definition of a double crossed complex, and of the associated total (or codiagonal) crossed complex. We introduce a definition of homotopy colimits of diagrams of crossed complexes. We show that the homotopy colimit of crossed complexes can be expressed as the total complex of a certain 'twisted' simplicial crossed complex, analogous to Bousfield and Kan's definition of simplicial homotopy colimits as the diagonal of a certain bisimplicial set. Using the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem we show that the fundamental crossed complex functor preserves these homotopy colimits up to a strong deformation retraction. This is applied to give a small crossed resolution of a semidirect product of groups.

We consider a simplicial enrichment of the category of crossed complexes, and investigate the coherent homotopy structure up to which a simplicial enrichment may be given to the fundamental crossed complex functor.

We end with a definition of homotopy coherent functors from a small category to the category of crossed complexes, and suggest a definition of homotopy colimits of such functors and of a small crossed resolution of an arbitrary group extension.
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## Chapter 0

## Introduction

The motivation for this thesis has come from two directions: firstly, from a wish to give a definition of homotopy colimits in a situation where cartesian products are replaced by tensor products, and secondly from an investigation of small resolutions for groups which arise as products, semidirect products or extensions. Both of these rely on the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem, and it has turned out that we have considered the second by translating it into the language of the first.

We have also chosen to carry out this investigation in the context of crossed complexes. This is a category of algebraic objects similar to chain complexes but with some non-abelian information in dimensions one and two. The crossed complex has been around since [42], and following [14] and [19] it may also be thought of as a reduced form of a simplicial groupoid. The extra structure shared by chain and crossed complexes which is not available (currently) for simplicial groups is that of having a "geometrically-motivated" tensor product, and this has been essential for our work.

The Eilenberg-Zilber theorem in its original form [22, 21] gives for simplicial sets $K, L$ a chain homotopy equivalence

$$
C_{N}(K) \otimes C_{N}(L) \simeq C_{N}(K \times L)
$$

where $C_{N}(K)$ is the normalised free chain complex on the simplicial set $K$. This theorem is now part of the general knowledge of algebraic topology, but although it seems clear that it is true for crossed complexes also there has been no explicit proof given. Writing $\pi K$ for the fundamental crossed complex of a simplicial set $K$, we have obtained a strong deformation retraction of $\pi(K \times L)$ onto $\pi K \otimes \pi L$ satisying certain side conditions and interchange relations, exactly as in the chain complex case except that in low dimensions the formulæ for the tensor product and the homotopy equivalence contain non-abelian information.

We have also extended some of the basic constructions available for crossed complexes of groupoids, defining a double crossed complex as well as a total crossed complex which behaves nicely with respect to the tensor product. A total crossed complex func-
tor for simplicial crossed complexes has also been defined, and this has been used in defining homotopy colimits.

Since limits and colimits of topological spaces, simplicial sets or chain complexes do not behave well when the spaces, etc., are varied up to homotopy equivalence, it is natural to consider the notions of homotopy limits and homotopy colimits. For example the mapping cylinder, double mapping cone and 'telescope' are all well known examples of homotopy colimit constructions. However the topological space or algebraic structure which represents a particular homotopy colimit will itself only be determined up to homotopy equivalence, and this has led to much interest in setting up formal machinery to provide particular nice models for homotopy limits and colimits for arbitrary diagrams. In this thesis we have given a definition for homotopy colimits in the category of crossed complexes.

In fact the diagrams over which the homotopy colimit is taken need only be functorial up to homotopy rather than on the nose, and there has been a lot of work recently on notions of lax or homotopy coherent functors and their homotopy limits and colimits. This work has been carried out mainly in the context of simplicially-enriched categories, or sometimes Cat- or Top-enriched categories. In this thesis we have tried to extend such ideas to monoidal closed categories which satisfy an Eilenberg-Zilber type theorem, although we have not completely achieved this ambition.

The standard crossed resolution [11] $C(G)$ of a group $G$ is defined by applying the fundamental crossed complex functor to the simplicial set given by the nerve of $G$. This gives a complex of groups whose first homology group is $G$ with all higher homology groups trivial, and which is also free in that it has a presentation where the only relations are those defining the boundary maps and quotienting out degenerate simplices.

However $C(G)$ is not the only resolution of $G$ with this freeness property, and there may be other models which are smaller. For instance an application of the EilenbergZilber theorem shows that $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ is a deformation retract of the standard resolution of $G \times H$, and is free by the definition of the tensor product. We have given in this thesis a resolution of a semidirect product of groups which is a deformation retract of the standard resolution and which takes the form of a twisted tensor product. Also we have given a candidate for a resolution of an arbitrary extension of groups as a more general twisted tensor product. Both of these arose by considering the data in terms of a homotopy colimit of an appropriate (lax) functor.

### 0.1 Structure of Thesis

We begin in chapter 1 by considering the notion of a double crossed complex, analogous to the bisimplicial set or to the bichain complex in the abelian situation. Our definition of a double crossed complex is essentially that of a crossed complex of groupoids internal
to the category of crossed complexes of groupoids (similar to the definition of a double category as a category internal to the category of small categories).

A "total" functor is then defined from the category of double groupoids to the category of crossed modules, and this is extended to a functor

from double crossed complexes to crossed complexes. The total crossed complex $D$ of a double crossed complex $C$ is essentially that given by generators $c_{i, j} \in D_{n}$ for all elements of $C_{i, j}$ with $i+j=n$, subject to certain "geometrical" relations which are similar to those in the Brown-Higgins definition of the tensor product of crossed complexes [12]. In fact our definition is constructed so that given a pair of crossed complexes $A, B$ there is an obvious double crossed complex whose total crossed complex is the tensor product $A \otimes B$.

We also define a total functor from the category of simplicial crossed complexes.
In chapter 2 the definition of homotopy between crossed complex homomorphisms is recalled, in terms of homomorphisms $h: \mathcal{I} \otimes C \rightarrow D$ from cylinder objects and of degree one maps ( $\phi_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ ), and it is shown that a homotopy from an idempotent endomorphism to the identity can be replaced by a splitting homotopy, which satisfies certain extra 'side-conditions' of the form $h^{2}=0$ and $h \delta h=-h$. In particular deformation retractions can be replaced by strong deformation retractions.

For $X$ a bisimplicial set and $\nabla X$ the simplicial set given by the Artin-Mazur diagonal [1], a natural comparison map is given from $\pi \nabla X$ to the total complex of the fundamental double crossed complex of $X$. This is shown to give the diagonal approximation $a: \pi(K \times L) \rightarrow \pi K \otimes \pi L$ in the case $X_{p, q}=K_{p} \times L_{q}$. The shuffle map $b$ in the other direction is given, and $b \circ a$ is shown to be the identity map on the tensor product. The associativity relations are also proved for both $a$ and $b$, as well as an 'interchange' relation.

As an elementary application, it is shown how the diagonal approximation map gives a coalgebra structure on the fundamental crossed complex of a simplicial set, and a multiplication structure on the simplicial nerve of a crossed complex.

We then show that for simplicial sets $K, L$, there is a natural homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{h} \pi(K \times L)
$$

between $a \circ b$ and the identity, and it is proved that $h$ satisfies some interchange relations with respect to $a$ and $b$.

For simplicial sets $K, L, M$ the deformation retraction $h$ induces two distinct deformation retractions of $\pi(K \times L \times M)$ onto $\pi K \otimes \pi L \otimes \pi M$. However these are themselves homotopy equivalent. In fact there is shown to be a coherent system of such homotopies
in each dimension; if $K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}$ are simplicial sets, then the homotopy coherence information is recorded by an $r$-fold homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times K_{1} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \longrightarrow \pi\left(K_{0} \times K_{1} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

satisfying certain boundary conditions.
In chapter 3 we examine the usual definition of homotopy colimits in the category of categories [38] and of simplicial sets [4], and consider an alternative definition of the latter which uses the Artin-Mazur diagonal of a bisimplicial set rather than the usual diagonal. Thomason [38] showed that the nerve functor from Cat to simplicial sets preserves homotopy colimits up to weak homotopy equivalence. With the alternative definition we prove in theorem 3.2.12 that the nerve functor preserves homotopy colimits up to isomorphism.

We then define a notion of homotopy colimits in the monoidal closed category of crossed complexes. We show that our first coend definition of homotopy colimits can be rewritten in terms of the total complex of a particular simplicial crossed complex, as defined in chapter 1. The main result of this thesis is theorem 3.3.11 in which we use the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem of chapter 2 to prove that the fundamental crossed complex functor from simplicial sets to crossed complexes preserves homotopy colimits up to strong deformation retraction.

We also recall that semidirect products of groups are given by the homotopy colimit in Cat of the diagram corresponding to the group action. Applying the standard crossed resolution functor to the diagram and then taking the homotopy colimit in Crs, we thus obtain a crossed resolution of a semidirect product which is a deformation retract of the standard one. This is expressed in terms of a twisted tensor product of standard resolutions.

In chapter 4 we use the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem to investigate a simplicial-setenriched structure on the category of crossed complexes. We show that with respect to such a structure the nerve functor from crossed complexes to simplicial sets has a simplicial enrichment, but that the fundamental crossed complex functor only has an enrichment up to a system of higher homotopies given by those of section 2.3.2. We also investigate how the adjunction between the nerve and fundamental crossed complex functor behaves with respect to the simplicial enrichment. We do not present any applications of the results found here, although we expect a tidy treatment of homotopy colimits of lax functors into crossed complexes would rely on the structures presented here. Also this chapter is intended as input for the work by Brown, Golasiński, Porter and the author [7] in which a systematic treatment of equivariant homotopy theory for crossed complexes is being developed.

In the final chapter we give a tentative 'low-tech' definition of homotopy colimits for lax/coherent diagrams of crossed complexes, taking our inspiration from [39] and [16]. The implications for giving a small resolution of an arbitrary group extension are also
discussed. We end with some remarks about possible future directions for the development of the work in this thesis.

## Chapter 1

## Double Crossed Complexes

### 1.0 Introduction

In this chapter we introduce double crossed complexes as the "rank 2" generalisation of crossed complexes of groupoids. The fundamental crossed complex functor

is extended to functors between the categories of bisimplicial sets, simplicial crossed complexes and double crossed complexes:

and the tensor product of crossed complexes is extended to total crossed complex functors on the categories of simplicial crossed complexes and double crossed complexes:


The structure of the chapter is as follows. In the first section, we recall the definitions of categories, groupoids, crossed modules and crossed complexes. Also the definition of a double category as a category internal to Cat is discussed. The notion of a double crossed complex is then introduced, as a crossed complex of groupoids internal to Crs.

In the second section, we show how to associate a crossed module to a double groupoid, and extend this to a definition of the total crossed complex associated to a
double crossed complex. A construction of a double crossed complex from a pair of crossed complexes is then given such that the associated total complex is their tensor product.

In the third section, we begin by recalling the definitions of simplicial and cosimplicial objects and the fundamental crossed complex functor on simplicial sets. This functor is then extended to the categories of bisimplicial sets and simplicial crossed complexes. We also define the total crossed complex associated with a simplicial crossed complex.

### 1.1 Definitions

### 1.1.1 Groupoids and crossed complexes

We begin by recalling some standard definitions.
Definition 1.1.1 A (small) category $\mathbf{C}$ consists of

1. an object set $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$,
2. a set of arrows (morphisms) $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C})$,
3. source and target functions $s, t$ from $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$,
4. a function $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{e} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C})$ which gives the identity arrow at an object,
5. a partially defined function $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \times \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{m} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C})$ which gives the composite of two arrows.

We will usually write $e_{x}$ or $1_{x}$ for $e(x)$ and $a \circ b$ or $a \cdot b$ for $m(b, a)$. The data satisfy the following axioms:

1. The composite $a \circ b$ of two arrows is defined if and only if $t(a)=s(b)$, and then $s(a \circ b)=s(a)$ and $t(a \circ b)=t(b)$,
2. $s\left(e_{x}\right)=t\left(e_{x}\right)=x$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, and $a \circ e_{t(a)}=e_{s(a)} \circ a=a$ for all $a \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathbf{C}$,
3. If either of $a \circ(b \circ c)$ or $(a \circ b) \circ c$ are defined then both are and they are equal.

Definition 1.1.2 A functor $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{D}$ between two categories is given by a pair of functions $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D}), \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{D})$ which commute with the source, target and identity functions of the two categories and which respect the compositions.

For $\mathbf{C}$ a category and $x, y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, the set of arrows $a$ such that $s(a)=x$ and $t(a)=y$ is written $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$ and termed a hom-set. If $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$ is empty whenever $x, y$ are distinct (that is, if $s=t$ ), then $\mathbf{C}$ is termed totally disconnected.

A groupoid is a category in which every morphism is an isomorphism, that is, for any arrow $a$ there exists a (necessarily unique) arrow $a^{-1}$ such that $a \circ a^{-1}=e_{s(a)}$ and $a^{-1} \circ a=e_{t(a)}$. A monoid is a category whose object set is a singleton, and a group is a monoid which is a groupoid.

Definition 1.1.3 Suppose $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are two groupoids over the same object set and $\mathbf{C}$ is totally disconnected. Then an action of $\mathbf{D}$ on $\mathbf{C}$ is given by a partially defined function

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{D}) \times \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \\
(d, c) \longmapsto c^{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

which satisfies:

1. $c^{d}$ is defined if and only if $t(c)=s(d)$, and then $t\left(c^{d}\right)=t(d)$,
2. $\left(c_{1} \circ c_{2}\right)^{d_{1}}=c_{1}^{d_{1}} \circ c_{2}^{d_{1}}$ and $\left(e_{x}\right)^{d_{1}}=e_{y}$,
3. $c_{1}^{d_{1}{ }_{1} d_{2}}=\left(c_{1}^{d_{1}}\right)^{d_{2}}$ and $c_{1}^{e_{x}}=c_{1}$,
for all $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbf{C}(x, x), d_{1} \in \mathbf{D}(x, y), d_{2} \in \mathbf{D}(y, z)$.
For example if $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ is the largest totally disconnected subcategory of a groupoid $\mathbf{C}$ then $\mathbf{C}$ acts on $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ by $a^{c}=c^{-1} \circ a \circ c$. Note that definition 1.1.3 makes sense when $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are categories rather than groupoids. However we will not need this extra generality.

Suppose $\mathbf{D}$ is a groupoid with object set $O$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is a totally disconnected groupoid over $O$ equipped with a $\mathbf{D}$-action. If each group $\mathbf{C}(x, x)$ is abelian then $\mathbf{C}$ will be termed a $\mathbf{D}$-module, and if $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ are $\mathbf{D}$-modules then a functor $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ defines a homomorphism of $\mathbf{D}$-modules iff it is the identity on the object set and respects the actions of $\mathbf{D}$. The category of $\mathbf{D}$-modules and their homomorphisms will be written $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathrm{D}}$.

Definition 1.1.4 A crossed module of groupoids consists of a pair of groupoids C,D over a common object set, with $\mathbf{C}$ totally disconnected, together with an action of $\mathbf{D}$ on $\mathbf{C}$ and a functor $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{D}$ which is the identity on the object set and satisfies

1. $\delta\left(c^{d}\right)=d^{-1} \circ \delta c \circ d$,
2. $c^{\delta c^{\prime}}=c^{\prime-1} \circ c \circ c^{\prime}$
for $c, c^{\prime} \in \mathbf{C}(x, x), d \in \mathbf{D}(x, y)$.
A crossed module of groups is a crossed module of groupoids as above in which $\mathbf{C}$, D are groups.

Definition 1.1.5 A crossed complex of groupoids $C$ is given by

1. a crossed module of groupoids $C_{2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} C_{1}$ with object set $C_{0}$,
2. for each $i \geq 3$, a $C_{1}$-module $C_{i}$ and a functor $C_{i} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}} C_{i-1}$ which is the identity on the object set and respects the $C_{1}$-actions.

These data satisfy the following conditions for $i \geq 3$ :

1. $\delta_{i} \circ \delta_{i-1}$ is zero, that is, maps $c_{i} \in C_{i}$ to $e_{t\left(c_{i}\right)} \in C_{i-2}$,
2. the image of $\delta_{2}$ acts trivially on $C_{i}$.

A crossed complex of groups is a crossed complex of groupoids in which $C_{0}$ is a singleton, and hence each $C_{i}, i \geq 1$, is a group.

A crossed complex of groupoids is often written diagrammatically as follows

$$
\ldots \ldots \cdots \cdot C_{4} \xrightarrow{\delta_{5}} C_{3} \xrightarrow{\delta_{4}} C_{2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} C_{1} \xrightarrow[t]{\stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow}} C_{0}
$$

The category of crossed complexes of groupoids and their homomorphisms will be denoted by Crs.

### 1.1.2 Internal categories and double groupoids

If $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are two small categories, then their product $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$ is that category with object set $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and set of arrows $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{C}) \times \operatorname{Arr}(\mathbf{D})$ and the structure maps defined componentwise. The internal hom object $[\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}]$ is the category whose objects are all functors from $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ and whose arrows are the natural transformations between them. The category Cat of all small categories is complete, cocomplete and cartesian closed, as is the full subcategory Gpd of groupoids. In particular the completeness means that internal categories in Cat may be considered.

Definition 1.1.6 A category $\mathcal{C}$ internal to a category $\mathbf{D}$ is given by objects and morphisms

$$
\operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C}) \underset{e}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{m} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C})
$$

where $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C})$ is the pullback in $\mathbf{D}$ of $(s, t)$. These data are required to satisfy

1. $e \circ s=1$ and $e \circ t=1$, the identity morphism at $\mathbf{O b}(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{D}$,
2. $m \circ s=\pi_{2} \circ s$ and $m \circ t=\pi_{1} \circ t$, where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are the projection maps from the pullback to $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C})$,
3. $l \circ m=1$ and $r \circ m=1$, where $l, r$ are the maps to the pullback from $\operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C})$ induced by $(1, s \circ e),(t \circ e, 1)$ respectively,
4. $(1, m) \circ m=(m, 1) \circ m$.

Thus a category internal to the category of sets is just a small category as in definition 1.1.1. A category internal to the category of small categories is termed a double category and may be defined more explicitly as follows:

Definition 1.1.7 A double category $\mathcal{A}$ is given by a set $A$ of squares, sets $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of horizontal and vertical arrows, and a set $A_{0}$ of vertices, and functions $s_{i}, t_{i}, e_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ as shown in the diagrams below:

together with partially defined horizontal compositions $\circ_{1}: A \times A \rightarrow A, \circ_{1}: A_{2} \times A_{2} \rightarrow$ $A_{2}$, and vertical compositions $\circ_{2}: A \times A \rightarrow A, \circ_{2}: A_{1} \times A_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}$, such that the following axioms are satisfied:

1. The horizontal data $\left(A, A_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, e_{1}, \circ_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}, A_{0}, s_{1}, t_{1}, e_{1}, \circ_{1}\right)$ define category structures.
2. The vertical data $\left(A, A_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}, e_{2}, o_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A_{1}, A_{0}, s_{2}, t_{2}, e_{2}, o_{2}\right)$ define category structures.
3. The horizontal structure maps $s_{1}, t_{1}, e_{1}, o_{1}$ are functorial with respect to the vertical category structures (and hence vice-versa). That is
(a) $s_{i} s_{j}=s_{j} s_{i}, t_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} t_{i}$ and $s_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} s_{i}$ for $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.
(b) $s_{i}\left(a \circ_{j} b\right)=s_{i} a \circ_{j} s_{i} b$ for $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.
(c) $t_{i}\left(a \circ_{j} b\right)=t_{i} a \circ_{j} t_{i} b$ for $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.
(d) $e_{i}\left(a \circ_{j} b\right)=e_{i} a \circ_{j} e_{i} b$ for $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$.
(e) $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{1}$.
(f) The horizontal and vertical compositions satisfy an interchange law - if the expressions $\left(a \circ_{1} b\right) \circ_{2}\left(c \circ_{1} d\right)$ and $\left(a \circ_{2} c\right) \circ_{1}\left(b \circ_{2} d\right)$ are both defined, then they are equal.

A double groupoid is a double category in which all the category structures are groupoids. Note that taking inverses in one direction is automatically functorial in the other. In the case that all the category structures are monoids, or groups, we have the following well-known proposition.

Proposition 1.1.8 Double monoids are abelian monoids.
Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{A}=\left(A,\left\{*_{1}\right\},\left\{*_{2}\right\},\left\{*_{0}\right\}\right)$ is a double monoid, and $g, h \in A$. Then $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{1}$ gives $e_{1} *_{1}=e_{2} *_{2}=*$ say, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g \circ_{1} h=\left(g \circ_{2} *\right) \circ_{1}\left(* \circ_{2} h\right)=\left(g \circ_{1} *\right) \circ_{2}\left(* \circ_{1} h\right)=g \circ_{2} h \\
& g \circ_{1} h=\left(* \circ_{2} g\right) \circ_{1}\left(h \circ_{2} *\right)=\left(* \circ_{1} h\right) \circ_{2}\left(g \circ_{1} *\right)=h \circ_{2} g
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $o_{1}=o_{2}$ and the multiplication is commutative.

### 1.1.3 Double crossed complexes

The category Crs of crossed complexes of groupoids is also complete, cocomplete and cartesian closed (see [26] for details of this last construction). In this section we introduce a notion of a double crossed complex of groupoids by considering crossed complexes of groupoids internal to the category Crs.

Definition 1.1.9 A double crossed complex of groupoids consists of

1. A collection of sets $C_{i, j}$ for $i, j \geq 0$,
2. source, target and identity maps

$$
C_{i, j} \underset{e_{1}}{\stackrel{s_{1}, t_{1}}{\rightleftarrows}} C_{0, j} \quad C_{j, i} \stackrel{s_{2}, t_{2}}{\underset{e_{2}}{\rightleftarrows}} C_{j, 0}
$$

for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$, with $s_{1}=t_{1}$ and $s_{2}=t_{2}$ for $i \geq 2$,
3. partially defined compositions and actions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{i, j} \times C_{i, j} \xrightarrow{\circ_{1}} C_{i, j} & C_{1, j} \times C_{k, j} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} C_{k, j} \\
C_{j, i} \times C_{j, i} \xrightarrow{\circ_{2}} C_{j, i} & C_{j, 1} \times C_{j, k} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} C_{j, k}
\end{array}
$$

for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0, k \geq 2$,
4. horizontal and vertical boundary maps

$$
C_{i, j} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}} C_{i-1, j} \quad C_{j, i} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{v}}} C_{j, i-1}
$$

for $i \geq 2, j \geq 0$.

These data are such that

1. for each $j \geq 0$ the horizontal structure $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{i \geq 0}, s_{1}, t_{1}, e_{1}, \circ_{1}, \alpha_{1},\left(\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)_{i \geq 2}\right)$ defines a crossed complex,
2. for each $i \geq 0$ the vertical structure $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{j \geq 0}, s_{2}, t_{2}, e_{2}, o_{2}, \alpha_{2},\left(\delta_{j}^{\mathbf{v}}\right)_{j \geq 2}\right)$ defines a crossed complex,
3. the horizontal structure maps commute with the vertical structure maps. That is:
(a) the functions $s_{1}, t_{1}, e_{1}, \delta^{\mathrm{h}}$ define crossed complex morphisms between the vertical crossed complexes, as do $s_{2}, t_{2}, e_{2}, \delta^{\mathrm{V}}$ between the horizontal ones,
(b) for each $i, j \geq 1$ the structure $\left(C_{i, j}, C_{0, j}, C_{i, 0}, C_{0,0},\left(s_{k}, t_{k}, e_{k}, o_{k}\right)_{k=1,2}\right)$ defines a double groupoid,
(c) the horizontal and vertical actions satisfy an interchange law - if the expressions $\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}(r, q), \alpha_{1}(p, a)\right)$ and $\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}(r, p), \alpha_{2}(q, a)\right)$ are both defined, then they are equal.

A double crossed complex of groupoids may be represented diagrammatically as follows


The category of double crossed complexes of groupoids and their homomorphisms will be written $\mathrm{Crs}^{(2)}$.

A reduced double crossed complex consists of a double crossed complex as defined above such that the set $C_{0,0}$ is a singleton. Note that this is not the same as a crossed
complex of groups internal to the category of crossed complexes of groups, in which $C_{i, 0}$ and $C_{0, i}$ are singletons for all $i \geq 0$ and hence $C_{i, j}$ is an abelian group for all $i, j \geq 1$.

Our intention is to show that the double crossed complex plays a rôle similar to that of the bichain complex in the abelian situation, or to that of the bisimplicial set. Note that taking the diagonal of a double crossed complex does not define a crossed complex as we might have liked. In the next section, however, we will see that there is an appropriate notion of a codiagonal or total crossed complex of a double crossed complex.

### 1.2 Some Algebraic Constructions

### 1.2.1 The total module of a double groupoid

If $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are categories over a common object set $O$, then the free product of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, written $\mathbf{C} *_{O} \mathbf{D}$, is the coproduct of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ in the category $\mathbf{C a t}{ }_{O}$ of categories over $O$ and functors which are the identity on objects. Alternatively, writing $\mathbf{O}$ for the subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ with object set $O$ and no non-identity arrows, the free product may be defined as the following pushout in Cat


Definition 1.2.1 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}=\left(A, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{0}\right)$ is a double groupoid. Then define the total crossed module of $\mathcal{A}$ to be the crossed module $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{D}$ where $\mathbf{D}$ is the groupoid $A_{1} *_{A_{0}} A_{2}$. The crossed $\mathbf{D}$-module $\mathbf{C}$ has generators $a$ corresponding to the squares in $A$ with source and target functions both given by $t_{1} t_{2}$, identities given by $e_{1} e_{2}$ and the boundary map given by

$$
a \stackrel{\delta}{\longmapsto} t_{1} a^{-1} \circ s_{2} a^{-1} \circ s_{1} a \circ t_{2} a,
$$

which are subject to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \circ a_{2}^{t_{2} a_{1}}=a_{2} \circ_{1} a_{1} \\
& a_{1}^{t_{1} a_{2}} \circ \text { if }_{2} s_{1}=t_{1} a_{2}, \\
& a_{1} a_{1} \circ_{2} a_{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { if } t_{2} a_{1}=s_{2} a_{2} .
$$

for $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$.

The base points, boundary maps and composition relations for $\mathbf{C}$ may be seen geometrically from the following diagrams:


We will see below that this definition of the total crossed module generalises a construction of Brown and Higgins which associates a crossed module to a pair of groupoids.

### 1.2.2 The total complex of a double complex

Suppose $\mathbf{D}_{1}, \mathbf{D}_{2}$ are groupoids over a common object set $O$. Then a functor $\mathbf{D}_{1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{D}_{2}$ which is the identity on $O$ induces a functor $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{D}_{2}} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{D}_{1}}$. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a $\mathbf{D}_{2}$-module then the module $f^{*}(\mathbf{C})$ has the same underlying groupoid as $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ acts on this by $(d, c) \longmapsto c^{f(d)}$.

The left adjoint $f_{*}$ to the functor $f^{*}$ defines the induced module construction. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a $\mathbf{D}_{1}$-module then the induced module $f_{*}(\mathbf{C})$ may be defined as follows. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be the totally disconnected category over $O$ generated by arrows $(c, d) \in \mathbf{E}(y, y)$ for all $c \in \mathbf{C}(x, x), d \in \mathbf{D}_{2}(x, y)$, subject to the relations

1. $\left(c_{1}, d\right) \circ\left(c_{2}, d\right)=\left(c_{1} \circ c_{2}, d\right)$,
2. $\left(e_{x}, d\right)=e_{y}$,
3. $\left(c, f\left(d_{1}\right) \circ d_{2}\right)=\left(c^{d_{1}}, d_{2}\right)$,
4. $(c, d) \circ\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)=\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \circ(c, d)$
where $c, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbf{C}(x, x), c^{\prime} \in \mathbf{C}(w, w), d, d_{1} \in \mathbf{D}(x, y), d_{2} \in \mathbf{D}(y, z), d^{\prime} \in \mathbf{D}(w, y)$. Then $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ acts on $\mathbf{E}$ by $(c, d)^{d_{2}}=\left(c, d \circ d_{2}\right)$, and this defines $f_{*}(\mathbf{C})$.

If $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{D}_{1}$ is a crossed module and $f$ is as above, then an induced crossed $\mathbf{D}_{2^{-}}$ module $f_{*} \mathbf{C}$ may also be defined [8]. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be the category-with- $\mathbf{D}_{2}$-action given by the same presentation as in the previous paragraph except that the commutativity relation (4) is replaced by

$$
4^{\prime} .\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \circ(c, d) \circ\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)=\left(c, d \circ d^{\prime-1} \circ f \delta\left(c^{\prime}\right) \circ d^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then the induced crossed module $f_{*} \mathbf{C}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{D}_{2} \\
(c, d) \longmapsto d^{-1} \circ f \delta(c) \circ d
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{D}$ is the free product $\mathbf{D}_{1} *_{O} \mathbf{D}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ a (crossed) module over $\mathbf{D}_{1}$, say, then we write $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ for the induced (crossed) module over $\mathbf{D}$.

We can now introduce a total complex functor on the category of double crossed complexes of groupoids.

$$
\mathbf{C r s}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\text { Tot }} \mathbf{C r s}
$$

Suppose $C$ is a double crossed complex. Then the associated total complex is the crossed complex $\operatorname{Tot}(C)$ defined as follows

- The set $\operatorname{Tot}(C)_{0}=O$ is given by $C_{0,0}$.
- The groupoid $\operatorname{Tot}(C)_{1}=P$ is given by the free product of $C_{1,0}$ and $C_{0,1}$ over $O$.
- The crossed module $\delta_{2}: \operatorname{Tot}(C)_{2} \rightarrow P$ is given by the coproduct of the induced crossed $P$-modules $C_{2,0}^{*}$ and $C_{0,2}^{*}$ and the total crossed $P$-module associated to the double groupoid ( $C_{1,1}, C_{0,1}, C_{1,0}, C_{0,0}$ ) as discussed in section 1.2.1.
- For $m \geq 3$, the abelian $P$-module $\operatorname{Tot}(C)_{m}$ is defined as the coproduct of abelian $P$-modules $M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$. Each $M_{i}$ is in turn defined from a $P$-module $N_{i}$ by imposing the relation $a^{\delta_{2} b}=a$ for all $a \in N_{i}, b \in \operatorname{Tot}(C)_{2}$ such that $t(a)=t(b)$. The $P$-modules $N_{0}, N_{m}$ are given by the induced modules $C_{m, 0}^{*}, C_{0, m}^{*}$ respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ we give the abelian $P$-module $N_{i}$ in terms of generators and relations. Generators $a$ of $N_{i}$ correspond to elements of $C_{m-i, i}$, with source and target functions given by $t_{1} t_{2}$ and identities by $e_{1} e_{2}$. In the case $i=1$ these are subject to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{t_{2} b}=\alpha_{1}(b, a) \\
& a_{1} \circ a_{2}=a_{1} \circ_{1} a_{1} a \\
& \text { if } t_{1} a_{1} b, \\
& a_{1}^{t_{1} a_{2}} \circ t_{1} a_{2}, \\
&=a_{1} \circ_{2} a_{2} \text { if } t_{2} a_{1}=s_{2} a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a, a_{1}, a_{2} \in C_{m-1,1}, b \in C_{1,1}$, and in the case $i=m-1$ to the relations

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
a^{t_{1} b} & =\alpha_{2}(b, a) & \text { if } t_{2} a=s_{2} b, \\
a_{1} \circ a_{2}^{t_{2} a_{1}} & =a_{2} \circ a_{1} & \text { if } s_{1} a_{1}=t_{1} a_{2}, \\
a_{1} \circ a_{2} & =a_{1} \circ_{2} a_{2} & \text { if } t_{2} a_{1}=t_{2} a_{2}
\end{array}
$$

for $a, a_{1}, a_{2} \in C_{1, m-1}, b \in C_{1,1}$. For $2 \leq i \leq m-2$ the relations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{t_{2} b_{1}}=\alpha_{1}\left(b_{1}, a\right) \text { if } t_{1} a=s_{1} b_{1}, \\
& a_{1}^{t_{1} b_{2}}=\alpha_{2}\left(b_{2}, a\right) \\
& a_{1} \circ a_{2}=t_{2}=s_{2} b_{2}, \\
& a_{1} \circ{ }^{2} a_{2} \text { if } t_{1} a_{1}=t_{1} a_{2}, \\
& a_{1} \circ a_{2}=a_{1} \circ_{2} a_{2} \text { if } t_{2} a_{1}=t_{2} a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, a_{1}, a_{2} \in C_{m-i, i}, b_{1} \in C_{1, i}, b_{2} \in C_{m-i, 1}$. The boundary map $\delta_{m}$ is the module homomorphism induced by the functions $N_{i} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(C)_{m-1}$ given on generators by

$$
a \longmapsto \begin{cases}\delta_{m}^{\mathrm{h}} a & \text { for } i=0 \\ \delta_{m}^{\mathrm{v}} a & \text { for } i=m \\ \delta_{m-1}^{\mathrm{h}} a \circ\left(\left(t_{2} a\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{2} a\right)^{t_{1} a}\right)^{(-1)^{m-1}} & \text { for } i=1 \\ \left(\left(t_{1} a\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{1} a\right)^{t_{2} a}\right) \circ\left(\delta_{m-1}^{\mathrm{v}} a\right)^{-1} & \text { for } i=m-1 \\ \delta_{m-i}^{\mathrm{h}} a \circ\left(\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{v}} a\right)^{(-1)^{m-i}} & \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq m-2\end{cases}
$$

Collecting the various formulæ together we can give the following definition of Tot in terms of generators and relations.

Proposition 1.2.2 Suppose $C$ is a double crossed complex of groupoids. Then $\operatorname{Tot}(C)$ is the crossed complex of groupoids given by generators $c_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Tot}(C)_{n}$ for all $c_{i, j} \in C_{i, j}$ with $n=p+q$, satisfying the following relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } s c_{1,0}=s_{1} c_{1,0} \\
& s c_{0,1}=s_{2} c_{0,1} \\
& t c_{i, 0}=t_{1} c_{i, 0} \quad \text { for } i \geq 1 \\
& t c_{0, j}=t_{2} c_{0_{j}} \quad \text { for } j \geq 1 \\
& t c_{i, j}=t_{1} t_{2} c_{i, j} \text { for } i, j \geq 1 \\
& \text { 2. } \delta_{2} c_{1,1}=\left(t_{1} c_{1,1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{2} c_{1,1}\right)^{-1} \circ s_{1} c_{1,1} \circ t_{2} c_{1,1} \\
& \delta_{i} c_{i, 0}=\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} c_{i, 0} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2 \\
& \delta_{j} c_{0, j}=\delta_{j}^{v} c_{0, j} \quad \text { for } j \geq 2 \\
& \delta_{i+1} c_{i, 1}=\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} c_{i, 1} \circ\left(\left(t_{2} c_{i, 1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{2} c_{i, 1}\right)^{t_{1} c_{i, 1}}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \text { for } i \geq 2 \\
& \delta_{j+1} c_{1, j}=\left(\left(t_{1} c_{1, j}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{1} c_{1, j} j^{t_{2} c_{1, j}}\right) \circ\left(\delta_{j}^{\mathrm{v}} c_{1, j}\right)^{-1} \text { for } j \geq 2\right. \\
& \delta_{i+j} c_{i, j}=\delta_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} c_{i, j} \circ\left(\delta_{j}^{\mathrm{v}} c_{i, j}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \quad \text { for } i, j \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1}\left(c_{1, j}, c_{i, j}\right) & =c_{i_{2 j}}^{t_{2} c_{1, j}} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2 \\ \alpha_{2}\left(c_{i, 1}, c_{i, j}\right) & =c_{i, i, 1}^{t_{1}} \quad \text { for } j \geq 2\end{aligned}$
4. $c_{1, j} \circ \circ_{1} c_{1, j}^{\prime}=c_{1, j}^{\prime} \circ c_{1, j}^{t_{2} c_{1, j}^{\prime}}$ for $j \geq 1$
$c_{i, j} \circ c_{i, j}^{\prime}=c_{i, j} \circ c_{i, j}^{\prime} \quad$ for $j=0$ or $i \geq 2$
$c_{i, 1} \circ \circ_{2} c_{i, 1}^{\prime}=c_{i, 1}^{t_{1} c_{i, 1}^{\prime}} \circ c_{i, 1}^{\prime} \quad$ for $i \geq 1$
$c_{i, j} \circ_{2} c_{i, j}^{\prime}=c_{i, j} \circ c_{i, j}^{\prime} \quad$ for $i=0$ or $j \geq 2$

### 1.2.3 Tensor products and double complexes

In this section we will consider a functor

$$
\mathrm{Crs} \times \mathrm{Crs} \xrightarrow{\otimes^{(2)}} \mathrm{Crs}^{(2)}
$$

whose composite with the functor Tot defined above gives the tensor product of crossed complexes as defined in [12].

Definition 1.2.3 Suppose $C, D$ are crossed complexes. Then the double crossed complex $C \otimes{ }^{(2)} D$ is defined as follows

- Each set $\left(C \otimes^{(2)} D\right)_{i, j}$ is given by the cartesian product $C_{i} \times D_{j}$. Elements $(c, d)$ will be written $c \otimes d$.
- The horizontal crossed complex structures are defined by the crossed complex structure on $C$ and the vertical structures by that on $D$. That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1}(c \otimes d) & = & s(c) \otimes d & s_{2}(c \otimes d)
\end{aligned}=c \otimes s(d)
$$

where defined.
Proposition 1.2.4 The above definitions for the structure maps of $C \otimes^{(2)} D$ are consistent with the double crossed complex axioms.

Proof: Clear. As an illustration, note that $t_{1}(c \otimes d)=s_{1}\left(c^{\prime} \otimes d^{\prime}\right)$ implies $d=d^{\prime}$ as well as $t c=s c^{\prime}$, so we are indeed able to define the horizontal compositions by those of $C$. We are actually using the fact that the coproduct of crossed complexes of groupoids (but not of crossed complexes of groups) is given by disjoint union, and so the copower can be defined by a cartesian product.

We now define the tensor product $C \otimes D$ of two crossed complexes $C, D$ to be the total complex of $C \otimes^{(2)} D$. More explicitly, we have the following presentation.

Proposition 1.2.5 Given crossed complexes of groupoids $C, D$, the tensor product $C \otimes$ $D$ is the crossed complex of groupoids given by generators $c_{i} \otimes d_{j} \in(C \otimes D)_{i+j}$ for all $c_{i} \in C_{i}, d_{j} \in D_{j}$, satisfying the following relations

$$
\text { 1. } \begin{aligned}
s\left(c_{1} \otimes d_{0}\right) & =s c_{1} \otimes d_{0} \\
s\left(c_{0} \otimes d_{1}\right) & =c_{0} \otimes s d_{1} \\
t\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{0}\right) & =t c_{i} \otimes d_{0} \quad \text { for } i \geq 1 \\
t\left(c_{0} \otimes d_{j}\right) & =c_{0} \otimes t d_{j} \quad \text { for } j \geq 1 \\
t\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{j}\right) & =t c_{i} \otimes t d_{j} \quad \text { for } i, j \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $\quad \delta_{2}\left(c_{1} \otimes d_{1}\right)=\left(t c_{1} \otimes d_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes s d_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ s c_{1} \otimes d_{1} \circ c_{1} \otimes t d_{1}$

$$
\delta_{i}\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{0}\right)=\delta_{i} c_{i} \otimes d_{0} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2
$$

$$
\delta_{j}\left(c_{0} \otimes d_{j}\right)=c_{0} \otimes \delta_{j} d_{j} \quad \text { for } j \geq 2
$$

$$
\delta_{i+1}\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{1}\right)=\delta_{i} c_{i} \otimes d_{1} \circ\left(\left(c_{i} \otimes t d_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{i} \otimes s d_{1}\right)^{t c_{i} \otimes d_{1}}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \quad \text { for } i \geq 2
$$

$$
\delta_{j+1}\left(c_{1} \otimes d_{j}\right)=\left(\left(t c_{1} \otimes d_{j}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s c_{1} \otimes d_{j}\right)^{c_{1} \otimes t d_{j}}\right) \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes \delta_{j} d_{j}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { for } j \geq 2
$$

$$
\delta_{i+j}\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{j}\right)=\delta_{i} c_{i} \otimes d_{j} \circ\left(c_{i} \otimes \delta_{j} d_{j}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \quad \text { for } i, j \geq 2
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 3. } & c_{i}^{c_{1}} \otimes d_{j}=\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{j}\right)^{c_{1} \otimes t d_{j}} & \text { for } i \geq 2 \\
& c_{i} \otimes d_{j}^{d_{1}}=\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{j}\right)^{t c_{i} \otimes d_{1}} & \text { for } j \geq 2 \\
\text { 4. } & c_{i} \otimes\left(d_{1} \circ d_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(c_{i} \otimes d_{1}\right)^{t c_{i} \otimes d_{1}^{\prime} \circ c_{i} \otimes d_{1}^{\prime}} & \text { for } i \geq 1 \\
& c_{i} \otimes\left(d_{j} \circ d_{j}^{\prime}\right)=c_{i} \otimes d_{j} \circ c_{i} \otimes d_{j}^{\prime} & \text { for } i=0 \text { or } j \geq 2 \\
& \left(c_{1} \circ c_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes d_{j}=c_{1}^{\prime} \otimes d_{j} \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes d_{j}\right)_{1}^{c_{1}^{\prime} \otimes t d_{j}} & \text { for } j \geq 1 \\
& \left(c_{i} \circ c_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes d_{j}=c_{i} \otimes d_{j} \circ c_{i}^{\prime} \otimes d_{j} & \text { for } j=0 \text { or } i \geq 2
\end{array}
$$

Proof: Follows directly by substitution of the definitions of 1.2.3 into the formulæ of proposition 1.2.2.

It should be noted that our definition of Tot in the previous section was guided by the principle that the definitions of the tensor product in Crs given here and in [12] should agree.

Remark 1.2.6 If $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$ are groupoids, then we may form a double groupoid from them by considering

with the horizontal structure maps induced from $\mathbf{G}$ and the vertical ones from $\mathbf{H}$. It is clear in this case that the associated total crossed module, as defined in section 1.2.1, is precisely that encountered previously by Brown and Higgins in [12] as the tensor product of $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$ regarded as crossed complexes which are trivial above dimension one.

### 1.3 Functors from Simplicial Categories

### 1.3.1 Simplicial sets

Let $\Delta$ be the category with objects the ordered sets $[n]=\{0<1<\cdots<n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ and arrows the order preserving functions between them. Recall that the arrows are in fact generated by the injections $d(i):[n-1] \rightarrow[n](0 \leq i \leq n)$ which miss out the $i$ th element and the surjections $s(i):[n+1] \rightarrow[n](0 \leq i \leq n)$ which repeat the $i$ th element.

A simplicial object in a category $\mathbf{C}$ is a functor $C \bullet$ from $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ to $\mathbf{C}$. Equivalently, by considering the images under $C_{\bullet}$ of $[n], d(i)$, and $s(i)$, a simplicial object may be given by a family of objects $\left(C_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbf{C}$ together with arrows $d_{i}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ (face maps) and
$s_{i}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ (degeneracy maps) in $\mathbf{C}$ which satisfy the usual simplicial relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i} d_{j}=d_{j-1} d_{i} \\
& d_{i} s_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
s_{j-1} d_{i} & \text { for } i<j \\
\text { id } i<j \\
s_{j} d_{i-1} & \text { for } i=j \text { for } i>j
\end{array} \text { or } i=j+1\right. \\
& s_{i} s_{j}=s_{j+1} s_{i} \\
& \text { for } i \leq j
\end{aligned}
$$

We will write $\mathbf{S i m p C}$ for the category $\left[\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C}\right]$ of simplicial objects in $\mathbf{C}$.
Similarly a cosimplicial object $C^{\bullet}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ may be given by a family of objects $\left(C^{n}\right)$ and coface and codegeneracy arrows $d^{i}, s^{i}$ satisfying the dual relations.

In particular, we will consider the category of simplicial objects in Set, the category of sets, together with the fundamental crossed complex functor

$$
\text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi} \text { Crs }
$$

from simplicial sets to crossed complexes which is defined as follows:
Definition 1.3.1 For $K_{\bullet}$ a simplicial set, $\pi\left(K_{\bullet}\right)$ is the crossed complex $C$ generated by $\left[a_{n}\right] \in C_{n}$ for all $n$-simplices $a_{n} \in K_{n}$, such that the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
{\left[s_{0} a_{0}\right]} & =e_{\left[a_{0}\right]} \text { in } \pi\left(K_{\bullet}\right)_{1} \\
{\left[s_{i} a_{n}\right]} & =e_{t\left[a_{n}\right]} \text { in } \pi\left(K_{\bullet}\right)_{n+1} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
s\left[a_{1}\right] & =\left[d_{1} a_{1}\right] & \\
t\left[a_{n}\right] & =\left[d_{0}^{n} a_{n}\right] \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\delta_{2}\left[a_{2}\right] & =\left[d_{0} a_{2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{2} a_{2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} a_{2}\right] \\
\delta_{3}\left[a_{3}\right] & =\left[d_{1} a_{3}\right] \circ\left[d_{2} a_{3}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{0} a_{3}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{3} a_{3}\right]^{\left[d_{0} d_{1} a_{3}\right]} & \\
\delta_{n}\left[a_{n}\right] & =\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[d_{i} a_{n}\right]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \circ\left(\left[d_{n} a_{n}\right]^{\left[d_{0} d_{1} \ldots d_{n-2} a_{n}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{n+1}} & \text { for } n \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

We will often omit the brackets around the generators.
The first two relations say that degenerate simplices in each $K_{n}$ may be ignored. The other relations are boundary relations and are often known as the homotopy addition
theorem [41, IV.6]. They may be seen geometrically as follows


Note that other equivalent presentations of the functor may be given by choosing alternative basepoints or signs for the generators. The presentation given here is that which leads to the tidiest formulæ later.

### 1.3.2 Simplicial crossed complexes

We now consider the category SimpCrs of simplicial objects in the category of crossed complexes of groupoids. To fix the notation we shall consider the crossed complex structures as being 'horizontal' and the simplicial structures as being 'vertical', as in the following definition.

Definition 1.3.2 A simplicial crossed complex (of groupoids) $C$ is given by

1. A collection of sets $C_{i, j}$ for $i, j \geq 0$,
2. source, target and identity maps

$$
C_{i, j} \stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows} C_{0, j}
$$

for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$, with $s=t$ for $i \geq 2$,
3. partially defined compositions and actions

$$
C_{i, j} \times C_{i, j} \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{i, j} \quad C_{1, j} \times C_{k, j} \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_{k, j}
$$

for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0, k \geq 2$,
4. (horizontal) boundary maps

$$
C_{i, j} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}} C_{i-1, j}
$$

for $i \geq 2, j \geq 0$,
5. (vertical) face maps and degeneracy maps

$$
C_{i, j+1} \underset{s_{q}}{\stackrel{d_{p}}{\rightleftarrows}} C_{i, j}
$$

for $i, j \geq 0,0 \leq p \leq j+1,0 \leq q \leq j$.
These data are such that

1. for each $j \geq 0$ the horizontal structure $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{i \geq 0}, s, t, e, \circ, \alpha,\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 2}\right)$ defines a crossed complex of groupoids,
2. for each $i \geq 0$ the vertical structure $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{j \geq 0},\left(d_{p}\right),\left(s_{q}\right)\right)$ defines a simplicial set,
3. the face and degeneracy maps define homomorphisms between the horizontal crossed complex structures.

Note that the (horizontal) source maps $s$ should not be confused with the (vertical) degeneracy maps $s_{q}$.

The formulæ of definition 1.3.1 may also be used to also define a functor

$$
\text { SimpCrs } \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathrm{Crs}}} \text { Crs }^{(2)}
$$

from the category of simplicial crossed complexes to the category of double crossed complexes, simply by taking the definition of $\pi$ internal to the category $\mathbf{C r s}$. If $C$ is a simplicial crossed complex, then $\pi_{\text {Crs }}(C)$ has vertical crossed complexes structures given by applying $\pi$ to the simplicial sets $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{j \geq 0},\left(d_{p}\right),\left(s_{q}\right)\right)$ for each $i \geq 0$, and horizontal crossed complex structures those induced from the crossed complexes $\left(\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{i \geq 0}, s, t, e, \circ, \alpha,\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 2}\right)$ for each $j \geq 0$.

A bisimplicial object $C_{\bullet, \bullet}$ in a category $\mathbf{C}$ is a simplicial object in $\mathbf{S i m p C}$, or alternatively a functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \times \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We will write $C_{m, n}$ for the image of ( $[m],[n]$ ) under $C_{\bullet, \bullet}$, and define the horizontal and vertical face and degeneracy maps $d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}, s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$, $d_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}, s_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}$ by the images of $(d(i), 1),(s(i), 1),(1, d(i)),(1, s(i))$ respectively. The category [ $\left.\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \times \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{C}\right]$ of all such bisimplicial objects will be denoted BiSimpC.

Note we can define a functor

$$
\text { BiSimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text {Simp }}} \text { SimpCrs }
$$

from bisimplicial sets to simplicial crossed complexes by taking definition 1.3.1 internal to the category of simplicial sets. Furthermore the composite functor $\pi_{\text {Simp }} \circ \pi_{\text {Crs }}$ gives the fundamental double crossed complex of a bisimplicial set

$$
\text { BiSimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi^{(2)}} \mathbf{C r s}^{(2)}
$$

If $K, L$ are simplicial sets then we can form a bisimplicial set which in dimension $(i, j)$ has the set $K_{i} \times L_{j}$, with the horizontal face and degeneracy maps coming from $K$ and the vertical ones from $L$. This gives a functor

$$
\text { SimpSet } \times \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\times^{(2)}} \text { BiSimpSet }
$$

Note that the following diagram commutes:


### 1.3.3 The total complex of a simplicial crossed complex

Suppose $C$ is a simplicial crossed complex as in definition 1.3.2. We have seen above how to define a double crossed complex $\pi_{\mathrm{Crs}}(C)$ from $C$. We can therefore make the following definition:

Definition 1.3.3 The (simplicial) total functor from simplicial crossed complexes to crossed complexes is the composite of the functor $\pi_{\text {Crs }}$ and the total crossed complex functor defined in section 1.2.2.


This construction will play an important part in the definition of homotopy colimits of crossed complexes in chapter 3.

We have immediately

## Proposition 1.3.4 The following diagram commutes



Proof: $\quad$ Since S-Tot $=\pi_{\mathrm{Crs}} \circ$ Tot and $\pi^{(2)}=\pi_{\text {Simp }} \circ \pi_{\mathrm{Crs}}$, the result follows by associativity of functor composition. (Diagrammatically: putting in the diagonal arrow $/ \pi_{\text {Crs }}$ gives two commutative triangles).

We can also present the total complex of a simplicial crossed complex in terms of generators and relations.

Proposition 1.3.5 Suppose $C$ is a simplicial crossed complex of groupoids. Then $\mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot}(C)$ is the crossed complex of groupoids given by generators $\left[c_{i, j}\right] \in \operatorname{S-Tot}(C)_{n}$ for all $c_{i, j} \in C_{i, j}$ with $n=i+j$, satisfying the following relations

1. $\left[s_{0} c_{0,0}\right]=e_{\left[c_{0,0}\right]}$ in $\operatorname{S-Tot}(C)_{1}$ $\left[s_{k} c_{i, j}\right]=e_{t\left[c_{i, j}\right]}$ in $\operatorname{S-Tot}(C)_{i+j+1} \quad$ for $i+j \geq 1,0 \leq k \leq j$
2. $s\left[c_{1,0}\right]=\left[s c_{1,0}\right]$
$s\left[c_{0,1}\right]=\left[d_{1} c_{0,1}\right]$
$t\left[c_{0, j}\right]=\left[d_{0}^{j} c_{0, j}\right] \quad$ for $j \geq 1$
$t\left[c_{i, j}\right]=\left[t t_{0}^{j} c_{i, j}\right]$ for $i \geq 1, j \geq 0$
3. $\quad \delta_{i}\left[c_{i, 0}\right]=\left[\delta_{i} c_{i, 0}\right]$
for $i \geq 2$
$\delta_{2}\left[c_{0,2}\right]=\left[d_{0} c_{0,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{2} c_{0,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{0,2}\right]$ $\delta_{3}\left[c_{0,3}\right]=\left[d_{1} c_{0,3}\right] \circ\left[d_{2} c_{0,3}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{0} c_{0,3}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{3} c_{0,3}\right]^{\left[d_{0}^{2} c_{0,3}\right]}$ $\delta_{j}\left[c_{0, j}\right]=\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left[d_{k} c_{0, j}\right]^{(-1)^{k+1}} \circ\left(\left[d_{j} c_{0, j}\right]^{\left[d_{0}^{j-1} c_{0, j}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}} \quad$ for $j \geq 4$ $\delta_{2}\left[c_{1,1}\right]=\left[t c_{1,1}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{1,1}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[s c_{1,1}\right] \circ\left[d_{0} c_{1,1}\right]$
$\delta_{i+1}\left[c_{i, 1}\right]=\left[\delta_{i} c_{i, 1}\right] \circ\left(\left[d_{0} c_{i, 1}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{i, 1}\right]^{\left[t c_{i, 1}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \quad$ for $i \geq 2$ $\delta_{3}\left[c_{1,2}\right]$ $=\left[d_{0} c_{1,2}\right] \circ\left[s c_{1,2}\right]^{\left[d_{0} d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[t c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{\left[t d_{0} c_{1,2}\right]}$
$\delta_{j+1}\left[c_{1, j}\right]=\left(\left[t c_{1, j}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[s c_{1, j}\right]^{\left[d_{0}^{j} c_{1, j}\right]}\right)$

- $\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left[d_{k} c_{1, j}\right]^{(-1)^{k}} \circ\left(\left[d_{j} c_{1, j}\right]^{\left[t d_{0}^{j-1} c_{1, j}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \quad$ for $j \geq 3$
$\delta_{i+j}\left[c_{i, j}\right] \stackrel{k=0}{=}\left[\delta_{i} c_{i, j}\right]$
- $\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left[d_{k} c_{i, j}\right]^{(-1)^{i+k+1}} \circ\left(\left[d_{j} c_{i, j}\right]^{\left[t d_{0}^{j-1} c_{i, j}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{i+j+1}} \quad$ for $i, j \geq 2$

4. $\left[\alpha\left(c_{1, j}, c_{i, j}\right)\right]=\left[c_{i, j}\right]^{\left[d_{0}^{j} c_{1, j}\right]}$ for $i \geq 2$
5. $\left[c_{1, j} \circ c_{1, j}^{\prime}\right]=\left[c_{1, j}^{\prime}\right] \circ\left[c_{1, j}\right]^{\left[d_{0}^{j} c_{1, j}^{\prime}\right]}$ for $j \geq 1$
$\left[c_{i, j} \circ c_{i, j}^{\prime}\right]=\left[c_{i, j}\right] \circ\left[c_{i, j}^{\prime}\right] \quad$ for $j=0$ or $i \geq 2$
Proof: Fairly routine. The least straight-forward boundary relation is that for $\delta_{3}\left[c_{1,2}\right]$ in Tot $\pi_{\mathrm{Crs}}(C)$. In $\pi_{\mathrm{Crs}}(C)$ we have

$$
\delta_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\left[c_{1,2}\right]=\left[d_{0} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ_{2}\left[d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ_{2}\left[d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]
$$

where the inverses are with respect to $\mathrm{o}_{2}$. Using the relation $c_{1,1} \circ_{2} c_{1,1}^{\prime}=c_{1,1}^{t_{1} c_{1,1}^{\prime}} \circ c_{1,1}^{\prime}$ from proposition 1.2.2 we see that $o_{2}$-inverse of $c_{1,1}$ is given by taking $\left(c_{1,1}{ }^{\left(t c_{1,1}\right)^{-1}}\right)^{-1}$ in the total complex, and the above boundary relation becomes

$$
\delta_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\left[c_{1,2}\right]=\left(\left[d_{0} c_{1,2}\right]^{\left[t d_{0} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[t d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[t d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{\left[t d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[t d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]}\right)^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]
$$

Note that this is just

$$
\delta_{2}^{\mathrm{v}}\left[c_{1,2}\right]=\left(\left[d_{0} c_{1,2}\right]^{\delta_{2}\left[t c_{1,2}\right]}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[d_{2} c_{1,2}\right]^{\left[t d_{0} c_{1,2}\right] \circ \delta_{2}\left[t c_{1,2}\right]}\right)^{-1} \circ\left[d_{1} c_{1,2}\right]
$$

Substituting this into the relation

$$
\delta_{3} c_{1,2}=\left(\left(t_{1} c_{1,2}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s_{1} c_{1,2}\right)^{t_{2} c_{1,2}}\right) \circ\left(\delta_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} c_{1,2}\right)^{-1}
$$

from proposition 1.2.2, and recalling the crossed complex axiom $a_{2}^{-1} b_{2} a_{2}=b_{2}^{\delta_{2} a_{2}}$, we get the required result.

## Chapter 2

## The Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem

### 2.0 Introduction

In this chapter we prove the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for crossed complexes: given simplicial sets $K, L$, there are natural homomorphisms

$$
\pi K \otimes \pi L \underset{a}{\stackrel{b}{\rightleftarrows}} \pi(K \times L)
$$

such that $b \circ a$ is the identity, and a homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{h} \pi(K \times L)
$$

between $a \circ b$ and the identity. Associativity and interchange relations for $a, b$ and $h$ are also proved.

We also show that any homotopy between an idempotent crossed complex endomorphism and the identity may be replaced by a homotopy which satisfies certain side-conditions, and in particular if $h$ is the deformation retraction of the EilenbergZilber theorem we may assume that the corresponding degree one map $\phi: x \mapsto h(\iota \otimes x)$ satisfies

$$
\phi^{2}(x)=e, \quad \phi(b(x))=e, \quad a(\phi(x))=e, \quad \phi \delta \phi(x)=(\phi(x))^{-1}
$$

The Eilenberg-Zilber theorem is also shown to extend to give $r$-fold homotopies

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \longrightarrow \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

satisfying certain boundary relations.
The structure of the chapter is as follows. In the first section, we begin with a review of the definitions of homotopy in Crs. This is essentially an exposition of material dating back to [42]. A splitting homotopy is then defined, and it is proved that any homotopy between an idempotent endomorphism and the identity may be replaced by a splitting homotopy. This result for chain complexes may be found in [30].

In the second section, we define the diagonal approximation map $a$ and the shuffle homomorphism $b$. We prove that $b$ is a one-sided inverse to $a$, and that $a$ and $b$ are associative and satisfy an 'interchange' relation. Some connection is shown between the Artin-Mazur diagonal of a bisimplicial set and the diagonal approximation map $a$, and between $a$ and a construction by Brown and Gilbert of a simplicial group from a braided regular crossed module.

In the third section the homotopy $h$ between $a \circ b$ and the identity is defined, using simplicial operators for the high-dimensional work as in the chain complex situation. We also show that $h$ satisfies four interchange relations with respect to $a$ and $b$, two of which in the chain complex case were shown by Shih [33]. We then use these relations to show that the higher homotopies on $\pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)$ induced by $h$ form a coherent system.

### 2.1 Homotopy Theory of Crossed Complexes

### 2.1.1 Homotopy of morphisms

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the groupoid

with object set $O=\{0,1\}$ and non-identity arrows $\iota: 0 \rightarrow 1$ and its inverse $\iota^{-1}: 1 \rightarrow 0$. We will often regard $\mathcal{I}$ as a crossed complex which in dimensions $\geq 2$ has only the trivial groupoid over $O$. Given any crossed complex $C$ note that there are natural monomorphisms

$$
C \underset{i_{1}}{\stackrel{i_{0}}{\Longrightarrow}} \mathcal{I} \otimes C
$$

defined on generators by $i_{\alpha}: c \mapsto \alpha \otimes c$ for $\alpha=0$ or 1 .
Definition 2.1.1 Suppose $C, D$ are crossed complexes, and $f, g: C \rightarrow D$ are homomorphisms between them. A homotopy $h$ from $f$ to $g$, written $h: f \simeq g$, is given by a crossed
complex homomorphism $h: \mathcal{I} \otimes C \rightarrow D$ such that the following diagram commutes


The following proposition is standard.
Proposition 2.1.2 The relation of homotopy given by $\simeq$ is an equivalence relation.
Proof: For reflexivity, we note that $i_{0}$ and $i_{1}$ have a common one-sided inverse $e$ given by the homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{e} C
$$

which maps $0 \otimes c_{n}$ and $1 \otimes c_{n}$ to $c_{n}$ and maps $\iota \otimes c_{n}$ to the identity at $t c_{n}$ in $C_{n+1}$. Thus if $f: C \rightarrow C$ is a crossed complex homomorphism, the composite of $e$ with $f$ defines a homotopy $f \simeq f$ which we will write as $0_{f}$.

For symmetry we use the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathcal{I}$ which induces a homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{I} \otimes C
$$

mapping $\iota \otimes c$ to $\iota^{-1} \otimes c$. Thus if $h$ is a homotopy $f \simeq g$, the composite of $s$ with $h$ defines a homotopy $g \simeq f$ which we will write as $\bar{h}$.

For transitivity we consider (vertical) composition of homotopies. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the groupoid

$$
0 \underset{\jmath^{-1}}{\stackrel{\jmath}{\rightleftarrows}} 1 \underset{\kappa^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows} 2
$$

with three objects $0,1,2$ and non-identity arrows $\jmath: 0 \rightarrow 1$ and $\kappa: 1 \rightarrow 2$ together with their inverses and composites. As usual $\mathcal{J}$ may be regarded as a crossed complex which is trivial in dimensions $\geq 2$. Given crossed complex homomorphisms $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}: C \rightarrow D$ and homotopies $h_{1}: f_{0} \simeq f_{1}$ and $h_{2}: f_{1} \simeq f_{2}$ their vertical composite $h_{1} \circ h_{2}$ is a homotopy $f_{0} \simeq f_{2}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{t \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathcal{J} \otimes C \xrightarrow{h_{1} \vee h_{2}} D
$$

where $t$ is given by $\iota \mapsto \jmath \cdot \kappa$ and $h_{1} \vee h_{2}$ is given by $\jmath \otimes c \mapsto h_{1}(\iota \otimes c)$ and $\kappa \otimes c \mapsto h_{2}(\iota \otimes c)$.

Moreover, $\simeq$ is a congruence. Suppose $f$ is a crossed complex morphism $C \rightarrow D$ and $k$ is a homotopy $g_{0} \simeq g_{1}: D \rightarrow E$. Then we get $f \cdot g_{0} \simeq f \cdot g_{1}$ by considering the 'horizontal' composite homotopy ${ }^{f} k$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes f} \mathcal{I} \otimes D \xrightarrow{k} E
$$

Similarly if $h$ is a homotopy $f_{0} \simeq f_{1}: C \rightarrow D$ and $g$ is a morphism $D \rightarrow E$ we can define a homotopy $h^{g}$ from $f_{0} \cdot g$ to $f_{1} \cdot g$ by

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{h} D \xrightarrow{g} E
$$

Using these definitions, we can define the horizontal composite $h \cdot k$ of the homotopies $h$ and $k$ as the vertical composite of $h^{g_{0}}: f_{0} \cdot g_{0} \simeq f_{1} \cdot g_{0}$ and ${ }^{f_{1} k:} f_{1} \cdot g_{0} \simeq f_{1} \cdot g_{1}$. Equivalently, let $d$ be the map

$$
\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}
$$

defined by $\iota \mapsto(0 \otimes \iota) \cdot(\iota \otimes 1)$. Then $h \cdot k$ may be defined directly as the homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{d \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes h} \mathcal{I} \otimes D \xrightarrow{k} E
$$

Proposition 2.1.3 The homotopy constructions described above satisfy the following relations:

1. $h_{1} \circ\left(h_{2} \circ h_{3}\right)=\left(h_{1} \circ h_{2}\right) \circ h_{3}$
2. $h_{1} \cdot\left(h_{2} \cdot h_{3}\right)=\left(h_{1} \cdot h_{2}\right) \cdot h_{3}$
3. $0_{f_{0}} \circ h=h \circ 0_{f_{1}}=h$
4. ${ }^{f} h=0_{f} \cdot h$ and $h^{g}=h \cdot 0_{g}$
5. $h \circ \bar{h}=0_{f_{0}}$ and $\bar{h} \circ h=0_{f_{1}}$
6. $\overline{h \circ k}=\bar{k} \circ \bar{h}$.
7. $h \cdot\left(k_{1} \circ k_{2}\right)=\left(h \cdot k_{1}\right) \circ\left(0_{f_{1}} \cdot k_{2}\right)$ and $\left(h_{1} \circ h_{2}\right) \cdot k=\left(h_{1} \cdot 0_{g_{0}}\right) \circ\left(h_{2} \cdot k\right)$.

Proof: Clear.

Note that the full interchange law between the horizontal and vertical compositions does not hold in general and neither does $\overline{h \cdot k}=\bar{h} \cdot \bar{k}$. This is because there are
actually two choices for the definition of horizontal composition of homotopies, given by $h^{g_{0}} \circ{ }^{f_{1}} k$ and ${ }^{f_{0}} k \circ h^{g_{1}}$. These are not in general equal, although as morphisms they are themselves homotopic. Similarly there are two 'diagonal approximations' $d: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I}$ given by $\iota \mapsto(0 \otimes \iota) \cdot(\iota \otimes 1)$ and $\iota \mapsto(\iota \otimes 0) \cdot(1 \otimes \iota)$. The non-trivial homotopy between these possible choices is what leads to Steenrod squares, etc.

The notion of homotopy may also be translated into statements about the elements of $C$ and $D$. The formulæ which result date back to J.H.C. Whitehead [42].

Proposition 2.1.4 Specifying a homotopy $h: f \simeq g$ is equivalent to specifying the morphism $g$ together with a degree one map $\left(\phi_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow D_{n+1}\right)$ which satisfies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(\phi_{0} c_{0}\right) & =g c_{0} \\
t\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right) & =t\left(g c_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\phi_{n}\left(c_{n}{ }^{c_{1}}\right) & =\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{g c_{1}} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 \\
\phi_{1}\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{g c_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \phi_{1} c_{1}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{n}\left(c_{n} \cdot c_{n}^{\prime}\right) & =\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} c_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The morphism $f$ is then completely determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(\phi_{0} c_{0}\right) & =f c_{0} \\
\delta_{2}\left(\phi_{1} c_{1}\right) & =\left(g c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} s c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot f c_{1} \cdot \phi_{0} t c_{1} \\
\delta_{n+1}\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right) & =\left(g c_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(f c_{n}\right)^{\phi_{0} t c_{n}} \cdot\left(\phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Consider an arbitrary homomorphism $\mathcal{I} \otimes C \xrightarrow{h} D$. The relations of proposition 1.2.5 imply that for all $c_{n}, c_{n}^{\prime} \in C_{n}, h$ must satisfy the following

1. $\operatorname{sh}\left(\iota \otimes c_{0}\right)=h\left(0 \otimes c_{0}\right)$ $t h\left(\iota \otimes c_{0}\right)=h\left(1 \otimes c_{0}\right)$ $t h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}\right)=h\left(1 \otimes t c_{n}\right)$
2. $\quad \delta_{2} h\left(\iota \otimes c_{1}\right)=h\left(1 \otimes c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot h\left(\iota \otimes s c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot h\left(0 \otimes c_{1}\right) \cdot h\left(\iota \otimes t c_{1}\right)$ $\delta_{n+1} h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}\right)=h\left(1 \otimes c_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot h\left(0 \otimes c_{n}\right)^{h\left(\iota \otimes t c_{n}\right)} \cdot h\left(\iota \otimes \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} \quad$ for $n \geq 2$
3. $h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}{ }^{c_{1}}\right)=h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}\right)^{h\left(1 \otimes c_{1}\right)}$ for $n \geq 2$
4. $h\left(\iota \otimes\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=h\left(\iota \otimes c_{1}\right)^{h\left(1 \otimes c_{1}^{\prime}\right)} \cdot h\left(\iota \otimes c_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
$h\left(\iota \otimes\left(c_{n} \cdot c_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)=h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}\right) \cdot h\left(\iota \otimes c_{n}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ for $n \geq 2$
The proposition then follows by writing $f, g$ for the homomorphisms

$$
c_{n} \stackrel{f}{\longmapsto} h\left(0 \otimes c_{n}\right) \quad c_{n} \stackrel{g}{\longmapsto} h\left(1 \otimes c_{n}\right)
$$

and $\phi$ for the degree one map $c_{n} \stackrel{\phi_{n}}{\longrightarrow} h\left(\iota \otimes \mathbb{E}_{n}\right)$
The definitions of vertical and horizontal composition of homotopies may be similarly translated by considering the expansion of the expression $(\jmath \cdot \kappa) \otimes c_{n}$.

### 2.1.2 Strong deformation retractions and splitting homotopies

Definition 2.1.5 Two crossed complexes $C, D$ are homotopy equivalent if there exist homomorphisms $f: C \rightarrow D$ and $g: D \rightarrow C$ together with homotopies $h: f \cdot g \simeq \operatorname{id}_{C}$ and $k: g \cdot f \simeq \operatorname{id}_{D}$.

Since the notion of a homotopy from an endomorphism to the identity plays such a large rôle, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.1.6 $A$ derivation $\phi: C \rightarrow C$ is a degree one map $\left(\phi_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n+1}\right)$ which satisfies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(\phi_{0} c_{0}\right) & =c_{0} \\
t\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right) & =t\left(c_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\phi_{n}\left(c_{n}{ }^{c_{1}}\right) & =\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{c_{1}} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 \\
\phi_{1}\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{c_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \phi_{1} c_{1}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{n}\left(c_{n} \cdot c_{n}^{\prime}\right) & =\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} c_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.1.7 Given $f: C \rightarrow C$, a homotopy $h$ from $f$ to the identity is given by $a$ derivation $\phi: C \rightarrow C$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f c_{0}=s \phi_{0} c_{0} \\
& f c_{1}=\phi_{0} s c_{1} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& f c_{n}=\left(c_{n} \cdot \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{n}\right)^{-1}} \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Follows by substituting $g=$ id into proposition 2.1.4 and by definition of a derivation.

Most of the derivations and homotopies we meet will be of a special kind, satisfying certain 'side-conditions'.

Proposition 2.1.8 Let $f$ be an endomorphism of a crossed complex $C$ and $h$ a homotopy $f \simeq \mathrm{id}_{C}$ corresponding to a derivation $\phi$. Suppose further that $\phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0}=e_{c_{0}}$ and $\phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}=e_{t c_{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \phi_{0} c_{0} & =\phi_{0} f c_{0}
\end{aligned}=\phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0}, ~\left(\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{n}\right)^{-1}} \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

Thus if any one of

1. $f \phi_{0} c_{0}=e_{f c_{0}} \quad$ and $\quad f \phi_{n} c_{n}=e_{t f c_{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$
2. $\phi_{0} f c_{0}=e_{f c_{0}}$ and $\phi_{n} f c_{n}=e_{t f c_{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$
3. $\phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0}=e_{f c_{0}}$ and $\phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}=\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}$ for $n \geq 1$
hold, then all three hold, and furthermore $f$ is idempotent.
Proof: From the formulæ for $f$ in corollary 2.1.7 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \phi_{0} c_{0}= & \phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0} \cdot \phi_{0} c_{0} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} c_{0}\right)^{-1} \\
f \phi_{n} c_{n}= & \left(\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \delta_{n+2} \phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{n}\right)^{-1}} \\
\phi_{0} f c_{0}= & \phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0} \\
\phi_{1} f c_{1}= & \left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} s c_{1}\right)^{c_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1}} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{\delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1}} \\
& \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} c_{1}\right)^{-1}} \cdot\left(\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1}} \\
\phi_{n} f c_{n}= & \left(\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{n}\right)^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $\phi^{2}$ terms disappear we get the first four equalities as required, and from these the equivalence of the three conditions is clear. Under such conditions $f^{2}=f$ follows by some further routine manipulation of the formulæ of the corollary.

Definition 2.1.9 $A$ splitting homotopy is a homotopy $h: f \simeq \mathrm{id}$ for which the associated derivation $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0}=e_{c_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}=e_{t c_{n}} \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
& \phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0}=e_{f c_{0}} \text { and } \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}=\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of proposition 2.1.8, the additional relations ${ }^{f} h=0_{f}, h^{f}=0_{f}$ and $f \cdot f=f$ hold automatically for a splitting homotopy.

Proposition 2.1.10 Suppose $h$ is a homotopy $f \simeq \mathrm{id}$ which satisfies ${ }^{f} h=0_{f}$ and $h^{f}=0_{f}$. Then the corresponding derivation $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left(\phi_{1} \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{-1} & = & \phi_{1} c_{1} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1} & =\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} s c_{1}\right)^{c_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1}} \cdot \phi_{1} c_{1} \\
\left(\phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} & =\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \delta_{n+2} \phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} & =\phi_{n} \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} c_{n} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, the degree one map $\phi^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)=\phi_{0}\left(c_{0}\right) \\
& \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)=\left(\phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

is a derivation corresponding to a splitting homotopy $h^{\prime}: f \simeq \mathrm{id}$.
Proof: The equalities of the first part follow from the formulæ in the proof of proposition 2.1.8 and the triviality of $f \phi$ and $\phi f$. The functions $\phi^{\prime}$ clearly define a derivation $f^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{id}$, where $f^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime} c_{0} & =s \phi_{0} c_{0} \\
f^{\prime} c_{1} & =\phi_{0} s c_{1} \cdot c_{1} \cdot\left(\delta_{2} \phi_{1} \delta_{2} \phi_{1} c_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\phi_{0} t c_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
f^{\prime} c_{n} & =\left(c_{n} \cdot\left(\delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(\phi_{0} t c_{n}\right)^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{But}\left(\delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}=\delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}$ and $\left(\phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}=\phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} c_{n}$ follow from the equalities of the first part, so $f^{\prime}=f$. Also $\phi^{\prime} f$ is trivial, so to show that $\phi^{\prime}$ gives a splitting homotopy it only remains to prove that $\phi^{\prime 2}$ vanishishes. We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{0}^{\prime} c_{0} & =\left(\phi_{1} \delta_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\phi_{0} c_{0}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0}\right)^{\phi_{0} c_{0} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0}} \cdot \phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0} \\
\phi_{n+1}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{\prime} c_{n} & =\left(\phi_{n+1} \delta_{n+2} \phi_{n+1}\left(\phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1}\left(\phi_{n} c_{n} \cdot \delta_{n+2} \phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right) \cdot \phi_{n+1}\left(\phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so the result follows by the vanishing of $\delta^{2}$ and of $\phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0}=\phi_{0} f c_{0}$.

Theorem 2.1.11 Suppose $f$ is an idempotent endomorphism of a crossed complex $C$, and $k$ a homotopy between $f$ and the identity on $C$. Then there exists a splitting homotopy $h: f \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

Proof: Consider the homotopies ${ }^{f} k,{ }^{f} k^{f}$ and $k^{f}$. Since $f$ is idempotent and $k$ is a homotopy $f \simeq$ id, these are all homotopies $f \simeq f$, and we can consider the homotopy $f \simeq$ id given by the vertical composite

$$
k^{\prime}=\bar{f}{ }^{\prime} \circ{ }^{f} k^{f} \circ \overline{k^{f}} \circ k
$$

We now have ${ }^{f} k^{\prime}=0_{f}$ and $k^{\prime f}=0_{f}$, and so the result follows from proposition 2.1.10.

A homotopy equivalence $f: C \longleftrightarrow D: g$ in which $g \cdot f=\operatorname{id}_{D}$ is known as a deformation retraction. The endomorphism $f \cdot g$ of $C$ is now idempotent, and so the homotopy $h:(f \cdot g) \simeq \mathrm{id}_{C}$ may be replaced by a splitting homotopy.
Definition 2.1.12 $A$ deformation retraction given by $f: C \longleftrightarrow D: g$ with $g \cdot f=\operatorname{id}_{D}$ and a homotopy $h:(f \cdot g) \simeq \mathrm{id}_{C}$ corresponding to a derivation $\phi$ is said to be a strong deformation retraction (SDR) if the following side-conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlll}
\phi_{1} \phi_{0} c_{0} & =e_{c_{0}} & \text { and } & \phi_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} & =e_{t c_{n}} & \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\phi_{0} g d_{0} & =e_{g d_{0}} & \text { and } & \phi_{n} g d_{n} & =e_{t g c_{n}} & \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
f \phi_{0} c_{0} & =e_{f c_{0}} & \text { and } & f \phi_{n} c_{n} & =e_{t f c_{n}} \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\phi_{0} s \phi_{0} c_{0} & =e_{g f c_{0}} & \text { and } & \phi_{n} \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} c_{n} & =\left(\phi_{n} c_{n}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
\end{array}
$$

We will write these as $h^{2}=0,{ }^{g} h=0, h^{f}=0$ and $h \delta h=-h$ respectively.
Theorem 2.1.13 Any deformation retraction may be replaced by a strong deformation retraction.

In the chain complex case, analogous side conditions on chain homotopies have been very useful in homological perturbation theory, and the result which corresponds to theorem 2.1.13 may be found in [30]. It is expected that there will also be a 'nonabelian' homological perturbation theory for crossed complexes.

### 2.2 Diagonal Approximation and Shuffles

### 2.2.1 The Artin-Mazur diagonal

We recall from [1] that the Artin-Mazur diagonal $\nabla(X)$ of a bisimplicial set $X$ is defined as follows. Each set $\nabla(X)_{n}$ is given by the following subset of $\prod_{p+q=n} X_{p, q}$

$$
\nabla(X)_{n}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{i} \in X_{i, n-i}, d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}=d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)\right\}
$$

where $d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $d_{i}^{\mathrm{v}}$ are the horizontal and vertical face maps of $X$. Geometrically the elements of $X_{p, q}$ should be thought of as generalised prisms given by products of a $p$-simplex with a $q$-simplex, and the $(n+1)$-tuples which define elements of $\nabla(X)_{n}$ should be thought of as connected unions of these with the first vertical face of one prism identified with the last horizontal face of the next.

For $0 \leq i \leq n$ the faces and degeneracies of an element of $\nabla(X)_{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\left(d_{i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}, d_{i-1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}, \ldots, d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i-1}, d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+1}, d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+2}, \ldots, d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{n}\right) \\
s_{i}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\left(s_{i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}, s_{i-1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}, \ldots, s_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}, s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i}, s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+1}, \ldots, s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $s_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}$ are the horizontal and vertical degeneracy maps of $X$. That is, the $i$ th face map acts on the $(n+1)$-tuple $\left(x_{k}\right)$ by applying $d_{i-k}^{\vee}$ to the components with $k<i$, applying $d_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$ to the components with $k>i$, and deleting the $i$ th component. Similarly the $i$ th degeneracy repeats the $i$ th component and acts via $s_{i-k}^{\mathrm{V}}$ or $s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$ on the components of the result.

In section 1.3 .1 the fundamental crossed complex $\pi(K)$ of a simplicial set $K$ was defined, and it was shown how this leads to a definition of the fundamental double crossed complex of a bisimplicial set. Thus we have the following diagram of categories and functors

where Tot is the total crossed complex functor.
In dimension $n$, generators of $\operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)} X$ are given by elements of $X_{p, q}$ where $p+q=n$, and generators of $\pi \nabla X$ are given by certain $(n+1)$-tuples of these. We can construct a natural transformation from $\pi \nabla$ to $\operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)}$, but this will not be an isomorphism in general. Intuitively, the comparison map $\pi \nabla X \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)} X$ will send each ( $n+1$ )-tuple to the (non-abelian) sum of its components.

Proposition 2.2.1 For $X$ a bisimplicial set, there is a natural map

$$
\pi \nabla X \xrightarrow{\theta_{X}} \operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)} X
$$

which is defined on the usual generators by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0}\right) & \mapsto x_{0} \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) & \mapsto x_{0} \cdot x_{1} \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \mapsto x_{1}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{0}} x_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \cdot x_{0}^{d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}} \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}^{y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i}(x) \in \operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)}(X)_{1}$ is given by $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} \ldots d_{i-1}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{i+2}^{\mathrm{h}} \ldots d_{n-1}^{\mathrm{h}} x \in X_{1,0}$ or by the identity at $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} \ldots d_{n-1}^{\mathrm{h}} x$ if $i=n$.

Proof: We need to check that $\theta_{X}$ is well-defined on $\pi \nabla X$, i.e. that $\theta_{X}$ respects the relations between the generators. In dimension one, $s \theta_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\theta_{0} s\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ are both given by $d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}$, and $t \theta_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\theta_{0} t\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ are given by $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}$, and in dimensions $\geq 2$ the $y_{i}$ ensure that $t\left(x_{i}^{y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)=t x_{n}=\theta_{0} t\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for all $i$. Thus the products on the right hand side are defined and the functions respect the base points. Also $\theta_{X}$ maps degenerate generators to the appropriate identity elements in $\operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)} X$, since if $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=s_{i}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$ then each $x_{k}$ is $s_{i-k}^{\mathrm{V}} y_{k}$ or $s_{i}^{\mathrm{h}} y_{k}$ and gives an identity in $\pi^{(2)} X$.

For the boundary relations, $\delta_{2} \theta_{2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \delta_{2} x_{1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2} \cdot \delta_{2} x_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \delta_{2} x_{0} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}= \\
& \left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

But since $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}=d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}$ and $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}=d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}$ four of these terms cancel leaving

$$
\theta_{1}\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}, d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \theta_{1}\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}, d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot \theta_{1}\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}, d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)
$$

which is just $\theta_{1} \delta_{2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
For $n \geq 3, x \in X_{0}$, the groups (Tot $\left.\pi^{(2)} X\right)_{n}(x)$ are abelian. In Tot $\pi^{(2)} X$ the boundary relations on generators $x \in X_{p, q}, p+q \geq 4$, may be written as

$$
\delta_{p+q} x=\prod_{j=0}^{p}\left(\left(d_{j}^{\mathrm{h}} x\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}\right)^{z_{j}^{\mathrm{h}}(x)} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{q}\left(\left(d_{k}^{\mathrm{v}} x\right)^{(-1)^{p+k+1}}\right)^{z_{k}^{v}(x)}
$$

(or only one of these products if $p$ or $q$ is zero) where the $z(x)$ are identities unless $j=p$ or $k=q$ when they are given by the one-cells

$$
z_{p}^{\mathrm{h}}(x)=d_{0}^{\mathrm{h} p-1} d_{0}^{\mathrm{v} q}(x) \quad z_{q}^{\mathrm{v}}(x)=d_{0}^{\mathrm{v} q-1} d_{0}^{\mathrm{h} p}(x)
$$

Thus $\delta_{n} \theta_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{i}\left(\left(d_{j}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}\right)^{z_{j}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(x_{i}\right)} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{n-i}\left(\left(d_{k}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{(-1)^{i+k+1}}\right)^{z_{k}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)^{y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

Some of these terms cancel, since $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}=d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+1}$. Also since the groups are abelian we can rewrite $\prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1}$ as $\prod_{j=0}^{n} \prod_{i=j+1}^{n}$, and $\prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-i}$ as $\prod_{j=0}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1}$ by putting $j=i+k$. Thus we obtain

$$
\prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}\left(d_{j-i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{z_{j-i}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)} \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(d_{j}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i}\right)^{z_{j}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}
$$

From the boundary relations in $\pi \nabla X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{n-1} d_{j}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}\left(d_{j-i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)} \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(d_{j}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i}\right)^{y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)} \quad(j \neq n) \\
& \theta_{n-1} d_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(d_{n-i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{y_{i}\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{n-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On comparing terms, we need to show that

$$
\left(d_{n-i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{z_{n-i}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot y_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)}=\left(d_{n-i}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}\right)^{y_{i}\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{n-1}\right) \cdot \theta_{1} d_{0}^{n-1}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{n-i}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{i}\right)=d_{0}^{\mathrm{v} n-i-1} d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} x_{i}=d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}} \\
& \\
& \text { and } \theta_{1} d_{0}^{n-1}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{n-1}} x_{n-1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{n-1}} x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

the result holds for $i=n-1$ since $y_{i} x_{n}=d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-1} x_{n}$ and $y_{i}\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{n-1}\right)$ disappears. Otherwise we must compare the terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-i-1} x_{n-1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-i-1} x_{n} \\
& \text { and } \quad d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-i-2} d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{n-1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{n-1}} x_{n-1} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{n-1}} x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between these is precisely the boundary of the element $w_{i}$ given by

$$
\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-i-2} x_{n-1}\right)^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-1} x_{n}} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}^{i}} d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{n-i-2} x_{n}
$$

in $\operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)}(X)_{2}$. Since $n \geq 4, \delta_{2} w_{i}$ acts trivially on $d_{n-i}^{v} x_{i}$ and we have $\delta_{n} \theta_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $\theta_{n-1} \delta_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as required.

It only remains to prove that $\delta_{3} \theta_{3}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\theta_{2} \delta_{3}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. The boundary relations in Tot $\pi^{(2)}(X)_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{0,3}\left(x_{0}\right)=d_{3}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} 2} x_{0} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}\right)^{-1} \\
& \delta_{1,2}\left(x_{1}\right)=d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1} \\
& \delta_{2,1}\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \delta_{3,0}\left(x_{3}\right)=d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{3}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}^{d_{3}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relations $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{i}=d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{i+1}$ together with $u^{-1} \cdot v \cdot u=v^{\delta u}$ and $\delta_{3} w \cdot v=v \cdot \delta_{3} w$ in dimension 2 , we can write $\delta_{3} \theta\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot \delta x_{0}^{y_{0}} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot \delta x_{1}^{y_{1}} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot \delta x_{2}^{y_{2}} \cdot d_{3}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x^{2} x_{3}} \\
& =\quad d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot\left(d_{3}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0} \cdot\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}\right)^{-1}\right)^{y_{0}} \\
& \cdot \quad\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}}\right)^{y_{1}} \\
& \cdot \quad\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}^{d_{0}^{2}} x_{2} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}\right)^{y_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

On permuting these terms cyclically and moving $d_{3}^{v} x_{0}$ two terms to the left and $d_{2}^{v} x_{1}$ two terms to the right, by adding the appropriate actions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2} \cdot d_{3}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}^{d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{2}}\right)^{d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} 2 x_{2} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h} 2} x_{3}} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}^{y_{2}} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}^{y_{0}} \\
& \quad\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{1}^{y_{1}} \cdot d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot d_{2}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{0}^{y_{0}}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{2}^{y_{2}} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{3} \cdot d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{1}^{y_{1}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is precisely $\theta_{2} \delta_{3}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.

### 2.2.2 The Alexander-Whitney diagonal approximation

Suppose $K, L$ are simplicial sets. In this section we define the natural comparison map

$$
\pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{a_{K, L}} \pi K \otimes \pi L
$$

between the fundamental crossed complex of a cartesian product and the tensor product of the fundamental crossed complexes. This is a 'slightly non-abelian' version of the classical diagonal approximation map for chain complexes on a simplicial set [21].

In fact we will define $a_{K, L}$ via the natural transformation $\theta$ of the previous section. Suppose $K, L$ are simplicial sets and $X$ is the bisimplicial set $K \times{ }^{(2)} L$. Then $\pi^{(2)} X$ is just $\pi K \otimes{ }^{(2)} \pi L$ and $\operatorname{Tot} \pi^{(2)} X$ is $\pi K \otimes \pi L$. Thus $\theta_{X}$ gives a comparision map

$$
\pi \nabla X \xrightarrow{\theta_{X}} \pi K \otimes \pi L
$$

Proposition 2.2.2 Suppose $K, L$ are simplicial sets and $X=K \times{ }^{(2)} L$ as above. Then the Artin-Mazur diagonal $\nabla X$ of $X$ is naturally isomorphic to the diagonal of $X$, that is, to the cartesian product of $K$ and $L$.

Proof: Elements $\sigma_{n}$ of $\nabla X$ are given by $(n+1)$-tuples of pairs $\left(k_{i}, l_{n-i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$. Since these must satisfy $\left(k_{i}, d_{0} l_{n-i}\right)=\left(d_{i+1} k_{i+1}, l_{n-i-1}\right), \sigma_{n}$ is completely determined by the pair $\left(k_{n}, l_{n}\right)$ of $K \times L$, and conversely any pair $\left(k_{n}, l_{n}\right)$ gives an element $\left(d_{i+1}^{n-i} k_{n}, d_{0}^{i} l_{n}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ of $\nabla X$. This correspondence clearly respects the face and degeneracy maps, and so we have the result.

We thus have

Proposition 2.2.3 For $K$, $L$ simplicial sets, there is a natural comparison map

$$
\pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{a_{K, L}} \pi K \otimes \pi L
$$

defined by $\theta_{K \times\left({ }^{(2)} L\right.}$.
By the definition of $\theta$ in proposition 2.2 .1 and the description of the isomorphism $K \times L \cong \nabla\left(K \times{ }^{(2)} L\right)$ in the proposition above, the diagonal approximation map $a$ may be given explicitly as follows:

Proposition 2.2.4 Given simplicial sets $K$, L, the crossed complex homomorphism

$$
\pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{a_{K, L}} \pi K \otimes \pi L
$$

is given by the homomorphism which acts on the generators of $\pi(K \times L)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) & \mapsto x_{0} \otimes y_{0} \\
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \mapsto d_{1} x_{1} \otimes y_{1} \cdot x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1} \\
\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) & \mapsto\left(d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1} d_{2} x_{2} \otimes y_{2}\right)^{d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2}} \\
\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{i} y_{n}\right)^{c_{i}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{i}(x)$ is given by the one-cell $d_{0}^{i} d_{i+1}^{n-i-1} x$ or by the identity at $d_{0}^{n} x$ if $i=n$.
The following proposition gives the associativity of $a$.
Proposition 2.2.5 For simplicial sets $K, L, M$, the following diagram commutes.


Proof: It is only necessary to check the result on generators $w_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \in$ $\pi(K \times L \times M)$. For $n=0$ the result is clear. For $n=1$ it holds since both

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(d_{1} y_{1} \otimes z_{1} \cdot y_{1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1}\right) \cdot x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1} \\
\text { and } & d_{1} x_{1} \otimes d_{1} y_{1} \otimes z_{1} \cdot\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes y_{1} \cdot x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1}\right) \otimes d_{0} z_{1}
\end{array}
$$

are equal to

$$
d_{1} x_{1} \otimes d_{1} y_{1} \otimes z_{1} \cdot d_{1} x_{1} \otimes y_{1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1} \cdot x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1}
$$

For $n \geq 3$ consider

$$
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a_{L, M}\right)\left(a_{K, L \times M} w_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_{n} \otimes a\left(d_{0}^{i} y_{n}, d_{0}^{i} z_{n}\right)\right)^{c_{i}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}}
$$

Consider the term for $n-i=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_{n} x_{n} \otimes\left(d_{1} d_{0}^{n-1} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n-1} z_{n} \cdot d_{0}^{n-1} y_{n} \otimes d_{0} d_{0}^{n-1} z_{n}\right)\right)^{c_{n-1}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}} \\
& =\left(\left(d_{n} x_{n} \otimes d_{1} d_{0}^{n-1} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n-1} z_{n}\right)^{d_{0}^{n-1} d_{n} x_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n-1} y_{n} \otimes d_{0} d_{0}^{n-1} z_{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot d_{n} x_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n-1} y_{n} \otimes d_{0} d_{0}^{n-1} z_{n}\right)^{c_{n-1}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms for all $i$ can be put in this form, and the product may be written as

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-i}\left(d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_{n} \otimes d_{j+1}^{n-i-j} d_{0}^{i} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{j} d_{0}^{i} z_{n}\right)^{c_{i, j}}
$$

where $c_{i, j}=d_{0}^{i} d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_{n} \otimes c_{j}\left(d_{0}^{i} y_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n-i} d_{0}^{i} z_{n} \cdot c_{i}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}$. Similarly

$$
\left(a_{K, L} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(a_{K \times L, M} w_{n}\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{n}\left(a\left(d_{k+1}^{n-k} x_{n}, d_{k+1}^{n-k} y_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{k} z_{n}\right)^{a\left(c_{k}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}}
$$

may be written as

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{k}\left(d_{i+1}^{k-i} d_{k+1}^{n-k} x_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{i} d_{k+1}^{n-k} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{k} z_{n}\right)^{c_{k, i}^{\prime}}
$$

where $c_{k, i}^{\prime}=c_{i}\left(d_{k+1}^{n-k} x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{k} d_{k+1}^{n-k} y_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{n-k} d_{0}^{k} z_{n} \cdot a\left(c_{k}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} z_{n}$. Putting $k=i+j$ we have $\prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-i}=\prod_{k=0}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{k}$ and

$$
d_{i+1}^{n-i}=d_{i+1}^{k-i} d_{k+1}^{n-k}, \quad d_{j+1}^{n-i-j} d_{0}^{i}=d_{0}^{i} d_{k+1}^{n-k}, \quad d_{0}^{j} d_{0}^{i}=d_{0}^{k}
$$

and so it only remains to check that the actions of $c_{i, j}$ and $c_{i+j, i}^{\prime}$ agree. But as usual $c_{i+j, i}^{\prime} \cdot c_{i, j}^{-1}$ is a loop and must be $\delta_{2}$ of some term generated by the $x^{\prime} \otimes y^{\prime} \otimes z^{\prime}$ for $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, $z^{\prime}$ faces of $x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}$. Thus $c_{i+j, i}^{\prime} \cdot c_{i, j}^{-1}$ acts trivially, since $n \geq 3$, and the result follows.

For $n=2$ we have
$\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a_{L, M}\right)\left(a_{K, L \times M} w_{2}\right)$
$=d_{2} x_{2} \otimes a\left(d_{0} y_{2}, d_{0} z_{2}\right)^{d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes a\left(y_{2}, z_{2}\right)^{d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
$=\left(\left(d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} d_{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0} z_{2}\right)^{d_{0} d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}\right)^{d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}$.
$\left(\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0} z_{2}\right)^{d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{1}^{2} y_{2} \otimes z_{2}\right)^{d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{1} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}\right)^{d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
On moving the fourth term two terms to the left, using $u \cdot v=v \cdot u^{\delta_{2} v}$, this gives
$\left(d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} d_{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0} z_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0} z_{2}\right)^{d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} d_{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}\right)^{d_{0} d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
$\cdot\left(d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}\right)^{d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}\right)^{d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
$\cdot\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{1}^{2} y_{2} \otimes z_{2}\right)^{d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{1} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} y_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
$=\left(a\left(d_{2} x_{2}, d_{2} y_{2}\right) \otimes d_{0} z_{2}\right)^{a\left(d_{0} x_{2}, d_{0} y_{2}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}} \cdot a\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1}^{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{1}^{2} y^{2} \otimes z_{2}\right)^{a\left(d_{1} x_{2}, d_{1} y_{2}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{2} z_{2}}$
which is just $\left(a_{K, L} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(a_{K \times L, M} w_{2}\right)$.

### 2.2.3 Crossed differential graded algebras

In this section we will introduce an example application of the diagonal approximation map discussed above, and define the notions of crossed differential graded algebras and coalgebras, which are the translations of differential graded algebras and coalgebras from the chain complex to the crossed complex situation.

First we define the crossed complex version of the approximation to the diagonal, which is the natural transformation given by the composite homomorphisms

for each simplicial set $K$.
From proposition 2.2.4 the approximation to the diagonal has the following explicit description.

Proposition 2.2.6 Given a simplicial set $K$, the crossed complex approximation to the diagonal

$$
\pi K \longrightarrow \pi K \otimes \pi K
$$

is given by the homomorphism which acts on the generators of $\pi K$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0} & \mapsto x_{0} \otimes x_{0} \\
x_{1} & \mapsto d_{1} x_{1} \otimes x_{1} \cdot x_{1} \otimes d_{0} x_{1} \\
x_{2} & \mapsto\left(d_{2} x_{2} \otimes d_{0} x_{2}\right)^{d_{0} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} x_{2}} \cdot x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} x_{2} \cdot\left(d_{1} d_{2} x_{2} \otimes x_{2}\right)^{d_{1} x_{2} \otimes d_{0}^{2} x_{2}} \\
x_{n} & \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(d_{i+1}^{n-i} x_{n} \otimes d_{0}^{i} x_{n}\right)^{c_{i}\left(x_{n}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} x_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{i}(x)$ is given by the one-cell $d_{0}^{i} d_{i+1}^{n-i-1} x$ or by the identity at $d_{0}^{n} x$ if $i=n$.
Definition 2.2.7 $A$ crossed differential graded algebra is a crossed complex $C$ together with a homomorphism $C \otimes C \xrightarrow{m} C$, termed the multiplication map, which makes the associativity diagram

commute. Dually, a crossed differential graded coalgebra is a crossed complex $C$ together with a homomorphism $C \xrightarrow{w} C \otimes C$, termed the comultiplication map, which makes the coassociativity diagram

commute.
Our fundamental example of a crossed differential graded coalgebra will be the following. Suppose that $K$ is a simplicial set. Then the approximation to the diagonal map $\pi K \longrightarrow \pi K \otimes \pi K$ is coassociative by proposition 2.2.5. Thus $\pi(K)$ has a crossed differential graded coalgebra structure. Also we have naturality of this construction in $K$ and hence we have a functor from simplicial sets to the category of crossed differential graded coalgebras.

## SimpSet $\longrightarrow$ CDGcA

In particular, consider the case where $K$ is the representable simplicial set $\triangle^{n}$. Then we have a crossed differential graded coalgebra $\pi[n]$ for each $n$, together with the coface and codegeneracy homomorphisms induced between these as $n$ varies. In fact we have

Proposition 2.2.8 The collection of crossed complexes $\pi[n]$ together with the homomorphisms

$$
\pi[n] \longrightarrow \pi[n] \otimes \pi[n]
$$

and the coface and codegeneracy maps

$$
\pi[n-1] \xrightarrow{\pi(d(i))} \pi[n] \quad \pi[n+1] \xrightarrow{\pi(s(i))} \pi[n]
$$

define a cosimplicial crossed differential graded coalgebra $\pi\left(\triangle^{\bullet}\right)$.
This idea may be used to give insight into a construction of Brown and Gilbert in [6]. In this work the notion of a braided regular crossed module is defined, and the category of such is shown to be equivalent to that of simplicial groups with Moore complex trivial above dimension two. A braided regular crossed module $C$ may be thought of as a crossed differential graded algebra $m: C \otimes C \longrightarrow C$ such that $C$ is trivial in dimensions $\geq 3$ together with a unit $e: 0 \longrightarrow C$ such that $m_{0}: C_{0} \times C_{0} \longrightarrow C_{0}$ gives $C_{0}$ a group structure. It is not pointed out, however, that the construction of a
simplicial group from $C$ may be regarded in the general context of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem.

We will consider a more general situation, and show how to form a simplicial semigroup from an arbitrary crossed differential graded algebra. Consider the nerve functor from crossed complexes to simplicial sets, given by $(N C)_{n}=\operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n], C)$. Then an algebra structure on $C$ together with the coalgebra structure on each $\pi[n]$ induce an associative multiplication structure on the nerve. Explicitly, we have

Proposition 2.2.9 Suppose $C$ is a crossed differential graded algebra. If $f, g$ are $n$ simplices of NC given by homomorphisms $\pi[n] \longrightarrow C$, then define $f \cdot g$ by the convolution product:


This gives a simplicial map

$$
N C \times N C \longrightarrow N C
$$

which is associative.
In the same way, any homomorphism of crossed complexes $C \otimes D \longrightarrow E$ will induce a simplicial map $N C \times N D \longrightarrow N E$ via the cosimplicial coalgebra $\pi\left(\triangle^{\bullet}\right)$ and the convolution product. In particular, considering the identity map on $C \otimes D$ leads to a natural comparison map

$$
N C \times N D \longrightarrow N(C \otimes D)
$$

We will return to this idea in section 4.1.

### 2.2.4 Shuffles and the Eilenberg-MacLane map

In this section we recall the notion of shuffles and hence define the natural maps

$$
\pi K \otimes \pi L \xrightarrow{b_{K, L}} \pi(K \times L)
$$

This was originally carried out in the chain complex situation by Eilenberg and MacLane in [20].

Let us write $\underline{k}$ for the set $\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, and $i_{0}, i_{1}$ for the functions

for $p, q \geq 0$. Then a $(p, q)$-shuffle is any permutation $\sigma$ of the set $\underline{p+q}$ such that the functions $\sigma_{0}=i_{0} \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma_{1}=i_{1} \circ \sigma$

$$
\underline{q} \longrightarrow i_{0} \underline{p+q} \longrightarrow \xrightarrow{\sigma} \underline{p+q} \xrightarrow{i_{1}} \underline{p+q} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \underline{p+q}
$$

are both monotonic increasing. We write $\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)$ for the set of such shuffles and

$$
\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q) \xrightarrow{\text { sg }}\{-1,1\}
$$

for the function which gives the signature of each permutation $\sigma$.
Consider the representable simplicial sets $\triangle^{p}$ and $\triangle^{q}$, and write $x_{p}$ and $y_{q}$ for the top-dimensional non-degenerate simplices of each. Their cartesian product $\triangle^{p} \times \triangle^{q}$ has no non-degenerate simplices in dimensions $\geq p+q+1$, and in dimension $p+q$ there is a non-degenerate simplex for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)$ given by $\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)$, where the maps $s_{\sigma_{0}}$ and $s_{\sigma_{1}}$ are composites of degeneracy maps as follows:

$$
s_{\sigma_{0}}=s_{\sigma(p+q-1)} s_{\sigma(p+q-2)} \ldots s_{\sigma(p)} \quad s_{\sigma_{1}}=s_{\sigma(p-1)} s_{\sigma(p-2)} \ldots s_{\sigma(0)}
$$

Proposition 2.2.10 For simplicial sets $K$, $L$ there is a natural homomorphism

$$
\pi K \otimes \pi L \xrightarrow{b_{K, L}} \pi(K \times L)
$$

which is defined on the usual generators by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{0} \otimes y_{q} & \mapsto\left(s_{0}^{q} x_{0}, y_{q}\right) \\
x_{p} \otimes y_{0} & \mapsto\left(x_{p}, s_{0}^{p} y_{0}\right) \\
x_{1} \otimes y_{1} & \mapsto\left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
x_{p} \otimes y_{q} & \mapsto
\end{array} \prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)}\right)
$$

Proof: These composites are all defined, since $t(u)=\left(d_{0}^{p} x_{p}, d_{0}^{q} y_{q}\right)$ for each term $u$ on the left hand side, and the functions respect the source and target maps. It is clear that they respect the degeneracies, for if $x_{p}=s_{k} x_{p-1}$, say, then $x_{p-1}$ and $y_{q}$ generate no non-degenerate cells in dimension $p+q$. Explicitly for each $(p, q)$-shuffle $\sigma$ define a ( $p-1, q$ )-shuffle $\tau$ by

$$
\tau_{0}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{0}(i) & \text { for } \sigma_{0}(i)<\sigma_{1}(k) \\
\sigma_{0}(i)-1 & \text { for } \sigma_{0}(i)>\sigma_{1}(k)
\end{array} \quad \tau_{1}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{1}(i) & \text { for } i<k \\
\sigma_{1}(i+1)-1 & \text { for } i \geq k
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Then $s_{\sigma_{1}(k)} s_{\tau_{1}}=s_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $s_{\sigma_{1}(k)} s_{\tau_{0}}=s_{\sigma_{0}} s_{\sigma_{1}(k)-j}$ where $j$ is the number of values of $\sigma_{0}$ which are less than $\sigma_{1}(k)$. But there are $k$ values of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}(k)$ values of $\sigma$ less than $\sigma_{1}(k)$, so $j=\sigma_{1}(k)-k$ and

$$
\left(s_{\sigma_{0}}\left(s_{k} x_{p-1}\right), s_{\sigma_{1}}\left(y_{q}\right)\right)=s_{\sigma_{1}(k)}\left(s_{\tau_{0}} x_{p-1}, s_{\tau_{1}} y_{q}\right)
$$

For the boundary relations, the case $p=0$ or $q=0$ is clear. In the case $p=q=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{2} b\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{1}\right)=\delta_{2}\left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{1}\right) \cdot \delta_{2}\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(d_{0} s_{1} x_{1}, d_{0} s_{0} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, d_{2} s_{0} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{1} s_{1} x_{1}, d_{1} s_{0} y_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left(d_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{1} s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{2} s_{1} y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(d_{0} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} s_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(s_{0} d_{0} x_{1}, y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(x_{1}, s_{0} d_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{1}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{1}\right)=b \delta_{2}\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the general case, note that for $0 \leq i \leq p+q$ any $(p, q)$-shuffle satisfies precisely one of the following

1. $\{i-1, i\} \subset\{-1\} \cup \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \cup\{p+q\}$
2. $\{i-1, i\} \subset\{-1\} \cup \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \cup\{p+q\}$
3. $i-1 \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ and $i \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$
4. $i-1 \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ and $i \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$
and we thus have a partition $\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)=\bigcup_{r=1}^{4} \mathrm{~S}_{r}^{(i)}(p, q)$.
There is a bijection $\gamma: S_{3}^{(i)}(p, q) \cong S_{4}^{(i)}(p, q)$ where $\gamma \sigma$ is given by the permutation

$$
(\gamma \sigma)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
i-1 & \text { if } \sigma(j)=i \\
i & \text { if } \sigma(j)=i-1 \\
\sigma(j) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and this satisfies $d_{i}\left(s_{\gamma(\sigma)_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\gamma(\sigma)_{1}} y_{q}\right)=d_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sg}(\gamma \sigma)=-\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)$.
For $\sigma \in S_{1}^{(i)}(p, q)$ let $t(\sigma, i)$ be the integer such that $\sigma_{1}(t)=i$, with $t(\sigma, p+q)=p$, and let $\tau(\sigma, i)$ be the ( $p-1, q$ )-shuffle defined by

$$
\tau(\sigma, i)_{0}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{0}(j) & \text { if } \sigma_{0}(j)<i \\
\sigma_{0}(j)-1 & \text { if } \sigma_{0}(j)>i
\end{array} \quad \tau(\sigma, i)_{1}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{1}(j) & \text { if } \sigma_{1}(j)<i \\
\sigma_{1}(j+1)-1 & \text { if } \sigma_{1}(j) \geq i
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Then $d_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)=\left(s_{\tau(\sigma, i)_{0}}\left(d_{t(\sigma, i)} x_{p}\right), s_{\tau(\sigma,)_{1}}\left(y_{q}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{sg}(\tau(\sigma, i))=(-1)^{i+t(\sigma, i)}$. $\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)$. Also $i$ and $\sigma$ are completely determined by $t(\sigma, i)$ and $\tau(\sigma, i)$, and we have a bijection

$$
\bigcup_{i=0}^{p+q}\left(S_{1}^{(i)}(p, q) \times\{i\}\right) \cong \operatorname{Shuff}(p-1, q) \times\{0,1, \ldots, p\}
$$

A similar relationship holds between the $S_{2}^{(i)}(p, q)$ and $\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q-1)$. Combining all these results for $p+q \geq 4$ gives

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{p+q} \prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)}\left(d_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1} \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\sigma)}\right)^{z_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
= & \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p-1, q)} \prod_{t=0}^{p}\left(\left(s_{\tau_{0}}\left(d_{t} x_{p}\right), s_{\tau_{1}}\left(y_{q}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{t+1} \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\tau)}\right)^{b\left(z_{t} x_{p} \otimes d_{0}^{q} y_{q}\right)} \\
& \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q-1)} \prod_{t=0}^{q}\left(\left(s_{\tau_{0}}\left(x_{p}\right), s_{\tau_{1}}\left(d_{t} y_{q}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{t+p+1} \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\tau)}\right)^{b\left(d_{0}^{p} x_{p} \otimes z_{t} y_{q}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

which is precisely $\delta_{p+q} b\left(x_{p} \otimes y_{q}\right)=b \delta_{p+q}\left(x_{p} \otimes y_{q}\right)$.
There remain the non-abelian cases $\{p, q\}=\{1,2\}$. We will verify the result for $p=1, q=2$; the other case is similar. Now $\delta_{3} b\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)$ may be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{3}\left(s_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{2} y_{2}\right) \cdot \delta_{3}\left(s_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \delta_{3}\left(s_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=d_{0}\left(s_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot d_{3}\left(s_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{2} y_{2}\right)^{\left(x_{1}, d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot d_{1}\left(s_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{2} y_{2}\right) \cdot d_{2}\left(s_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad d_{0}\left(s_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{2}\right) \cdot d_{2}\left(s_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{2}\right) \cdot d_{1}\left(s_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{3}\left(s_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} y_{2}\right)} \\
& \quad d_{1}\left(s_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{2}\right) d_{2}\left(s_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} d_{0}\left(s_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} d_{3}\left(s_{2} s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} y_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fifth term can be moved left four places and the eighth right four places, since the image of $\delta_{3}$ is central, and some cancelation now occurs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0}^{2} d_{1} x_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{\left(x_{1}, d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right) \\
\cdot & \left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0}^{2} d_{0} x_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{0} d_{2} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} y_{2}\right)} \\
= & b\left(x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot b\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)^{\left(x_{1}, d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot b\left(x_{1} \otimes d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot b\left(d_{0} x_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot b\left(x_{1} \otimes d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} y_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $b \delta_{3}\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)$.

The following proposition gives the associativity of $b$.
Proposition 2.2.11 For simplicial sets $K, L, M$, the following diagram commutes.


Proof: As usual the result needs only to be checked on generators $w_{n}=x_{p} \otimes y_{q} \otimes z_{r}$ for $x_{p} \in K, y_{q} \in L, z_{r} \in M$. Note that the result is straightforward if any of $p, q$ or $r$ are zero. Thus we may suppose $p+q+r \geq 3$, and so everything is abelian.

Consider the three sets $\underline{p}, \underline{q}, \underline{r}$ and the maps $j_{0}, j_{1}, j_{2}$ into $\underline{p+q+r}$ given by $k \mapsto k$, $k \mapsto p+k, k \mapsto p+q+k$ respectively. Then we define a $(p, q, r)$-shuffle to be a permutation $\sigma$ of $\underline{p+q+r}$ such that each composite $j_{\alpha} \circ \sigma$ is monotonic increasing.

Consider also the map $i_{0}$ from $\underline{q+r}$ into $\underline{p+q+r}$ given by $k \mapsto p+k$. It is clear that the composite $i_{2} \circ \sigma$ factors uniquely into a ( $q, r$ )-shuffle followed by a monotonic map from $\underline{q+r}$ into $\underline{p+q+r}$. We thus have a bijection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q, r) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q+r) \times \operatorname{Shuff}(q, r) \\
\sigma \longmapsto(\omega, \tau)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\omega$ and $\tau$ are defined by the diagrams


Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(s_{\omega_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\omega_{1}} s_{\tau_{0}} y_{q}, s_{\omega_{1}} s_{\tau_{1}} z_{r}\right) & =\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}, s_{\sigma_{2}} z_{r}\right) \\
\operatorname{and} \operatorname{sg}(\omega) \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\tau) & =\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the monotonic functions $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ are defined from $\sigma$ in a similar manner to $\omega_{0}$ above.

A similar relationship holds between $\operatorname{Shuff}(p, q, r)$ and $\operatorname{Shuff}(p+q, r) \times \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)$. Combining these results gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \prod_{\omega \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q+r)} \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Shuff}(q, r)}\left(s_{\omega_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\omega_{1}} s_{\tau_{0}} y_{q}, s_{\omega_{1}} s_{\tau_{1}} z_{r}\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\omega) \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\tau)} \\
& =\prod_{\omega \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p+q, r)} \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)}\left(s_{\omega_{0}} s_{\tau_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\omega_{0}} s_{\tau_{1}} y_{q}, s_{\omega_{1}} z_{r}\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\omega) \cdot \operatorname{sg}(\tau)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have associativity of $b$ as required.

As is well known in the chain complex case, the shuffle map $b$ is a one-sided inverse to the diagonal approximation map $a$ introduced in section 2.2.2.

Proposition 2.2.12 Given simplicial sets $K$, L, the composite homomorphism

$$
\pi K \otimes \pi L \xrightarrow{b_{K, L}} \pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{a_{K, L}} \pi K \otimes \pi L
$$

is the identity.

Proof: $\quad$ Suppose $x_{p} \otimes y_{q}$ is a generator of $\pi K \otimes \pi L$ for $x_{p} \in K_{p}, y_{q} \in L_{q}$. Then $a\left(b\left(x_{p} \otimes y_{q}\right)\right)$ is given by a composite of terms each of the form

$$
\left(\left(d_{i+1}^{p+q-i} s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p} \otimes d_{0}^{i} s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)}\right)^{c_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{n} y_{q}}
$$

for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)$ and $0 \leq i \leq p+q$. Now for $d_{0}^{i} s_{\sigma_{1}} y_{q}$ to be non-degenerate requires $\sigma(k) \leq i-1$ for $k \leq p-1$, and for $d_{i+1}^{p+q-i} s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}$ to be non-degenerate requires $\sigma(k) \geq i$ for $k \geq p$. Thus for the whole term to be non-degenerate it is necessary to have $\sigma=\mathrm{id}$ and $i=p$. In this case $\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)=1, c_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}\right)$ is degenerate and the term becomes $x_{p} \otimes y_{q}$. Thus $b \circ a=\mathrm{id}$.

Furthermore the maps $a$ and $b$ satisfy a kind of commutativity or interchange relation as follows.

Proposition 2.2.13 For simplicial sets $K, L, M$, the following diagrams commute.


Proof: We will prove the first of these two results; the second is similar.
Let $w_{n}=\left(x_{p}, y_{p}\right) \otimes z_{q}$ be a generator of $\pi(K \times L) \otimes \pi M$ for $x_{p} \in K_{p}, y_{p} \in L_{p}$, $z_{q} \in M_{q}, n=p+q$. If $p$ or $q$ is zero then the result is straightforward. If $p=q=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{K, L \times M}\left(b_{K \times L, M} w_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=a_{K, L \times M}\left(s_{1} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}, s_{0} z_{1}\right) \cdot a_{K, L \times M}\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{0} d_{0} y_{1}, z_{1}\right) \cdot\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{1} y_{1}, s_{0} z_{1}\right)\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)} \\
& \quad \cdot\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

neglecting degenerate terms. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{id} \otimes b)\left(a\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \otimes z_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=(\mathrm{id} \otimes b)\left(x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1} \otimes z_{1} \cdot\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes y_{1} \otimes z_{1}\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0} y_{1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad=x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{0} d_{0} y_{1}, z_{1}\right) \cdot\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(\left(s_{1} y_{1}, s_{0} z_{1}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have the result for $p=q=1$.
For $n \geq 3$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{K, L \times M}\left(b_{K \times L, M}\left(\left(x_{p}, y_{p}\right) \otimes z_{q}\right)\right)=a_{K, L \times M}\left(\prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}, s_{\sigma_{0}} y_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} z_{q}\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p, q)} \prod_{i=0}^{p+q}\left(\left(d_{i+1}^{p+q-i} s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p} \otimes d_{0}^{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} y_{p}, s_{\sigma_{1}} z_{q}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)}\right)^{c_{i}\left(s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}\right) \otimes\left(d_{0}^{p} y_{p}, d_{0}^{q} z_{q}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $d_{i+1}^{p+q-i} s_{\sigma_{0}} x_{p}$ not to be degenerate requires $\sigma(k) \geq i$ for $k \geq p$. There are no $(p, q)$-shuffles which satisfy this condition for $i>p$, and for $i \leq p$ the $(p, q)$-shuffles which satisfy it are precisely those $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\sigma(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
k & \text { if } k<i \\
\tau(k-i)+i & \text { if } k \geq i
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $(p-i, q)$-shuffle $\tau$. Thus the above expression becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=0}^{p} \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Shuff}(p-i, q)}\left(\left(d_{i+1}^{p-i} x_{p} \otimes\left(s_{\tau_{0}} d_{0}^{i} y_{p}, s_{\tau_{1}} z_{q}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{sg}(\tau)}\right)^{c_{i}\left(x_{p}\right) \otimes\left(d_{0}^{p} y_{p}, d_{0}^{q} z_{q}\right)} \\
& =\quad\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes b_{L, M}\right)\left(\left(\prod_{i=0}^{p}\left(d_{i+1}^{p-i} x_{p}, d_{0}^{i} y_{p}\right)^{c_{i}\left(x_{p}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{p} y_{p}}\right) \otimes z_{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $\left.\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes b_{L, M}\right)\left(a\left(x_{p}, y_{p}\right) \otimes z_{q}\right)\right)$ as required.

### 2.3 The Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem

### 2.3.1 The Homotopy Equivalence

In this section we prove a version of the classical Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for the fundamental crossed complex functor

$$
\text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi} \text { Crs }
$$

Theorem 2.3.1 For simplicial sets $K$ and $L$ the composite

$$
\pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{a} \pi K \otimes \pi L \xrightarrow{b} \pi(K \times L)
$$

is homotopic to the identity on $\pi(K \times L)$ via a splitting homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(K \times L) \xrightarrow{h_{K, L}} \pi(K \times L)
$$

Thus $\pi K \otimes \pi L$ is a strong deformation retract of $\pi(K \times L)$.

Proof: We give the derivation $\phi$ corresponding to the homotopy $h: a \circ b \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\pi(K \times L)}$. For each $n \geq 0$, suppose $z_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is a generator in $\pi(K \times L)_{n}$, with corresponding $x_{n} \in K_{n}$ and $y_{n} \in L_{n}$. We will also write $C$ for the crossed complex $\pi(K \times L)$ and $f$ for the idempotent endomorphism $a \circ b$ of $C$.

In dimension zero, $f$ is the identity function on $C_{0}$, so we define $\phi_{0}$ by

$$
\phi_{0} z_{0}=e_{z_{0}} \text { in } C_{1}
$$

In dimension one, $f$ acts on the generators by

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{1}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{1}\right)
$$

and we define $\phi_{1}$ on the generators by

$$
\phi_{1} z_{1}=\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1}
$$

Note that this satisfies $t \phi_{1} z_{1}=t z_{1}$ and that if $z_{1}$ is a 'degenerate' generator, $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=$ $s_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ say, then $\phi_{1} z_{1}$ is also degenerate. Thus we can extend $\phi_{1}$ to a function $C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ inductively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1} e_{z_{0}} & =e_{z_{0}} \\
\phi_{1}\left(w_{1}^{-1}\right) & =\left(\left(\phi_{1} w_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{w_{1}^{-1}} \\
\phi_{1}\left(z_{1} \cdot w_{1}\right) & =\left(\phi_{1} z_{1}\right)^{w_{1}} \cdot \phi_{1} w_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $w_{1} \in C_{1}$. On the generators we have also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} z_{1} \\
& \quad=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(d_{1} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{1} s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{2} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{2} s_{1} y_{1}\right) \cdot\left(d_{0} s_{0} x_{1}, d_{0} s_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=f_{1} z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required by corollary 2.1.7, with $\phi_{0}=e$. This relation extends to all of $C_{1}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1} \cdot w_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1}\left(z_{1} \cdot w_{1}\right) & =z_{1} \cdot w_{1} \cdot \delta_{2}\left(\left(\phi_{1} z_{1}\right)^{w_{1}}\right) \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} w_{1} \\
& =z_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} z_{1} \cdot w_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} w_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

To define $\phi$ in dimensions $\geq 2$ we can use the notion of simplicial and derived operators as in [20, 21]. Consider first a (finite, possibly zero) formal sum

$$
F_{p}^{q}=\sum_{i \in I} r_{i}\left(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}\right)
$$

of distinct pairs $\left(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}\right)$ of monotonic functions $[p] \rightarrow[q]$, with integral coefficients $r_{i}$. We will call such a sum a simplicial operator of dimension $(p, q)$, and say that it is frontal if $\mu_{i}(0)=\nu_{i}(0)=0$ for all $i \in I$.

Clearly morphisms $\lambda:[r] \rightarrow[p]$ or $\rho:[q] \rightarrow[s]$ of $\Delta$ will act on such an $F$, by composition with the $\mu_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}$ and collecting together like terms, to produce formal sums $\lambda F$ or $F \rho$ respectively. The general composites $F_{p}^{q} G_{q}^{r}$ can also be defined, as can sums $F_{p}^{q}+H_{p}^{q}$. In fact the collection of all such simplicial operators forms the free ringoid (abelian-group enriched category) over the category $\Delta^{(2)}$, where $\Delta^{(2)}$ is the full subcategory of $\Delta \times \Delta$ on the objects of the form ([n], $[n]$ ).

If each term $\left(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}\right)$ of $F$ with $r_{i} \neq 0$ can be written as $\left(\lambda_{i} \sigma_{i}, \lambda_{i} \tau_{i}\right)$ for some $\lambda_{i}:[p] \rightarrow$ [ $p-1$ ] then we say $F$ is degenerate. We will write $F \equiv G$ if $F-G$ is degenerate, and say that $F$ preserves degeneracies if the composite $F \rho$ is degenerate for each $\rho:[q] \rightarrow[q-1]$.

Suppose $F$ is a simplicial operator of dimension $(p, q)$ as above. Then we define the corresponding derived simplicial operator $F^{\prime}$ of dimension $(p+1, q+1)$ by

$$
F^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in I} r_{i}\left(\mu_{i}^{\prime}, \nu_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the monotonic functions $\mu_{i}^{\prime}, \nu_{i}^{\prime}:[p+1] \rightarrow[q+1]$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i}^{\prime}(0) & =0, & \mu_{i}^{\prime}(n+1) & =\mu_{i}(n)+1 \\
\nu_{i}^{\prime}(0) & =0, & \nu_{i}^{\prime}(n+1) & =\nu_{i}(n)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly taking derived operators respects the addition and composition structure. All derived operators are frontal, and if an operator is degenerate then so is the corresponding derived operator. The most important property of taking derived operators is the behaviour on composing with the zeroth coface and codegeneracy maps:

Lemma 2.3.2 Suppose $F$ is a simplicial operator and $F^{\prime}$ the corresponding derived operator. Then

1. $d(0) F^{\prime}=F d(0)$
2. If $F$ is frontal, then $s(0) F=F^{\prime} s(0)$.

Now consider the simplicial operators $\partial_{p}$ of dimension $(p-1, p)$ defined by

$$
\partial_{p}=\sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{i+1}(d(i), d(i))
$$

and note the relation

$$
\partial_{p}+\partial_{p-1}^{\prime}+(d(0), d(0))=0
$$

Proposition 2.3.3 Suppose that $n_{0} \geq 1$ and $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq n_{0}}$ is a sequence of operators of dimensions ( $n, n$ ) which satisfy

$$
\partial_{n} F_{n}=F_{n-1} \partial_{n}
$$

and $\Phi_{n_{0}-1}, \Phi_{n_{0}}$ are frontal operators of dimensions $\left(n_{0}, n_{0}-1\right),\left(n_{0}+1, n_{0}\right)$ respectively which satisfy

$$
\Phi_{n_{0}}+\Phi_{n_{0}-1}^{\prime}+F_{n_{0}}^{\prime} s(0)=0
$$

and

$$
F_{n_{0}} \equiv \mathrm{id}_{n_{0}}+\partial_{n_{0}+1} \Phi_{n_{0}}+\Phi_{n_{0}-1} \partial_{n_{0}}
$$

Then the operators $\Phi_{n}$ of dimensions $(n+1, n)$ defined inductively by

$$
\Phi_{n}+\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}+F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)=0
$$

are all frontal and satisfy

$$
F_{n} \equiv \operatorname{id}_{n}+\partial_{n+1} \Phi_{n}+\Phi_{n-1} \partial_{n}
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}$. Furthermore if $\Phi_{n_{0}-1}$ and all the $F_{n}$ preserve degeneracies, then so do all the $\Phi_{n}$.

Proof: Since $s_{0}$ is frontal and any derived operator or sum or composite of frontal operators is frontal, it follows from their definition that the $\Phi_{n}$ are all frontal. Thus by the lemma and the relations $\partial+\partial^{\prime}+d(0)=0$ and $\Phi+\Phi^{\prime}+F^{\prime} s(0)=0$ we may rewrite $\partial \Phi$ and $\Phi \partial$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n+1} \Phi_{n} & =\left(d(0)+\partial_{n}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}-\partial_{n+1} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) \\
& =\Phi_{n-1} d(0)+\partial_{n}^{\prime} \Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}-\partial_{n+1} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) \\
\Phi_{n-1} \partial_{n} & =-\Phi_{n-1} d(0)+\left(\Phi_{n-2}^{\prime}+F_{n-1}^{\prime} s(0)\right) \partial_{n-1}^{\prime} \\
& =-\Phi_{n-1} d(0)+\Phi_{n-2}^{\prime} \partial_{n-1}^{\prime}+F_{n-1}^{\prime} \partial_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} s(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\partial_{n+1} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)+F_{n-1}^{\prime} \partial_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} s(0) \\
& \quad=\left(d(0)+\partial_{n}^{\prime}\right) F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)-F_{n-1}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{n}+d(0)\right)^{\prime} s(0) \\
& \quad=d(0) s(0) F_{n}+\partial_{n}^{\prime} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)-F_{n-1}^{\prime} \partial_{n}^{\prime} s_{0}-F_{n-1}^{\prime} d(1) s(0) \\
& \quad=F_{n}-F_{n-1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\partial_{n+1} \Phi_{n}+\Phi_{n-1} \partial_{n}=\partial_{n}^{\prime} \Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}+\Phi_{n-2}^{\prime} \partial_{n-1}^{\prime}+F_{n}-F_{n-1}^{\prime}
$$

Thus taking the derivative of the relation

$$
F_{n-1} \equiv \mathrm{id}_{n-1}+\partial_{n} \Phi_{n-1}+\Phi_{n-2} \partial_{n-1}
$$

implies the relation for $F_{n}$, and so it holds for all $n \geq n_{0}$ by induction.
For the last part, suppose inductively that $\Phi_{n-1}$ preserves degeneracies. Then $\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime} s(i)$ can be written as $\left(\Phi_{n-1} s(i-1)\right)^{\prime}$ if $i \geq 1$ or as $s(0) \Phi_{n-1}$ if $i=0$ since
$\Phi_{n-1}$ is frontal. Also for all $i$ we have $F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) s(i)=F_{n}^{\prime} s(i+1) s(0)=\left(F_{n} s(i)\right)^{\prime} s(0)$. Therefore $\Phi_{n}=-\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}-F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)$ preserves degeneracies also.

By regarding the monotonic functions $\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}$ as corresponding to functions $\mu_{i}^{*}: K_{q} \rightarrow$ $K_{p}, \nu_{i}^{*}: L_{q} \rightarrow L_{p}$ respectively, we note that in sufficiently high dimensions a simplicial operator defines a map on $C$.

Proposition 2.3.4 Suppose $F=\sum_{I} r_{i}\left(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}\right)$ is a simplicial operator of dimension $(p \geq 3, q \geq 2)$ which preserves degeneracies. Then $F$ induces a homomorphism of groupoids-with-C $C_{1}$-action

which is given on the generators by

$$
\bar{F}\left(x_{q}, y_{q}\right)=\prod_{i \in I}\left(\left(\mu_{i}^{*}\left(x_{q}\right), \nu_{i}^{*}\left(y_{q}\right)\right)^{r_{i}}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{i}^{*}\left(x_{q}\right), \tau_{i}^{*}\left(y_{q}\right)\right)}
$$

where the monotonic functions $\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}:[1] \rightarrow[q]$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{i}(0)=\mu_{i}(p), & \sigma_{i}(1)=q \\
\tau_{i}(0)=\nu_{i}(p), & \tau_{i}(1)=q
\end{array}
$$

If $G$ is another simplicial operator of dimension $(r \geq 3, s \geq 2)$ which preserves degeneracies and $\bar{G}$ the corresponding homomorphism, then the following relations hold for $w_{n} \in C_{n}$

1. If $p=r$ and $q=s$ then $\overline{F \pm G}\left(w_{r}\right)=\bar{F}\left(w_{r}\right) \cdot\left(\bar{G}\left(w_{r}\right)\right)^{ \pm 1}$,
2. If $q=r$ then $\bar{F}\left(\bar{G}\left(w_{s}\right)\right)=\overline{F G}\left(w_{s}\right)$,
3. $\overline{F \partial_{p}}\left(w_{p}\right)=\bar{F}\left(\delta_{p} w_{p}\right)$.

Proof: Note that $C_{q}$ and $C_{p}$ are both totally disconnected and that each group $C_{p}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is abelian. Since $F$ preserves degeneracies and the $\left(\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ ensure that $t(u)=t\left(z_{q}\right)$ for each term $u$ in the product, $\bar{F}$ is well defined on the generators and may be extended to a $C_{1}$-homomorphism on $C_{q}$ inductively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}\left(e_{z_{0}}\right) & =e_{z_{0}} \\
\bar{F}\left(w_{q}^{w_{1}}\right) & =\left(\bar{F} w_{q}\right)^{w_{1}} \\
\bar{F}\left(z_{q} \cdot w_{q}\right) & =\bar{F} z_{q} \cdot \bar{F} w_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $w_{1} \in C_{1}, w_{q} \in C_{q}$.

The first relation follows trivially from the above. In the second, corresponding elements of $C_{p}$ on the left and right hand sides are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mu_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*} x_{s}, \nu_{i}^{*} \nu_{j}^{*} y_{s}\right)^{d_{1}\left(\sigma_{i, j}^{*} x_{q}, \tau_{i, j}^{*} y_{q}\right)} \\
& \text { and }\left(\mu_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*} x_{s}, \nu_{i}^{*} \nu_{j}^{*} y_{s}\right)^{d_{0}\left(\sigma_{i, j}^{*} x_{q}, \tau_{i, j}^{*} y_{q}\right) \cdot d_{2}\left(\sigma_{i, j}^{*} x_{q}, \tau_{i, j}^{*} y_{q}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \in I_{F}, j \in I_{G}$, where the monotonic functions $\sigma_{i, j}, \tau_{i, j}:[2] \rightarrow[s]$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{i, j}(0)=\mu_{i} \mu_{j}(p), & \sigma_{i, j}(1)=\mu_{i}(q), & \sigma_{i, j}(2)=s \\
\tau_{i, j}(0)=\nu_{i} \nu_{j}(p), & \tau_{i, j}(1)=\nu_{i}(q), & \tau_{i, j}(2)=s
\end{array}
$$

But $\delta_{2} C_{2}$ acts trivially on $C_{p}$, so the above elements are equal.
For the third relation, note that for $p \geq 4$ we have $\overline{\partial_{p}}\left(w_{p}\right)=\delta_{p} w_{p}$ and the result follows from the previous relation. In fact the result for $p=3$ holds by the same reasoning, since it is only the intermediate values that lie in the non-abelian $C_{2}$.

Since $\bar{F}=\bar{G}$ for $F \equiv G$, we have
Corollary 2.3.5 Suppose $n_{0}=1$ and $\Phi_{0}, \Phi_{1}$ and $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ are simplicial operators as in proposition 2.3.3, with $\Phi_{0}$ and the $F_{n}$ preserving degeneracies. Then the resulting homomorphisms $f_{n}=\overline{F_{n}}$ for $n \geq 3$ and $\phi_{n}=\overline{\Phi_{n}}$ for $n \geq 2$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(\phi_{n} w_{n}\right) & =t\left(w_{n}\right) \\
\phi_{n}\left(w_{n}{ }^{w_{1}}\right) & =\left(\phi_{n} w_{n}\right)^{w_{1}} \\
\phi_{n}\left(z_{n} \cdot w_{n}\right) & =\phi_{n} z_{n} \cdot \phi_{n} w_{n} \\
\text { and } f_{n} w_{n} & =w_{n} \cdot \delta_{n+1} \phi_{n} w_{n} \cdot \phi_{n-1} \delta_{n} w_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning at last to the proof of theorem 2.3.1, define operators $\Phi_{0}$ of dimension $(1,0), \Phi_{1}$ of dimension $(2,1)$, and $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of dimensions $(n, n)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}=(s(0), s(0)) \\
& \Phi_{1}=-(s(0), s(1))-(s(1), s(1))-\left(s(0)^{2} d(1), s(0)\right) \\
& F_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(i, n-i)} \operatorname{sg}(\sigma)\left(s\left(\sigma_{0}\right) d(i+1)^{n-i}, s\left(\sigma_{1}\right) d(0)^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\overline{F_{n}}=f_{n}$ for $n \geq 3, \Phi_{0}$ preserves degeneracies, and $\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{0}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime} s(0)=0$. The relation $F_{1} \equiv \mathrm{id}+\partial_{2} \Phi_{1}+\Phi_{0} \partial_{1}$ holds as for $f_{1}$ and $\phi_{1}$ earlier. The proof that the $F_{n}$ preserve degeneracies and satisfy $\partial_{n} F_{n}=F_{n-1} \partial_{n}$ is the same as that for the homomorphisms $a$ and $b$. Thus we have $\phi_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$ from the corollary above with all the required relations for a derivation $\phi: f \simeq \mathrm{id}$ except

$$
f_{2} w_{2}=w_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2}
$$

for $w_{2} \in C_{2}$. In fact it is only necessary to check this relation on the generators since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z_{2} \cdot w_{2}\right) \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2} \cdot w_{2}\right) \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2}\left(z_{2} \cdot w_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=z_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} z_{2} \cdot w_{2} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \delta_{2} z_{2}\right)^{\delta_{2} w_{2}} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2} \\
& \quad=z_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} z_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} z_{2} \cdot w_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\delta_{2} w_{2}$ acts as conjugation and $\delta_{3} C_{3}$ is central in $C_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2}{ }^{w_{1}} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2}\left(w_{2}^{w_{1}}\right) \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2}\left(w_{2}^{w_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad=w_{2}^{w_{1}} \cdot\left(\delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2}\right)^{w_{1}} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(w_{1}^{-1} \cdot \delta_{2} w_{2} \cdot w_{1}\right) \\
& =w_{2}^{w_{1}} \cdot\left(\delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2}\right)^{w_{1}} \cdot\left(\left(\phi_{1} w_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\delta_{2}\left(w_{2} w_{1}\right)} \cdot\left(\phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2}\right)^{w_{1}} \cdot \phi_{1} w_{1} \\
& =\left(\phi_{1} w_{1}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(w_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2}\right)^{w_{1}} \cdot \phi_{1} w_{1} \\
& =\left(w_{2} \cdot \delta_{3} \phi_{2} w_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} w_{2}\right)^{f_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $f_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)=w_{1} \cdot \delta_{2} \phi_{1} w_{1}$. So now consider
$\delta_{3} \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\delta_{3} \overline{\left(-F_{2}^{\prime} s(0)-\Phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)}\left(z_{2}\right)$
$=\delta_{3}\left(\left(\left(s_{2} s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{1} s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{2} y_{2}\right)\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(s_{0} x_{2}, s_{2} s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{1} x_{2}, s_{2} y_{2}\right)\right)$
$=\left(\left(s_{1} d_{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(s_{0} d_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, d_{0} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(s_{1} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}$
$\cdot\left(\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0}^{2} d_{1}^{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{2} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}$
$\cdot\left(\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{2}, s_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1}$
$\cdot\left(x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{0} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{1} d_{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}$
$=\left(\left(s_{1} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0}^{2} d_{1}^{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{2} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{2}, s_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)$
$\cdot\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{0} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(s_{0} d_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}$
on permuting the terms cyclically by two places and cancelling. Using $u \cdot v^{\delta_{2} u}=v \cdot u$ twice more, to move the third term to the right one place and the last term to the left one place, and composing with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{2} \cdot \phi_{1} \delta_{2} z_{2}=z_{2} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(d_{0} z_{2}^{-1} \cdot d_{2} z_{2}^{-1} \cdot d_{1} z_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=z_{2} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{0} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{\delta_{2} z_{2}} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{d_{2} z_{2}^{-1} \cdot d_{1} z_{2}} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(s_{0} d_{0} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{2} y_{2}\right)^{d_{0} z_{2}} \cdot z_{2} \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{1} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{1} y_{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

leaves

$$
\left(\left(s_{1} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0} d_{2} x_{2}, s_{1} d_{0} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\left(d_{0} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{2}, s_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right) \cdot\left(s_{0}^{2} d_{1}^{2} x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{\left(d_{1} x_{2}, s_{0} d_{0}^{2} y_{2}\right)}
$$

which is just $f_{2} z_{2}$.

Thus we have a derivation $\phi$ corresponding to homotopy $h: f \simeq$ id. By the work of section 2.1.2, $h$ may be replaced by a splitting homotopy, although it may be checked that the definition of $\phi$ here is such that it already satisfies the necessary side conditions.

Before we move on to the next section, there are four more commutativity relations that the above homotopy $h$ satisfies with respect to $a$ and $b$. Recall from [12] that the tensor product of crossed complexes is symmetric, where for crossed complexes $C, D$ the homomorphism $s: C \otimes D \rightarrow D \otimes C$ is given on the generators by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \otimes D \xrightarrow{s_{C, D}} D \otimes C \\
& c_{p} \otimes d_{q} \longmapsto\left(d_{q} \otimes c_{p}\right)^{(-1)^{p q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $c_{p} \in C_{p}, d_{q} \in D_{q}$.
Proposition 2.3.6 For simplicial sets $K, L, M$ the following diagrams commute


Proof: Suppose as usual that $w_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ is a generator of $\pi(K \times L \times M)$. Then the results need only be checked on the generators $\iota \otimes w_{n}$ of $\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(K \times L \times M)$; the commutativity for $0 \otimes w_{n}$ follows by $h(0 \otimes-)=a \circ b$ and propositions 2.2.5 and 2.2.13, and for $1 \otimes w_{n}$ is trivial. For $n=0$ the results are also clear. For $n=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(h_{K, L \times M} \circ a_{K \times L, M}\right)\left(\iota \otimes w_{n}\right) & =a_{K \times L, M}\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}\right) \otimes d_{0}^{2} s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
((\mathrm{id} \otimes a) \circ(h \otimes \mathrm{id}))\left(\iota \otimes w_{n}\right) & =(h \otimes \mathrm{id})\left(\iota \otimes\left(d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \otimes z_{1} \cdot\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \otimes d_{0} z_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1} \otimes d_{0} z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

neglecting the degenerate terms in $s_{1} z_{1}, d_{0} s_{1} z_{1}$ and $h\left(\iota \otimes d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right)$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{K \times L, M} \circ a_{K, L \times M}\right)\left(\iota \otimes w_{n}\right)=a_{K, L \times M}\left(s_{0} x_{1}, s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad=\left(d_{1}^{2} s_{0} x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)} \\
& ((\mathrm{id} \otimes a) \circ(s \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ(\mathrm{id} \otimes h))\left(\iota \otimes w_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=((s \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ(\mathrm{id} \otimes h))\left(\left(\iota \otimes d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right)^{1 \otimes x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)} \cdot \iota \otimes x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =(\mathrm{id} \otimes h)\left(\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes \iota \otimes\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right)^{1 \otimes x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{1} \otimes \iota\right)^{-1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(d_{1} x_{1} \otimes\left(s_{0} y_{1}, s_{1} z_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)^{x_{1} \otimes d_{0}\left(y_{1}, z_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
For the case $n \geq 2$ we can again represent everything using a straightforward generalisation of the notion of simplicial operators above. Recall that $h$ was defined via

$$
\Phi_{n}=-\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}-F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) \quad F_{n}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(i, n-i)}} \operatorname{sg}(\sigma)\left(s\left(\sigma_{0}\right) d(i+1)^{n-i}, s\left(\sigma_{1}\right) d(0)^{i}\right)
$$

For a simplicial operator $G$ of dimension $(p, q)$, let $G^{(0)}$ and $G^{(1)}$ be the formal sums given by the first and second components of the terms in $G$, and write $G=\left(G^{(0)}, G^{(1)}\right)$ where the summation here takes place in parallel. Then the actions of $h_{K, L \times M} \circ a_{K \times L, M}$ and $\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a_{K \times L, M}\right) \circ\left(h_{K, L} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)$ on $\iota \otimes w_{n}$ may be represented by the formal expressions

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\left(d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n}^{(0)}, d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n}^{(1)}\right) \otimes d(0)^{j} \Phi_{n}^{(1)} \\
\text { and } \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\Phi_{k}^{(0)} d(k+1)^{n-k}, \Phi_{k}^{(1)} d(k+1)^{n-k}\right) \otimes d(0)^{k}
\end{array}
$$

For $n=1$ an argument as above shows that modulo degeneracies these are both equal to $-\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right) \otimes d_{0}$. Suppose inductively that the result holds for $n-1$ :

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} d(j+1)^{n-j} \Phi_{n-1} \otimes d(0)^{j} \Phi_{n-1}^{(1)} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi_{k} d(k+1)^{n-1-k} \otimes d(0)^{k}
$$

Taking the derivative of this expression, writing $j, k$ for $j-1, k-1$, and multiplying on the left by id $\otimes d(0)$, gives

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n-1}^{\prime} \otimes d(0)^{j} \Phi_{n-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_{k-1}^{\prime} d(k+1)^{n-k} \otimes d(0)^{k}
$$

Thus it remains to show that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} d(j+1)^{n+1-j} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) \otimes d(0)^{j} F_{n}^{(1)^{\prime}} s(0) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{k}^{\prime} s(0) d(k+1)^{n-k} \otimes d(0)^{k}
$$

The expression $d(0)^{j} F_{n}^{(1)^{\prime}} s(0)$ is degenerate for $j=0$, and if $j \geq k+1$ consists of terms of the form $d(0)^{j} s\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{\prime} d(1)^{i} s(0)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in \operatorname{Shuff}(i, n-i)$. For $d(0)^{j} s\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ to be non-degenerate requires $\sigma$ satisfy $\sigma(r) \leq j-2$ for $0 \leq r \leq i-1$, and for each $i<j$ the restriction to $\underline{j-1}$ gives a bijection between such $\sigma$ and $\operatorname{Shuff}(i, j-1-i)$. If $G_{n, j}$ is the expression obtained from $F_{n}$ when only these $i$ and $\sigma$ are considered we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(0)^{j} F_{n}^{(1)^{\prime}} \equiv d(0)^{j} G_{n, j}^{(1){ }^{\prime}} & =d(0)^{j} \\
\text { and } d(j+1)^{n+1-j} G_{n, j}^{\prime} & =F_{j-1}^{\prime} d(j+1)^{n+1-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
d(j+1)^{n+1-j} F_{n}^{\prime} s(0) \otimes d(0)^{j} F_{n}^{(1)^{\prime}} s(0) \equiv F_{k}^{\prime} d(k+2)^{n-k} s(0) \otimes d(0)^{k+1} s(0)
$$

for $k=j-1$ and the result follows.
For the second result we must show

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n}^{(0)} \otimes d(0)^{j} \Phi_{n} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} d(j+1)^{n-j} \otimes \Phi_{n-j} d(0)^{j}
$$

where the $(-1)^{j}$ comes from the symmetry. By the definition of $\Phi_{n}$ we have

$$
\Phi_{n}=-\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}-F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} F_{n-i}^{\{i+1\}} s(0)^{\{i\}}
$$

where the superscripts $\{r\}$ indicate the $r$-fold derived operator. Now $F^{(0)}$ is frontal, so

$$
d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n}^{(0)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} d(j+1)^{n+1-j} s(i) F_{n-i}^{\{i\}}
$$

These terms are degenerate for $i<j$, and for $i \geq j$ we have
$d(j+1)^{n+1-j} s(i) F_{n-i}^{\{i\}}=d(j+1)^{n-j}$ and $d(0)^{j} F_{n-i}^{\{i+1\}} s(i)=F_{n-i}^{\{i-j+1\}} s(i-j) d(0)^{j}$ Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(j+1)^{n+1-j} \Phi_{n}^{(0)} \otimes d(0)^{j} \Phi_{n} & \equiv \sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} d(j+1)^{n-j} \otimes F_{n-i}^{\{i-j+1\}} s(i-j) d(0)^{j} \\
& =d(j+1)^{n-j} \otimes(-1)^{j}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-j}(-1)^{i+1} F_{n-j-i}^{\{i+1\}} s(i)\right) d(0)^{j} \\
& =(-1)^{j} d(j+1)^{n-j} \otimes \Phi_{n-j} d(0)^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have the result.

Proposition 2.3.7 For simplicial sets $K, L, M$ the following diagrams commute


Proof: Suppose $v_{p, q}$ and $w_{p, q}$ are generators in dimension $p+q$ of $\pi(K \times L) \otimes \pi M$ and $\pi K \otimes \pi(L \times M)$ as usual. Then the results hold for the generators $\alpha \otimes v_{p, q}$ and $\alpha \otimes w_{p, q}$ for $\alpha=0,1$ in $\mathcal{I}_{0}$ by propositions 2.2 .11 and 2.2.13. Also the results are clear for $\iota \otimes v_{p, q}$ and $\iota \otimes w_{p, q}$ if $p$ or $q$ are zero. Thus we may assume these generators have dimension at least three and work in terms of simplicial operators as before.

Let $B_{p, q}=\left(B_{p, q}^{(0)}, B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right)$ be the simplicial operators representing the shuffle homomorphism $b$ in each dimension. Then for the first result we show inductively that

$$
\left(\Phi_{p+q} B_{p, q}^{(0)}, \Phi_{p+q}^{(1)} B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \equiv\left(B_{p+1, q}^{(0)} \Phi_{p}, B_{p+1, q}^{(1)}\right)
$$

Partitioning the set of $(p, q)$-shuffles $\sigma$ according to whether zero is in the image of $\sigma_{1}$ or $\sigma_{0}$, we obtain the following recursive formula for $B$ :

$$
B_{p, q}=\left(B_{p-1, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime}, B_{p-1, q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0)\right)+(-1)^{p}\left(B_{p, q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), B_{p, q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\right)
$$

Together with the inductive hypothesis, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{p+q-1}^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{(0)}, \Phi_{p+q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi_{p+q-1}^{\prime} B_{p-1, q}^{(0)}, \Phi_{p+q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p-1, q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0)\right)+(-1)^{p}\left(\Phi_{p+q-1}^{\prime} B_{p, q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), \Phi_{p+q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p, q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(B_{p, q}^{(0))^{\prime}} \Phi_{p-1}^{\prime}, B_{p, q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0)\right)+(-1)^{p}\left(B_{p+1, q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} \Phi_{p}^{\prime} s(0), B_{p+1, q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also propositions 2.2 .11 and 2.2 .13 imply the following commutativity relation between $B$ and $F$ :

$$
\left(F_{p+q} B_{p, q}^{(0)}, F_{p+q}^{(1)} B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \equiv\left(B_{p, q}^{(0)} F_{p}, B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right)
$$

which since $B$ is frontal gives

$$
\left(F_{p+q}^{\prime} s(0) B_{p, q}^{(0)}, F_{p+q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0) B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \equiv\left({\left.\left.B_{p, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} F_{p}^{\prime} s(0), B_{p, q}^{(1)^{\prime}} s(0)\right) ~\right) ~}_{s}\right.
$$

Combining these results using $-\Phi_{n}=\Phi_{n-1}^{\prime}+F_{n}^{\prime} s(0)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Phi_{p+q} B_{p, q}^{(0)}, \Phi_{p+q}^{(1)} B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(B_{p, q}^{(0)^{\prime}} \Phi_{p}, B_{p, q}^{(1)^{\prime}} s(0)\right)+(-1)^{p+1}\left(B_{p+1, q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} \Phi_{p}^{\prime} s(0), B_{p+1, q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\Phi_{p}^{\prime} s(0)=s(0) \Phi_{p}$, so using the recursive shuffle relation for $B_{p+1, q}$ gives the required result.

For the second part, we use similar arguments to show inductively that

$$
\left(\Phi_{p+q}^{(0)} B_{p, q}^{(0)}, \Phi_{p+q} B_{p, q}^{(1)}\right) \equiv(-1)^{p}\left(B_{p, q+1}^{(0)}, B_{p, q+1}^{(1)} \Phi_{q}\right)
$$

Using the recursive formulæ for $\Phi$ and $B$, and since both $\Phi$ and $B$ are frontal, this may be expanded into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\Phi_{p+q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p-1, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime}, \Phi_{p+q-1}^{\prime} B_{p-1, q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0)\right) \quad(-1)^{p}\left(B_{p-1, q+1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime}, B_{p-1, q+1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} \Phi_{q}^{\prime} s(0)\right) \\
& -(-1)^{p}\left(\Phi_{p+q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p, q-1}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), \Phi_{p+q-1}^{\prime} B_{p, q-1}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\right) \equiv-\left(B_{p, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), B_{p, q}^{(1){ }^{\prime}} \Phi_{q-1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\left(F_{p+q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), F_{p+q}^{\prime} B_{p, q}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} s(0)\right) \quad-\left(B_{p, q}^{(0)}{ }^{\prime} s(0), B_{p, q}^{(1)^{\prime}} F_{q}^{\prime} s(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which holds by the inductive hypothesis and propositions 2.2.11 and 2.2.13.

These two propositions 2.3.6 and 2.3.7 will be used in the next section to show that the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem extends to give a coherent system of higher homotopies

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \longrightarrow \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

between the $2^{r}$ endomorphisms of $\pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)$ defined by various composites of $a$ and $b$.

### 2.3.2 Higher Homotopies and Coherence

For simplicial sets $K, L, M$, there are homotopies between

$$
\pi(K \times L \times M) \xrightarrow{a^{2}} \pi K \otimes \pi L \otimes \pi M \xrightarrow{b^{2}} \pi(K \times L \times M)
$$

and the identity, induced either by $h_{K \times L, M}$ and $h_{K, L}$, or by $h_{K, L \times M}$ and $h_{L, M}$. These homotopies are not the same, although they are themselves homotopic via a double homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(K \times L \times M) \xrightarrow{h_{K, L, M}} \pi(K \times L \times M)
$$

More generally we make the following definition:

Definition 2.3.8 An r-fold homotopy of crossed complexes is given by a crossed complex homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes C \xrightarrow{h} D
$$

where $C, D$ are crossed complexes and $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r}$ is the $r$-fold tensor product of the crossed complex $\mathcal{I}$ with itself.

Given a $p$-fold homotopy $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes p} \otimes C \xrightarrow{h} D$ and a $q$-fold homotopy $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes q} \otimes E \xrightarrow{k} F$ we will define $h * k$ to be the $(p+q)$-fold homotopy given by

where $s$ is given by the symmetry of the tensor product. Also for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $\alpha \in\{0,1\}$ we will write $\delta_{i}^{\alpha}(h)$ for the ( $p-1$ )-fold homotopy defined by

where $f_{i}^{\alpha}$ is the natural monomorphism given on generators by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(p-1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes p} \\
x_{1} \otimes x_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p-1} \longmapsto x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i-1} \otimes \alpha \otimes x_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{p-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

We will often use the notation $\delta_{i}^{-}$for $\delta_{i}^{0}$ and $\delta_{i}^{+}$for $\delta_{i}^{1}$.
Note that 0 -fold homotopies are given by homomorphisms, and a 1 -fold homotopy $h$ is thus just an ordinary homotopy $h: \delta_{1}^{-}(h) \simeq \delta_{1}^{+}(h)$ as in section 2.1.1.

For convenience in later chapters we will make a change in the conventions of proposition 2.3.1, and write $h_{K, L}$ for the homotopy id $\simeq a \circ b$ given by the reverse of the homotopy denoted $h_{K, L}$ in that section. We will also use the notation $a^{(i)}$ and $b^{(i)}$ for the homomorphisms defined by $a$ and $b$ at the $i$ th factor of a product

$$
\pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \underset{b^{(i)}}{\stackrel{a^{(i)}}{\rightleftarrows}} \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{i-1}\right) \otimes \pi\left(K_{i} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

and will write $h^{(i)}$ for the homotopy id $\simeq a^{(i)} \circ b^{(i)}$.

Theorem 2.3.9 Suppose that $K_{i}$ are simplicial sets for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then there is an r-fold homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}} \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

These homotopies are natural in the $K_{i}$, and satisfy the cubical boundary relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i}^{-}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right)= & h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots,\left(K_{i-1} \times K_{i}\right), \ldots, K_{r}} \text { for } r \geq 1 \\
\delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right)= & \left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i)}\right) \circ\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} * h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ b^{(i)} \quad \text { for } r \geq 1 \\
& \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(r-1) \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i)}} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(r-1)} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{i-1}\right) \otimes \pi\left(K_{i} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)} \\
& \delta_{i}^{+}\left(\left.h_{\left.K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}\right)}\right|_{h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} * h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}}\right. \\
& \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \stackrel{b^{(i)}}{ } \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{i-1}\right) \otimes \pi\left(K_{i} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

together with the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{K_{0}} & =\operatorname{id}_{\pi K_{0}} \\
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes b^{(i)}\right) \circ \delta_{i}^{-}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) & =\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes b^{(i)}\right) \circ \delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \\
\delta_{i}^{-}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ a^{(i)} & =\delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ a^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Suppose $K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}$ are simplicial sets and write $K_{i}^{j}$ for the product $K_{i} \times K_{i+1} \times \ldots \times K_{j}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq r$. Then the $r$-fold homotopies $h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}$ may be defined inductively by

$$
h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes h_{K_{0}^{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ h_{K_{0}, K_{1}^{r}}
$$

where $h_{K_{0}}=\operatorname{id}_{K_{0}}$ and $h_{K_{0}, K_{1}}$ is as defined earlier. Thus $h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}$ is the composite of the maps

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes i} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)}} \otimes h^{(i)}} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)
$$

for $i=r, r-1, \ldots, 1$.
To prove that the $r$-fold homotopies $h$ satisfy the appropriate boundary relations, we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.3.10 The maps $a, b, h$ as above satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}} \circ a^{(i)} & =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(r-i)} \otimes a^{(i)}\right) \circ\left(s \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{i}^{r}}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{0}^{i-1}} \otimes h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \\
h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-2}, K_{i-1}^{r}} \circ a^{(i)} & =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(i-1)} \otimes a^{(i)}\right) \circ\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{i}^{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(r-i)} \otimes b^{(i)}\right) \circ h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}} & =\left(s \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{i}^{r}}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{0}^{i-1}} \otimes h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ b^{(i)} \\
\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(i-1)} \otimes b^{(i)}\right) \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-2}, K_{i-1}^{r}} & =\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{i}^{r}}\right) \circ b^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We prove the first result of these four; the others are similar. Assume inductively that the result holds with $K_{i}$ and $K_{i+1}$ replaced by their product

$$
h_{K_{0}^{i+1}, K_{i+2}, \ldots, K_{r}} \circ a^{(i)}=\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(r-i-1)} \otimes a^{(i)}\right) \circ\left(s \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{i}^{r}}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{\pi K_{0}^{i-1}} \otimes h_{K_{i}^{i+1}, K_{i+2}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right)
$$

and consider the diagram


This commutes by the inductive hypothesis, by naturality of $s$ and by proposition 2.3.6. Since the horizontal composites are just the inductive definitions of $h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}}$ and $\mathrm{id} \otimes h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}$ we have the required result.

Returning to the proof of the proposition, we can write

$$
h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes i} \otimes h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(i-1)} \otimes h^{(i)}\right) \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-2}, K_{i-1}^{r}}
$$

Since $\delta_{1}^{-} h^{(i)}=\mathrm{id}$ and $\delta_{1}^{+} h^{(i)}=a^{(i)} \circ b^{(i)}$ this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{i}^{-} h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)}} \otimes h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-2}, K_{i-1}^{r}} \\
& \delta_{i}^{+} h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I} \otimes(i-1)} \otimes\left(h_{K_{0}^{i}, K_{i+1}, \ldots, K_{r}} \circ a^{(i)} \circ b^{(i)}\right)\right) \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-2}, K_{i-1}^{r}} \\
& \quad=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i)}\right) \circ(\mathrm{id} \otimes s \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ b^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by first and fourth parts of the lemma, and the $\delta_{i}^{ \pm}$boundary relations follow. The final two relations hold by the second and third parts of the lemma since $b^{(i)} \circ a^{(i)}=\mathrm{id}$.

The following additional properties of the $r$-fold homotopies $h$ are easy consequences of the relations given in the theorem.

Proposition 2.3.11 Given simplicial sets $K_{i}$ with corresponding higher homotopies $h$ as above, the following equations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) & =\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i)} \circ \mathrm{id} \otimes b^{(i)} \circ \delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \\
& =\delta_{i}^{+}\left(h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{r}}\right) \circ a^{(i)} \circ b^{(i)} \\
\text { id } \otimes b^{(i)} \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1} \times K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}} & =h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} * h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}} \circ b^{(i)} \\
h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1} \times K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}} \circ a^{(i)} & =\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i)} \circ h_{K_{0}, \ldots, K_{i-1}} * h_{K_{i}, \ldots, K_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}$ is an $r$-fold homotopy of the theorem. Then for each $\alpha=$ $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right), \alpha_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, there is an endomorphism $h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}^{\alpha}$ of $\pi\left(K_{0} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right)$ given by restricting the homotopy to the corner $\alpha$ of the $r$-cube $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r}$. That is,

$$
h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}^{\alpha}(x)=h_{K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r}}\left(\alpha_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{r} \otimes x\right)
$$

We say that the various $r$-fold homotopies $h$ of the theorem provide a coherent system of homotopies between the homomorphisms $h^{\alpha}$.

For simplicial sets $L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$ the diagonal approximation and shuffle maps give homomorphisms

$$
\pi\left(L_{0} \times L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{k}\right) \stackrel{a^{k}}{b^{k}} \pi L_{0} \otimes \pi L_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \pi L_{k}
$$

which are well defined by the associativity of $a$ and $b$. Thus for $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ as before we have homomorphisms $a_{\alpha}$ and $b_{\alpha}$

$$
\pi\left(K_{0} \times K_{1} \times \ldots \times K_{r}\right) \stackrel{a_{\alpha}}{b_{\alpha}} \pi\left(\prod_{i=i_{0}}^{i_{1}-1} K_{i}\right) \otimes \pi\left(\prod_{i=i_{1}}^{i_{2}-1} K_{i}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \pi\left(\prod_{i=i_{k}}^{i_{k+1}-1} K_{i}\right)
$$

where $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$ are those $i$ such that $\alpha_{i}=1$, and $i_{0}=0, i_{k+1}=r+1$. In particular, $a_{\alpha}=b_{\alpha}=\mathrm{id}$ if $\alpha_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

By using the boundary relations which the $h$ satisfy, we can show that the homomorphisms $a_{\alpha}$ and $b_{\alpha}$ give an explicit description of the endomorphisms $h^{\alpha}$.

Proposition 2.3.12 For $h$ an $r$-fold homotopy and $\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{r}$ as above, the endomorphism given by $h^{\alpha}$ is precisely the composite $a_{\alpha} \circ b_{\alpha}$. Thus the r-fold homotopies $h$ provide a system of coherent homotopies between the various composites $a^{k} \circ b^{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$.

These results will be used in chapter four to make precise the statement that 'up to homotopy' there is an enriched natural adjunction between simplicial enrichments of $\pi$ and $N$.

## Chapter 3

## Homotopy Colimits and Small Resolutions

### 3.0 Introduction

In this chapter we give a definition of homotopy colimits of diagrams of crossed complexes. It is proved that there is a strong deformation retraction

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}_{\operatorname{Crs}}(F \circ \pi) \simeq \pi\left(\operatorname{hocolim}_{S} F\right)
$$

for $F$ a small diagram of simplicial sets and hocolim $_{S} F$ its homotopy colimit as defined in [4]. We discuss an alternative definition of homotopy colimit in SimpSet, written hocolim ${ }_{S}^{\prime}$, such that there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}_{S}^{\prime}(F \circ \operatorname{Ner}) \cong \operatorname{Ner}\left(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\text {Cat }} F\right)
$$

for $F$ a small diagram of categories and hocolim Cat the usual homotopy colimit in Cat [38].

As a simple motivating example, these results are applied to a functor corresponding to a group action. This gives a free crossed resolution for a semidirect product of groups which is a strong deformation retraction of the standard resolution, and which may be written as a twisted tensor product of standard resolutions.

The structure of this chapter is as follows. In the first section we set out the motivation in terms of finding small resolutions of semidirect products of groups.

In the second section, we recall the Bousfield-Kan definition of homotopy colimit in SimpSet in terms of a coend and of the diagonal of a bisimplicial set $\Psi$. An alternative (homotopy equivalent) definition is proposed using the Artin-Mazur diagonal of the transpose $\Psi^{\prime}$ of $\Psi$, and it is shown that this behaves better with respect to homotopy colimits in Cat as defined by the Grothendieck construction.

In the third section, we propose a definition of homotopy colimits in the monoidal closed category of crossed complexes, both in terms of a coend and of a total complex
of a simplicial crossed complex. The main result that we prove is that the fundamental crossed complex functor preserves homotopy colimits up to a strong deformation retraction. Finally we apply this result to obtain a small crossed resolution of a semidirect product of groups in terms of a twisted tensor product.

### 3.1 Motivation: Small Crossed Resolutions

### 3.1.1 Standard crossed resolutions

Recall the following:
Definition 3.1.1 Suppose $\mathbf{C}$ is a small category. Then the nerve of $\mathbf{C}$ is the simplicial set $\operatorname{Ner}(\mathbf{C})$ given by strings of composable arrows in $\mathbf{C}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ner}(\mathbf{C})_{n}=\left\{\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, a_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]: a_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

The degeneracy maps are given by inserting an identity arrow:

$$
s_{i}\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]=\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, x_{i}, e x_{i}, x_{i}, a_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]
$$

The first and last boundary maps are given by deleting the first and last arrow respectively, and the others by composing consecutive arrows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{0}\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]=\left[x_{1}, a_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right] \\
& d_{n}\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]=\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right] \\
& d_{i}\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]= \\
& \quad\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, a_{i} \cdot a_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, x_{i+2} \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right] \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i<n
\end{aligned}
$$

The $n$-simplices $\left[x_{0}, a_{1}, x_{1}, a_{2}, x_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{n}\right]$ will often be written as $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$, or as []$_{x_{0}}$ in the zero-dimensional case.

The functor Ner : Cat $\rightarrow$ Simp has a left adjoint c : Simp $\rightarrow$ Cat termed categorisation. The category $\mathrm{c}(K)$ has object set $K_{0}$ and is generated by arrows $a_{1}$ for each one-simplex $a_{1} \in K_{1}$. Identity arrows are given by degenerate one-simplices, source and target maps by the boundary maps, and there are relations from the twosimplicies. Altogether the relations are thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} a_{0} & =e a_{0} \\
d_{1} a_{1} & =s a_{1} \\
d_{0} a_{1} & =t a_{1} \\
d_{1} a_{2} & =d_{2} a_{2} \cdot d_{0} a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bijection of hom-sets $\operatorname{Cat}(\mathrm{c}(K), \mathbf{C}) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}(K, \operatorname{Ner}(\mathbf{C}))$ is well known, as is the isomorphism of categories $\mathrm{c}(\operatorname{Ner}(\mathbf{C})) \cong \mathbf{C}$.

In the case where $\mathbf{C}$ is a group, the nerve of $\mathbf{C}$ is said to give a simplicial set which resolves the group structure. This simplicial set has a single zero-simplex, and has fundamental group the original group $\mathbf{C}$ and all higher homotopy groups trivial. Taking the fundamental crossed complex of the nerve thus gives a crossed complex whose homology is $\mathbf{C}$ in dimension one and trivial in higher dimensions. The fundamental crossed complex of the nerve has been proposed in [27, 11] as an algebraic resolution of the group structure.

Definition 3.1.2 The standard crossed resolution $C(G)$ of a group $G$ is given by the fundamental crossed complex of its nerve.


We will also write $C$ for the functor defined on the whole of Cat.
Using definition 1.3 .1 we may present the functor $C$ in terms of generators and relations.

Proposition 3.1.3 Suppose $G$ is a group. Then $C(G)$ is the crossed complex of groups generated by elements $\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right] \in C(G)_{n}$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]=} & e \text { in } C(G)_{n} \text { if any } g_{i} \text { is the identity } \\
\delta_{2}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right]= & {\left[g_{2}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left[g_{1}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right] } \\
\delta_{3}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right]= & {\left[g_{2}, g_{3}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right]^{\left[g_{3}\right]} \cdot\left[g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}\right] \cdot\left[g_{1}, g_{2} g_{3}\right]^{-1} } \\
\delta_{n}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]= & {\left[g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right]^{\left[g_{n}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{n+1}} } \\
& \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i} g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \text { for } n \geq 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the only relations involved are those for boundaries and degeneracies and so $C(G)$ can be regarded as free in a certain sense. Thus the standard crossed resolution of $G$ is termed a free aspherical resolution for $G$.

If $G, H$ are groups, then we may form the tensor product of the crossed resolutions $C(G)$ and $C(H)$. Combining propositions 1.2.5 and 3.1.3, this has the following standard presentation.

Proposition 3.1.4 Suppose $G, H$ are groups. Then the tensor product $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ is the crossed complex of groups given by generators $a_{p} \otimes b_{q}$ in dimension $n=p+q$ for all $a_{p}=\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(G)$ and $b_{q}=\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(H)$, subject to the relations:

1. $a_{p} \otimes b_{q}=*$, the identity element, if any of the $g_{i}$ or $h_{i}$ are identities

$$
\text { 2. } \begin{aligned}
& \delta_{2}\left(a_{2} \otimes b_{0}\right)=\left(\left[g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right) \\
& \delta_{2}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{2}\right)\left.=\left([] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ(] \otimes\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]\right) \\
& \delta_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes b_{1}\right)=\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right) \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[]\right) \\
& \delta_{3}\left(a_{1} \otimes b_{2}\right)=\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right]\right) \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[] \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1}} \\
&\left.\circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)\right][] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right] \\
& \delta_{3}\left(a_{2} \otimes b_{1}\right)=\left(\left[g_{2}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{[] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]} \circ\left(\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right) \\
& \circ\left(\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{2}\right] \otimes[]}\right)^{-1} \\
& \delta_{p}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{0}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{0}\right) \text { for } p \geq 3 \\
& \delta_{q}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{q}\right) \text { for } q \geq 3 \\
& \delta_{p+q}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right) \circ\left(\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{p}} \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the abbreviations $\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)$ stand for the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)= & \left(\left[g_{2}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{-1} \\
& \circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{p}\right] \otimes[]}\right)^{(-1)^{p+1}} \\
& \quad \circ \prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} g_{k+1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{(-1)^{k+1}} \\
\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)= & \left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{2}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{-1} \\
& \circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q-1}\right]\right)^{[] \otimes\left[h_{q}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{q+1}} \\
& \quad \circ \prod_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{(-1)^{k+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the standard resolution of the product $G \times H$ of the two groups $G$, $H$. Since the nerve functor commutes with products we have

$$
C(G \times H) \cong \pi(\operatorname{Ner} G \times \operatorname{Ner} H)
$$

Comparing this with $C(G) \otimes C(H) \cong \pi(\operatorname{Ner} G) \otimes \pi(\operatorname{Ner} H)$ we find that we may replace the standard resolution of the product by the tensor product of standard resolutions, as follows.

Theorem 3.1.5 Suppose $G, H$ are groups with product $G \times H$. Then the tensor product $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ defines a free aspherical resolution for $G \times H$.

Proof: From the presentation of $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ above it can be seen that there are no relations except those given by the boundary maps and degeneracies, and so we have freeness. We also know from theorem 2.3.1 that $C(G \times H)$ and $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ are homotopy equivalent. But the former is the standard aspherical resolution for $G \times H$, and so the latter is also an aspherical resolution since homotopy equivalence implies equivalence in homology.

### 3.1.2 Semidirect products and homotopy colimits in Cat

In the previous section it was shown that a resolution for a product of groups may be obtained from the tensor product of the resolutions. The important point to note is that the resulting free crossed complex is smaller than the standard resolution of the product group, and that the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem gives a strong deformation retraction of the larger onto the smaller. Consider now the case where the group $H$ acts on the group $G$, and let $E$ be the semidirect product of $G$ by $H$. We would like to use the semidirect product decomposition to find a free aspherical crossed resolution for $E$ which is smaller than the standard resolution $C(E)$.

An action of a group $H$ on a group $G$ is a function $H \times G \longrightarrow G$, written $(h, g) \longmapsto g^{h}$, satisfying

$$
g^{e_{H}}=g, \quad g^{h_{1} h_{2}}=\left(g^{h_{1}}\right)^{h_{2}}, \quad e_{G}^{h}=e_{G}, \quad\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)^{h}=g_{1}{ }^{h} g_{2}^{h}
$$

Note that this is consistent with definition 1.1.3.
The function $H \times G \longrightarrow G$ is not a group homomorphism since we do not have $\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)^{h_{1} h_{2}}=g_{1}{ }^{h_{1}} g_{2}^{h_{2}}$. However regarding the groups $G$ and $H$ as categories we have the following equivalent formulation:

Proposition 3.1.6 An action of a group $H$ on a group $G$ is given by a functor $\alpha$ from $H$ to Cat such that $\alpha\left(e_{H}\right)=G$.

Proof: The correspondence is given by $g^{h}=(\alpha h)(g)$. The first two axioms for a group action given above correspond to the functoriality of $\alpha$, the other two to the functoriality of $\alpha(h)$ for each arrow $h$ of $H$.

The next construction we need is due to Grothendieck.
Definition 3.1.7 Suppose $I$ is a small category and $F$ a functor from $I$ to Cat. Then the Grothendieck construction on $F$, written $\int^{I} F$, is the category with objects the pairs ( $i, x$ ) with $i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)$ and $x \in \mathrm{Ob}(F i)$ and arrows $(f, a):\left(i_{0}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(i_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ for all $f \in I\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$ and $a \in \operatorname{Arr}\left(F i_{1}\right)$ with source $(F f)\left(x_{0}\right)$ and target $x_{1}$. The composite of the arrows

$$
\left(i_{0}, x_{0}\right) \longrightarrow\left(f_{1}, a_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(i_{1}, x_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{2}, a_{2}\right)}\left(i_{2}, x_{2}\right)
$$

is defined by $\left(f_{1} \cdot f_{2},\left(F f_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}\right) \cdot a_{2}\right)$.
Note that the Grothendieck construction comes equipped with a canonical projection ('opfibration') functor $p: \int^{I} F \rightarrow I$ defined by $(i, x) \mapsto i$ and $(f, a) \mapsto f$.

Consider again the case of a functor $\alpha: H \rightarrow$ Cat : $e_{H} \mapsto G$ corresponding to a group action as above. The Grothendieck construction $\int^{H} \alpha$ on this functor is the
category with a single object $\left(e_{H}, e_{G}\right)$ and set of arrows $(h, g)$ for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$. Composition of arrows is given by

$$
\left(h_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, g_{2}\right)=\left(h_{1} h_{2}, g_{1}^{h_{2}} g_{2}\right)
$$

Thus we have
Proposition 3.1.8 The Grothendieck construction applied to a functor $\alpha: H \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}$ corresponding to a group action of $H$ on $G$ gives the usual semidirect product $E$ of $G$ by $H$. The canonical projection p corresponds to the epimorphism $E \rightarrow H,(h, g) \mapsto h$, which gives the usual split short exact sequence of groups

$$
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1
$$

The following definition is due to Thomason [38].
Definition 3.1.9 Suppose that $F: I \rightarrow$ Cat is any diagram of categories and functors. Then the homotopy colimit of $F$, $\operatorname{hocolim}(F)$, is defined by the Grothendieck construction on $F$.

In particular suppose $\alpha: H \rightarrow$ Cat : $e_{H} \mapsto G$ is a functor corresponding to a group action. Then $E=\operatorname{hocolim}(\alpha)$ is the semidirect product of $G$ by $H$. When considering the effect under the functor $C:$ Cat $\rightarrow$ Crs we will see that there is a definition of hocolim in Crs such that hocolim $(\alpha \circ C)$ is a strong deformation retract of $C(\operatorname{hocolim}(\alpha))$. That is, using the semidirect product decomposition we have an aspherical resolution for $E$ which is smaller than the standard resolution. It will turn out that the small resolution has a presentation with the same generators (but different boundary relations) as that for $C(G) \otimes C(H)$. For this reason the new resolution of the semidirect product may be considered as a perturbation of the small resolution for the direct product, and will lead to a definition of a twisted tensor product $C(G) \otimes_{\alpha} C(H)$. Also the small resolution for the semidirect product will be free in our usual sense.

### 3.2 Simplicial Homotopy Colimits

### 3.2.1 Introduction to coends

In this section we give a brief review of the definitions and calculus of certain limits and colimits termed ends and co-ends respectively. A basic reference for this section is [32].
Definition 3.2.1 Suppose $\mathbf{C}$ is an arbitrary complete category, I a small category, and $F$ a functor $I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Then the end of $F$ over $I$, written $\int_{i} F(i, i)$ is given by the following equaliser in $\mathbf{C}$

$$
\int_{i} F(i, i) \cdots \prod_{i \in \operatorname{Ob}(I)} F(i, i) \xlongequal[b]{a} \prod_{f \in I\left(i_{i}, i_{2}\right)} F\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are those arrows defined componentwise by

$$
a \circ \pi_{f}=\pi_{i_{1}} \circ F\left(i_{1}, f\right) \quad \text { and } \quad b \circ \pi_{f}=\pi_{i_{2}} \circ F\left(f, i_{2}\right)
$$

Often $\mathbf{C}$ will be an 'algebraically-defined' category, and in this case, working with elements, we can define the end as follows. Let $A$ be the object of $\mathbf{C}$ formed from the $\mathrm{Ob}(I)$-indexed product of the objects $F(i, i)$, and write the elements of $A$ as sequences $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)}$. For $f$ an arrow of $I(j, k)$ we write $f_{*}^{i}: F(i, j) \rightarrow F(i, k)$ for the morphism $F(i, f)$, and $f_{i}^{*}: F(k, i) \rightarrow F(j, i)$ for the morphism $F(f, i)$. Then $\int_{i} F(i, i)$ is the subobject of $A$ consisting of those sequences satisfying the relation $f_{*}^{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=f_{k}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)$ in $F(j, k)$ for all arrows $f: j \rightarrow k$ in $I$.


Example 3.2.2 If $F, G$ are functors from $I$ to $\mathbf{C}$, then there is a functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{op}}^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \longrightarrow \text { Set } \\
& (i, j) \longmapsto \mathrm{C}(F(i), G(j))
\end{aligned}
$$

defined by the hom-sets, and the end $\int_{i} \mathbf{C}(F(i), G(i))$ is just the set of natural transformations from $F$ to $G$.

Dually, there is:
Definition 3.2.3 Suppose $\mathbf{C}$ is an arbitrary cocomplete category, I a small category, and $F$ a functor $I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Then the coend of $F$ over $I$, written $\int^{i} F(i, i)$ is given by the following coequaliser in $\mathbf{C}$

$$
\coprod_{f \in I\left(i_{i}, i_{2}\right)} F\left(i_{2}, i_{1}\right) \xlongequal[b]{\Longrightarrow} \coprod_{i \in \operatorname{Ob}(I)} F(i, i) \cdots \int^{i} F(i, i)
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are those arrows defined componentwise by

$$
\iota_{f} \circ a=F\left(i_{2}, f\right) \circ \iota_{i_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \iota_{f} \circ b=F\left(f, i_{1}\right) \circ \iota_{i_{1}}
$$

In suitable categories $\mathbf{C}$ we can define coends more explicitly in terms of generators and relations. Let $A$ be the $\mathrm{Ob}(I)$-indexed free product of the objects $F(i, i)$ in $\mathbf{C}$. Then
$\int^{i} F(i, i)$ is the quotient object of $A$ given by imposing the relations $f_{*}^{k}(x)=f_{j}^{*}(x)$ for each $f: j \rightarrow k$ in $I$ and $x$ in $F(k, j)$.


Since ends and coends may be viewed in terms of limits and colimits, they are preserved by the appropriate adjoint functors and by hom-set functors. Suppose $F, G$ are functors from $I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I$ to $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ respectively, that $L: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a functor with right adjoint $R$, and that $C$ is an object of $\mathbf{C}$. Then the following natural isomorphisms hold when the appropriate ends and coends exist:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\int_{i} G(i, i)\right) & \cong \int_{i} R(G(i, i)) \\
L\left(\int^{i} F(i, i)\right) & \cong \int^{i} L(F(i, i)) \\
\mathbf{C}\left(C, \int_{i} F(i, i)\right) & \cong \int_{i} \mathbf{C}(C, F(i, i)) \\
\mathbf{C}\left(\int^{i} F(i, i), C\right) & \cong \int_{i} \mathbf{C}(F(i, i), C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ends and coends also have nice properties with respect to natural transformations. Given functors $F, G: I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and a natural transformation $\theta: F \Rightarrow G$ there are universal morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$

$$
\int_{i} F(i, i) \xrightarrow{\int_{i} \theta_{i, i}} \int_{i} G(i, i) \quad \int^{i} F(i, i) \xrightarrow{\int^{i} \theta_{i, i}} \int^{i} G(i, i)
$$

providing the appropriate ends and coends exist. Furthermore this process is functorial in that it takes identity and composite natural transformations to the corresponding identity and composite morphisms.

### 3.2.2 Homotopy colimits of simplicial sets...

In this section we recall the definition of homotopy colimits in SimpSet from [4].
Suppose $I$ is a small category. Recall that for any object $i$ of $I$ the cocomma category $i / I$ is that category with objects given by the arrows $f: i \rightarrow j$ in $I$ for all objects $j$ of $I$, and arrows from $f_{1}: i \rightarrow j_{1}$ to $f_{2}: i \rightarrow j_{2}$ given by arrows $a: j_{1} \rightarrow j_{2}$ of $I$ such that
the triangle

commutes. Composition in $i / I$ is defined by that in $I$. Now an arrow $g: i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}$ induces a functor $g / I: i_{2} / I \rightarrow i_{1} / I$ by precomposition. Thus the cocomma construction defines a contravariant functor $(-/ I): I^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C a t}$.

Suppose we have a small diagram of simplicial sets given by a functor $F: I \rightarrow$ SimpSet. Consider the functor $\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I) \cdot F$ defined by

$$
I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I) \times F} \text { SimpSet } \times \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\times} \text { SimpSet }
$$

Definition 3.2.4 The homotopy colimit of a diagram $F: I \rightarrow$ SimpSet is given by the coend of $\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I) \cdot F$ over $I$ :

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}(F) \cong \int^{i} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \cdot F(i)
$$

For $F$ a functor as above, let $\Psi(F)$ be the bisimplicial set with $(p, q)$-simplices given by pairs $(a, b)$ where $a=\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}, i_{p}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(I)_{p}$ and $b \in F\left(i_{0}\right)_{q}$. The vertical face and degeneracy maps are defined by those of the simplicial sets $F\left(i_{0}\right)$ and the horizontal face and degeneracy maps by those of $\operatorname{Ner}(I)$, except that $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}$ is defined by

$$
(a, b) \mapsto\left(d_{0} a, b^{f_{1}}\right)
$$

where we are writing $b^{f_{1}}$ for $\left(F\left(f_{1}\right)\right)(b)$. That this does define a bisimplicial set is clear; $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}=d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}} d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}$ follows from the functoriality of $F$, and the vertical face and degeneracy functions commute with $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}$ since each $F\left(f_{1}\right)$ is a morphism of simplicial sets.

Proposition 3.2.5 Suppose $F$ is a functor $I \rightarrow \operatorname{SimpSet}$ as above. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\Psi(F) \cong \int^{i} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \times{ }^{(2)} F(i)
$$

between the bisimplicial set $\Psi(F)$ and the coend of

$$
I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I) \times F} \text { SimpSet } \times \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\times^{(2)}} \text { BiSimpSet }
$$

Proof: Elements of $\operatorname{Ner}(i / I)_{p}$ may be written as pairs $\left(f_{0}, a\right)$ for $f_{0}: i \rightarrow i_{0}$ an arrow of $I$ and $a=\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}, i_{p}\right]$ in $\operatorname{Ner}(I)_{p}$, and the face and degeneracy maps act by

$$
s_{r}\left(f_{0}, a\right)=\left(f_{0}, s_{r} a\right), \quad d_{r}\left(f_{0}, a\right)=\left(f_{0}, d_{r} a\right), \quad(r>0), \quad d_{0}\left(f_{0}, a\right)=\left(f_{0} \circ f_{1}, d_{0} a\right)
$$

Let $A$ be the disjoint union of the $\operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \times{ }^{(2)} F(i)$. Then elements of $A_{p, q}$ are given by triples $\left(f_{0}, a, b\right)$ for $f_{0}, a$ as above and $b \in F(i)_{q}$, and the relation $f_{*}^{j}(x) \sim f_{k}^{*}(x)$ becomes

$$
\left(f_{0}, a,(F f)(b)\right) \sim\left(f \circ f_{0}, a, b\right)
$$

Each $\left(f_{0}, a, b\right)$ is thus related to a unique element of the form $\left(e, a, b^{\prime}\right)$ with $e$ the identity arrow at $i_{0}$ and $b^{\prime} \in F\left(i_{0}\right)_{q}$, given by $\left(F\left(f_{0}\right)\right)(b)$. The faces and degeneracies of an element of the form $(e, a, b)$ are again of this form, except for the zeroth horizontal face for which we have

$$
d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}(e, a, b)=\left(f_{1}, d_{0} a, b\right) \sim\left(e, d_{0} a,\left(F\left(f_{1}\right)\right)(b)\right)
$$

Thus the quotient of $A$ by $\sim$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Psi(F)$, and we have the result.

Corollary 3.2.6 The homotopy colimit of a diagram $F$ as above is naturally isomorphic to the diagonal of the bisimplicial set $\Psi(F)$.

Proof: The functor Diag : BiSimpSet $\rightarrow$ SimpSet has a right adjoint, which takes a simplicial set $K$ to the bisimplicial set $X$ with $X_{p, q}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S i m p S e t }}\left(\triangle^{p} \times \triangle^{q}, K\right)$. Thus Diag commutes with coends and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int^{i} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \cdot F(i) & \cong \int^{i} \operatorname{Diag}\left(\operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \times{ }^{(2)} F(i)\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Diag}\left(\int^{i} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \times{ }^{(2)} F(i)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\operatorname{hocolim}(F) \cong \operatorname{Diag} \Psi(F)$.

### 3.2.3 ... using the Artin-Mazur diagonal

In this section we will introduce an alternative definition of homotopy colimits in SimpSet which has slightly nicer properties with respect to the nerve functor from Cat.

We considered in section 2.2.1 the Artin-Mazur diagonal BiSimpSet $\xrightarrow{\nabla}$ SimpSet. Zisman has shown [16, loc. cit.] that the comparison map $\operatorname{Diag}(X) \longrightarrow \nabla(X)$ given by

$$
x_{n, n} \longmapsto\left(\left(d_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{n} x_{n, n},\left(d_{2}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{n-1} d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{n, n}, \ldots,\left(d_{i+1}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{n-i}\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}}\right)^{i} x_{n, n}, \ldots,\left(d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}}\right)^{n} x_{n, n}\right)
$$

induces a weak homotopy equivalence between Diag and $\nabla$. For the bisimplicial sets which arose in the previous section, we have the following stronger result.

Proposition 3.2.7 Given a functor $F: I \rightarrow$ SimpSet, the simplicial sets $\operatorname{Diag} \Psi(F)$ and $\nabla \Psi(F)$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proof: Elements of $\nabla \Psi(F)_{n}$ are given by those $(n+1)$-tuples of pairs $\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ with $a_{k} \in \operatorname{Ner}(I)_{k}$ and $b_{k} \in F\left(d_{1}^{k} a_{k}\right)_{n-k}$, and satisfying $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)=d_{k+1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}\right)$ in $\Psi(F)$.

Writing $a_{k}=\left[f_{k, 1}, f_{k, 2}, \ldots, f_{k, k}\right]$ the conditions $\left(a_{k}, d_{0} b_{k}\right)=\left(d_{k+1} a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}\right)$ that the elements must satisfy become $f_{j, k}=f_{n, k}$ and $b_{k}=d_{0}^{k} b_{0}$. Thus an $n$-simplex of $\nabla \Psi(F)$ is completely determined by the $n$-simplices $a_{n}=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right]$ and $b_{0} \in F\left(s f_{1}\right)_{n}$. Conversely any pair $(a, b)$ with $b \in F\left(d_{1}^{n} a\right)_{n}$ gives an $n$-simplex $\left(d_{k+1}^{n-k} a, d_{0}^{k} b\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ of $\nabla \Psi(F)$. Under this correspondence the face and degeneracy maps in $\nabla \Psi(F)$ become $d_{0}(a, b)=\left(d_{0} a, d_{0} b^{f_{1}}\right), d_{i}(a, b)=\left(d_{i} a, d_{i} b\right)$ for $i \geq 1$, and $s_{i}(a, b)=\left(s_{i} a, s_{i} b\right)$.

But this is precisely a description of the elements and the face and degeneracy maps of $\operatorname{Diag} \Psi(F)_{n}$.

Corollary 3.2.8 The homotopy colimit of a diagram $F$ as above is naturally isomorphic to the Artin-Mazur diagonal of the bisimplicial set $\Psi(F)$.

We note that this isomorphism may be thought of as an extension of the result that $\operatorname{Diag}\left(K \times{ }^{(2)} L\right) \cong K \times L \cong \nabla\left(K \times{ }^{(2)} L\right)$ to a result for a twisted cartesian product. The existence of the extended result is mainly due to the fact that the twisting only appears in $d_{0}^{\mathrm{h}}$ which does not occur in the relation $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}} x_{k}=d_{k+1}^{\mathrm{h}} x_{k+1}$ used to define the Artin-Mazur diagonal.

Suppose instead of the bisimplicial set $\Psi(F)$ we consider its transpose $\Psi^{\prime}(F)$ obtained by interchanging the rôles of horizontal and vertical. Clearly $\Psi^{\prime}(F)$ and $\Psi(F)$ are weakly homotopy equivalent. Also $\Psi^{\prime}(F)$ may be defined as the coend of the composite of $F(-) \times{ }^{(2)} \operatorname{Ner}(-/ I)$ and the symmetry functor $I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \rightarrow I \times I^{\mathrm{op}}$. Note that although $\operatorname{Diag} \Psi(F) \cong \operatorname{Diag} \Psi^{\prime}(F)$, it is not in general true that $\operatorname{Diag} \Psi^{\prime}(F) \cong \nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F)$ since now the twisting of $d_{0}^{v}$ interacts with the definition of $\nabla$.

We make the following alternative definition of homotopy colimits in SimpSet.
Definition 3.2.9 For $F$ a diagram $I \rightarrow \operatorname{SimpSet}^{\operatorname{hocolim}}{ }^{\prime}(F)$ is the simplicial set given by $\nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F)$.

Proposition 3.2.10 For $F$ a functor $I \rightarrow$ SimpSet, there is a natural comparison map $\theta^{\prime}$ from Diag $\Psi^{\prime}(F)$ to $\nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F)$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
(b, a) \mapsto\left(\left(d_{1}^{n} b, a\right),\left(d_{2}^{n-1} b^{f_{1}},\left[f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right]\right),\left(d_{3}^{n-2} b^{f_{1} f_{2}},\left[f_{3}, \ldots, f_{n}\right]\right), \ldots\right. \\
\left.\left(d_{k+1}^{n-k} b^{f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{k}},\left[f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right]\right), \ldots,\left(b^{f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}},[]_{t f_{n}}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(I)_{n}$ and $b \in F\left(s f_{1}\right)_{n}$, and we write $b^{f}$ for $(F(f))(b)$. If $F(f)$ is an isomorphism for each arrow $f$ of $I$ (in particular, if $I$ is a groupoid) the comparison map becomes an isomorphism.

Proof: Since the twisted face $d_{0}^{v}$ is not used in the definition of the faces or degeneracies of $\nabla$, it is a routine check that $\theta^{\prime}$ is a simplicial map. Suppose $\left(b_{k}, a_{k}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is an arbitrary $n$-simplex of $\nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F)$ with $a_{k}=\left[f_{k, 1}, f_{k, 2}, \ldots, f_{k, n-k}\right]$ and $b_{k} \in F\left(s f_{k, 1}\right)_{k}$. Then the condition $d_{0}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(b_{k}, a_{k}\right)=d_{k+1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(b_{k+1}, a_{k+1}\right)$ may be written $b_{k}{ }^{f_{k, 1}}=d_{k+1} b_{k+1}$ and $\left[f_{k, 2}, \ldots, f_{k, n-k}\right]=\left[f_{k+1,1}, \ldots, f_{k+1, n-k-1}\right]$. Clearly these conditions are satisfied by $\theta^{\prime}(b, a)$. Also if each $F(f)$ is invertible, then the element $\left(b_{k}, a_{k}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is determined by $b_{n}$ and $a_{0}$, and in particular $\theta^{\prime}$ has a 2-sided inverse.

As a special case we have
Corollary 3.2.11 Suppose that $H \xrightarrow{\alpha}$ Cat is a functor corresponding to a group action. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}(\alpha \circ \operatorname{Ner}) \cong \operatorname{hocolim}^{\prime}(\alpha \circ \operatorname{Ner})
$$

Thomason has shown in [38] that for an arbitrary diagram $F: I \rightarrow$ Cat in Cat there is a weak homotopy equivalence between the nerve of the Grothendieck construction on $F$ and the homotopy colimit of the diagram $F \circ$ Ner in SimpSet, and for this reason the Grothendieck construction is thought of as defining homotopy colimits in Cat. It is interesting that replacing hocolim by hocolim ${ }^{\prime}$ gives a natural isomorphism rather than a weak equivalence:

Theorem 3.2.12 Suppose $I$ is a small category and $F$ an arbitrary functor $I \rightarrow$ Cat. Then the nerve of the Grothendieck construction on $F$ and the Artin-Mazur diagonal of $\Psi^{\prime}(F \circ \mathrm{Ner})$ are isomorphic.

$$
\operatorname{Ner}\left(\int^{I} F\right) \cong \nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F \circ \operatorname{Ner})
$$

Proof: An $n$-simplex of $\nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F \circ N e r)$ is given by an $(n+1)$-tuple of pairs $\left(b_{k}, a_{k}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k} & =\left[i_{k, 0}, f_{k, 1}, i_{k, 1}, \ldots, f_{k, n-k}, i_{k, n-k}\right]
\end{aligned} \in \operatorname{Ner}(I), \operatorname{Ner}\left(F\left(i_{k, 0}\right)\right)
$$

and these data must satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[i_{k, 1}, f_{k, 2}, i_{k, 2}, \ldots, f_{k, n-k}, i_{k, n-k}\right] } & =\left[i_{k+1,0}, f_{k+1,1}, i_{k+1,1}, \ldots, f_{k+1, n-k-1}, i_{k+1, n-k-1}\right] \\
{\left[x_{k, 0}^{f_{k, 1}}, g_{k, 1}^{f_{k, 1}}, x_{k, 1}^{f_{k, 1}}, \ldots, g_{k, k}^{f_{k, 1}}, x_{k, k}^{f_{k, 1}}\right] } & =\left[x_{k+1,0}, g_{k+1,1}, x_{k+1,1}, \ldots, g_{k+1, k}, x_{k+1, k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where as usual we write the operation of the functor $F(f)$ as a right action $x \mapsto x^{f}$, $g \mapsto g^{f}$. These conditions imply that the ( $n+1$ )-tuple is completely determined by $a_{0}=$ $\left[i_{0,0}, f_{0,1}, i_{0,1}, \ldots, f_{0, n}, i_{0, n}\right]$ and the elements $\left[x_{0,0}, g_{1,1}, x_{1,1}, \ldots, g_{n, n}, x_{n, n}\right]$. Conversely any data $c=\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]$ in $\operatorname{Ner}(I)$ and $d=\left[x_{0}, g_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}, x_{n}\right]$ with $x_{k} \in$ $\mathrm{Ob}\left(F\left(i_{k}\right)\right)$ and $g_{k} \in\left(F\left(i_{k}\right)\right)\left(x_{k-1}^{f_{k}}, x_{k}\right)$ determine an $n$-simplex of $\nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F \circ \mathrm{Ner})$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{j, k} & =i_{j+k} \\
f_{j, k} & =f_{j+k} \\
x_{j, k} & =x_{j} f_{j+1} \cdots f_{k}  \tag{3.1}\\
g_{j, k} & =g_{j}{ }^{f_{j+1} \cdots f_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

and these processes are inverse. Note that if $\operatorname{hocolim}(F)=\int^{I} F$ is the category given by the Grothendieck construction on $F$ then an $n$-simplex of $\operatorname{Ner}(\operatorname{hocolim}(F))$ is given by a string

$$
\left[\left(i_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(f_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(i_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right),\left(i_{2}, g_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right),\left(i_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]
$$

where $i_{k} \in \mathrm{Ob}(I), f_{k} \in I\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right), x_{k}$ is an object of $F\left(i_{k}\right)$, and $g_{k}$ is an arrow of $\left(F\left(i_{k}\right)\right)$ with source $\left(F f_{k}\right)\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ and target $x_{k}$. But this corresponds precisely to the data $(c, d)$ above, so (3.1) gives a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Ner}(\operatorname{hocolim}(F))_{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n}} \nabla \Psi^{\prime}(F \circ \mathrm{Ner})_{n}
$$

It is straightforward to check that this defines a morphism of simplicial sets.

Thus if we define homotopy colimits in Cat by the Grothendieck construction and in SimpSet by hocolim' rather than hocolim, we have that the nerve functor preserves homotopy colimits up to natural isomorphism, and Thomason's weak equivalence may be considered in the context of that of Zisman between Diag and $\nabla$ and also that between a bisimplicial set and its transpose.

### 3.3 Homotopy Colimits of Crossed Complexes

### 3.3.1 Kan extensions and monoidal categories

We recall here a few details of the theories of (left) Kan extensions and of closed (symmetric) monoidal categories. These concepts will then be used to try to formulate a general framework for the constructions of the rest of the chapter.

The left Kan extension construction may be considered in a similar way to that of induced modules discussed in section 1.2.1. Suppose $I, \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are categories, and $Y$ is a functor $I \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$. Composition with $Y$ then gives an induced functor

$$
[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}] \xrightarrow{Y^{*}}[I, \mathbf{C}]
$$


between the functor categories. In many cases (for example if $\mathbf{C}$ is cocomplete) the functor $Y^{*}$ will have a left adjoint, written $\operatorname{Lan}_{Y}$. For a particular functor $F$ from $I$ to
$\mathbf{C}$, the functor $\operatorname{Lan}_{Y}(F)$ from $\mathbf{D}$ to $\mathbf{C}$ is termed the left Kan extension of $F$ along $Y$.

$$
[I, \mathbf{C}] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Lan}_{Y}}[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}]
$$



The case we will be most interested in is when $\mathbf{D}$ is itself given as the functor category $\left[I^{\mathrm{op}}\right.$, Set $]$ and $Y$ is the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \xrightarrow{Y}\left[I^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}\right] \\
& i \longmapsto I(-, i)
\end{aligned}
$$

defined by the hom-sets and the composition in $I$. For any functor $I^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{G}$ Set and object $i$ of $I$ the Yoneda lemma gives a natural bijection between elements of the set $G(i)$ and natural transformations $I(-, i) \Longrightarrow G$, and taking $G$ to be the representable functor $I(-, j)$ shows that the functor $Y$ is full and faithful. Thus $I$ may be regarded as a full subcategory of $\left[I^{\text {op }}, \boldsymbol{S e t}\right]$. We note the following well-known result that Kan extensions along this embedding may be given by a coend formula.
Proposition 3.3.1 Suppose $\mathbf{C}$ is cocomplete and $F$ is a functor $I \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism between the left Kan extension of $F$ along the Yoneda embedding $I \longrightarrow\left[I^{\text {op }}\right.$, Set $]$ and the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[I^{\mathrm{op}}, \text { Set }\right] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}} \\
& \quad G \longmapsto \int^{i} F(i) \cdot G(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C \cdot S$ denotes the coproduct of copies of $C$ indexed by the elements of the set $S$. Furthermore, $Y \circ \operatorname{Lan}_{Y}(F) \cong F$ and $\operatorname{Lan}_{Y}(F)$ itself has a right adjoint given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow\left[I^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{S e t}\right] \\
& C \longmapsto I(F(-), C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Follows from standard manipulations with the end calculus. See for example [32, X.4].

In particular consider the embedding of $\Delta$ into $\operatorname{SimpSet}$. Any functor $\Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ then gives a diagram of the following form


Note that we could have given definition 1.3.1 in this way, since the presentation there shows that SimpSet $\xrightarrow{\pi}$ Crs is freely generated by its values on the representable functors modulo their degeneracies and common faces. The categorisation and nerve functors discussed in section 3.1.1 also fit this pattern.

Definition 3.3.2 A monoidal structure on a category $\mathbf{C}$ consists of

1. an object $O$ of $\mathbf{C}$,
2. a functor $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbf{C}$,
3. natural isomorphisms $O \otimes C \xrightarrow{l} C$ and $C \otimes O \xrightarrow{r} C$ for each object $C$ of $\mathbf{C}$,
4. a natural isomorphism $C \otimes(D \otimes E) \xrightarrow{a}(C \otimes D) \otimes E$ for each triple of objects $C, D, E$ of $\mathbf{C}$.

These data are required to satisfy the following commutative diagrams


Definition 3.3.3 A symmetry for a monoidal structure ( $\mathbf{C}, O, \otimes, l, r, a)$ is given by a natural isomorphism $C \otimes D \xrightarrow{s} D \otimes C$ for each pair of objects $C, D$ of $\mathbf{C}$, satisfying the following commutative diagrams


The commutative diagrams in definitions 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 are known collectively as the MacLane-Kelly equations. It follows from a coherence theorem [31, 29] that any diagram made up of instances of $l, r, s$ and $a$ will commute.

Note that any category with finite products has a cartesian symmetric monoidal structure, with $\otimes$ given by the binary product and $O$ by the terminal object. The isomorphisms $l, r, s$ and $a$ are given by the universal properties of the limits.

Definition 3.3.4 A symmetric monoidal category ( $\mathbf{C}, O, \otimes, l, r, a, s)$ is said to be closed if for each object $D$ of $\mathbf{C}$ the functor $-\otimes D$ has a right adjoint, written $[D,-]$.

$$
\mathbf{C}(C \otimes D, E) \cong \mathbf{C}(C,[D, E])
$$

The counits of these adjuctions give an evaluation map $[C, D] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text { ev }} D$, corresponding to $\mathrm{id}_{[C, D]}$. Using this, $[-, D]$ can be considered as contravariantly functorial in the first variable, where for $f: C \longrightarrow C^{\prime}$ the morphism $[f, D]$ corresponds under the adjunction to

$$
\left[C^{\prime}, D\right] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes f}\left[C^{\prime}, D\right] \otimes C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\text { ev }} D
$$

Also we have internal adjunction isomorphisms $[C \otimes D, E] \rightleftarrows[C,[D, E]]$ corresponding to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[C,[D, E]] \otimes(C \otimes D) \xrightarrow{a}([C,[D, E]] \otimes C) \otimes D \xrightarrow{\text { ev } \otimes \mathrm{id}}[D, E] \otimes D \xrightarrow{\text { ev }} E} \\
& ([C \otimes D, E] \otimes C) \otimes D \xrightarrow{a^{-1}}[C \otimes D, E] \otimes(C \otimes D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} E
\end{aligned}
$$

and internal composition morphisms $[D, E] \otimes[C, D] \xrightarrow{\circ}[C, E]$ corresponding to

$$
([D, E] \otimes[C, D]) \otimes C \xrightarrow{a^{-1}}[D, E] \otimes([C, D] \otimes C) \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \mathrm{ev}}[D, E] \otimes D \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} E
$$

Our main example of a monoidal closed category is Crs, the category of crossed complexes of groupoids. The tensor product and internal hom were explicitly defined for this category in [12] using a natural definition of a monoidal closed structure on the equivalent category of 'cubical' $\omega$-groupoids [9].

Other examples are given by cartesian closed categories, for example Cat as discussed earlier. Also note that SimpSet is cartesian closed. In fact for any small category $\mathbf{C}$ and functors $F, G: \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow$ Set the product functor $F \times G$ can be defined pointwise using the cartesian product of sets, and a functor $[F, G]: \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow$ Set can be defined, using the Yoneda embedding $Y$, by mapping an object $C$ to the set of natural transformations $\operatorname{Nat}\left(Y_{C} \times F, G\right)$. This gives a cartesian closed structure on the functor category [C, Set], since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Nat}(E,[F, G]) \cong \int_{C} \operatorname{Set}\left(E C, \operatorname{Nat}\left(Y_{C} \times F, G\right)\right) \cong \int_{C} \operatorname{Nat}\left(E C \cdot Y_{C} \times F, G\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Nat}\left(\int^{C} E C \cdot Y_{C} \times F, G\right) \cong \operatorname{Nat}(E \times F, G)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now state our aim: to investigate the notion of homotopy colimits in cocomplete closed monoidal categories $\mathbf{C}$ for which there is a 'good' functor


As above, $\pi$ induces a pair of adjoint functors

which can be defined by

$$
\pi(K)=\int^{[n]} \pi([n]) \cdot K_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{N}(C)_{n}=\mathbf{C}(\pi([n]), C)
$$

We have notions of homotopy and deformation retraction in $\mathbf{C}$, defined by the tensor product and the unit interval object $\mathcal{I}$ given by $\pi([1])$, and we can make precise the word 'good' above by saying that $\pi$ must satisfy an Eilenberg-Zilber theorem with repect to these notions.

We will concentrate on the case where $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{C r s}$, the category of crossed complexes, although we believe a more general theory proceeds similarly. The category of $\infty$ categories is also believed to be a suitable candidate, using the orientals of Street [37] and the monoidal biclosed structure of Steiner [34]. This category has been shown by Golasiński [23] and by Kapranov and Voevodsky [28] to model all homotopy types.

### 3.3.2 Homotopy colimits in Crs

We now propose a definition of homotopy colimits for diagrams of crossed complexes. Consider first the functor

$$
\text { Crs } \times \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{*} \text { SimpCrs }
$$

which takes a crossed complex $C$ and a simplicial set $K$ to the simplicial crossed complex $C * K$ with $(C * K)_{p, q}=C_{p} \times K_{q}$, crossed complex structures given by $K_{q}$-indexed coproducts of $C$ and simplicial structures by $C_{p}$-indexed coproducts of $K$. Composing with the simplicial total functor defined in section 1.3.3 gives a functor

$$
\mathrm{Crs} \times \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \mathrm{Crs}
$$

However the definitions of S-Tot and $\otimes$ given in chapter 1 show that

$$
\mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot}(C * K) \cong \operatorname{Tot}\left(C \otimes^{(2)} \pi K\right) \cong C \otimes \pi K
$$

and we use this as a slightly more explicit definition.

Definition 3.3.5 If $C$ is a crossed complex and $K$ a simplicial set, then their tensor product $C \bar{\otimes} K$ is given by the crossed complex $C \otimes \pi K$.


Suppose $I$ is a small category and we have a diagram of crossed complexes given by a functor $I \xrightarrow{F}$ Crs. Consider the functor $\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I) \bar{\otimes} F$ defined by

$$
I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \xrightarrow{\cong} I \times I^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{F \times \operatorname{Ner}(-/ I)} \mathrm{Crs} \times \operatorname{SimpSet} \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \mathrm{Crs}
$$

We can now make the following definition
Definition 3.3.6 The homotopy colimit of a diagram $I \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{C r s}$ of crossed complexes and their homomorphisms is given by the coend of $F \bar{\otimes} \operatorname{Ner}(-/ I)$ over $I$ :

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}(F) \cong \int^{i} F(i) \bar{\otimes} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)
$$

This definition may also be given as the total complex of a 'twisted' simplicial crossed complex $\Phi(F)$. In a manner similar to the definition of $\Psi$ (or rather $\Psi^{\prime}$ ) in section 3.2.2, we let $\Phi(F)$ be the simplicial crossed complex with elements in $\Phi(F)_{p, q}$ given by pairs $(c, a)$ where $a=\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}, i_{q}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(I)_{q}$ and $c \in F\left(i_{0}\right)_{p}$. The (horizontal) source, target, identity, composition, action and boundary maps are defined by those of the crossed complexes $F(i)$, and the (vertical) face and degeneracy maps are defined by those of $\operatorname{Ner}(I)$, except for $d_{0}$ which repaces $i_{0}$ by $i_{1}$ and so must also translate the first component from $F\left(i_{0}\right)$ to $F\left(i_{1}\right)$ :

$$
(c, a) \stackrel{d_{0}}{\longmapsto}\left(c^{f_{1}}, d_{0} a\right)
$$

where we write $c^{f_{1}}$ for $\left(F\left(f_{1}\right)\right)(c)$. Clearly this defines a simplicial crossed complex.
Analogously to (the transpose of) proposition 3.2.5 we have
Proposition 3.3.7 Suppose $F$ is a functor $I \rightarrow \mathbf{C r s}$ as above. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\Phi(F) \cong \int^{i} F(i) * \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)
$$

between the simplicial crossed complex $\Phi(F)$ and the coend over I of

$$
I^{\mathrm{op}} \times I \xrightarrow{\cong} I \times I^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{F \times \operatorname{Ner}(-/ I)} \operatorname{Crs} \times \operatorname{SimpSet} \xrightarrow{*} \text { SimpCrs }
$$

Before we can show that the total complex of the simplicial crossed complex $\Phi(F)$ gives the same thing as the definition of hocolim $(F)$ above we need the following result.

Proposition 3.3.8 The simplicial total functor S-Tot has a right adjoint.
Proof: First note that any simplicial crossed complex $C$ may be written as a coend

$$
C \cong \int^{q} C_{\bullet, q} * \triangle^{q}
$$

of the representable crossed complexes $C_{\bullet, q} * \triangle^{q}$, and that proposition 1.3.5 shows that the simplicial total functor is freely generated by its values on the representables, modulo degeneracies and common faces, and so

$$
\mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot}(C) \cong \int^{q} \mathrm{~S}-\operatorname{Tot}\left(C_{\bullet, q} * \triangle^{q}\right) \cong \int^{q} C_{\bullet, q} \otimes \pi\left(\triangle^{q}\right)
$$

For any crossed complex $D$ the functor $\pi\left(\triangle^{\bullet}\right): \Delta \longrightarrow$ SimpSet $\xrightarrow{\pi}$ Crs defines a simplicial crossed complex $\left[\pi\left(\triangle^{\bullet}\right), D\right]: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow$ Crs, and this gives a right adjoint to the simplicial total functor since

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Crs}(\mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot}(C), D) & \cong \operatorname{Crs}\left(\int^{q} C_{\bullet, q} \otimes \pi\left(\triangle^{q}\right), D\right) \cong \int_{q} \operatorname{Crs}\left(C_{\bullet, q} \otimes \pi\left(\triangle^{q}\right), D\right) \\
\cong \int_{q} \operatorname{Crs}\left(C_{\bullet}, q\right.
\end{array},\left[\pi\left(\triangle^{q}\right), D\right]\right) \cong \operatorname{SimpCrs}\left(C,\left[\pi\left(\triangle^{\bullet}\right), D\right]\right)\right)
$$

using a version internal to Crs of the result given in example 3.2.2.

Proposition 3.3.9 The homotopy colimit of a diagram $F$ of crossed complexes is naturally isomorphic to the total complex of the simplicial crossed complex $\Phi(F)$.

Proof: By the above proposition the simplicial total functor preserves coends, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot} \Phi(F) & \cong \mathrm{S}-\operatorname{Tot}\left(\int^{i} F(i) * \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)\right) \cong \int^{i} \mathrm{~S}-\operatorname{Tot}(F(i) * \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)) \\
& \cong \int^{i} F(i) \bar{\otimes} \operatorname{Ner}(i / I) \cong \operatorname{hocolim}(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

Following proposition 1.3.5, we can thus give a presentation of the homotopy colimit of $F$ in terms of generators and relations.

Proposition 3.3.10 Suppose $F$ is a functor from a small category I to the category of crossed complexes of groupoids. Then hocolim $(F)$ is the crossed complex of groupoids given by generators $c_{p} \otimes a_{q} \in \operatorname{hocolim}(F)_{n}$ for all $a_{q}=\left[i_{0}, f_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}, i_{q}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(I)_{q}$ and $c_{p} \in F\left(i_{0}\right)_{p}$ with $n=p+q$, satisfying the following relations

1. $c_{p} \otimes a_{q}=e_{t\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)}$ if any $f_{k}$ is an identity arrow
2. $s\left(c_{1} \otimes a_{0}\right)=s c_{1} \otimes a_{0}$
$s\left(c_{0} \otimes a_{1}\right)=c_{0} \otimes[]_{i_{0}}$
$t\left(c_{0} \otimes a_{q}\right)=c_{0}^{f_{1} \cdots f_{q}} \otimes[]_{i_{q}} \quad$ for $q \geq 1$ $t\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)=t c_{p}^{f_{1} \cdots f_{q}} \otimes[]_{i_{q}} \quad$ for $p \geq 1, q \geq 0$
3. $c_{p}^{c_{1}} \otimes a_{q}=\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)^{c_{1}^{f_{1} \cdots f_{q}} \otimes[]_{i_{q}}} \quad$ for $p \geq 2$
4. $\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \circ c_{1}\right) \otimes a_{q}=c_{1} \otimes a_{q} \circ\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \otimes a_{q}\right)^{c_{1}^{f_{1} \cdots f_{q}} \otimes[]_{q}} \quad$ for $q \geq 1$
$\left(c_{p} \circ c_{p}^{\prime}\right) \otimes a_{q}=c_{p} \otimes a_{q} \circ c_{p}^{\prime} \otimes a_{q} \quad$ for $q=0$ or $p \geq 2$
5. $\quad \delta_{2}\left(c_{0} \otimes a_{2}\right)=\left(c_{0} \otimes\left[f_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{0} \otimes\left[f_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{0} \otimes\left[f_{1} f_{2}\right]\right)$ $\delta_{2}\left(c_{1} \otimes a_{1}\right)=\left(t c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes[]_{i_{0}}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1}\right]\right) \circ\left(c_{1}^{f_{1}} \otimes[]_{i_{1}}\right)$
$\delta_{3}\left(c_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right)=\left(c_{1}^{f_{1}} \otimes\left[f_{2}\right]\right) \circ\left(s c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]\right)^{c_{1} c_{1} f_{2}} \otimes[]_{2} \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1} f_{2}\right]\right)^{-1}$
$\circ\left(t c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1}, f_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{1} \otimes\left[f_{1}\right]\right)^{t c_{1}^{f_{1}} \otimes\left[f_{2}\right]}$
$\delta_{p}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{0}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{0}\right)$ for $p \geq 2$
$\delta_{q}\left(c_{0} \otimes a_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{0} \otimes a_{q}\right)$ for $q \geq 3$
$\delta_{p+q}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right) \circ\left(\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{p}}$ otherwise
where the abbreviations $\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)$ stand for the following expressions:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{1} \otimes a_{q}\right)= & \left(t c_{1} \otimes a_{q}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s c_{1} \otimes a_{q}\right)^{c_{1}^{f_{1} \cdots f_{q}} \otimes[]_{i}} \\
\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)= & \delta_{p} c_{p} \otimes a_{q} \\
\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{1}\right)= & \left(c_{p}^{f_{1}} \otimes[]_{i_{1}}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(c_{p} \otimes[]_{i_{0}}\right)^{t c_{p} \otimes a_{1}} \\
\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)= & \left(c_{p}^{f_{1}} \otimes\left[f_{2}, \ldots, f_{q}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left(c_{p} \otimes\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q-1}\right]\right)^{t c_{c_{p}} \cdots f_{q-1}} \otimes\left[f_{q}\right]\right.
\end{array}\right)^{(-1)^{q+1}}\right)
$$

and $c^{f}$ stands for $(F(f))(c)$ as usual.
The remainder of this section will be concerned with the following result, the proof of which is essentially the fact that a coend of a strong deformation retraction is also a strong deformation retraction.

Theorem 3.3.11 The functor SimpSet $\xrightarrow{\pi}$ Crs preserves homotopy colimits up to strong deformation retraction.
Proof: Given a functor $I \xrightarrow{F}$ SimpSet we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hocolim}(F \circ \pi) & \cong \int^{i} \pi(F(i)) \otimes \pi(\operatorname{Ner}(i / I)) \\
\pi(\operatorname{hocolim}(F)) & \cong \pi\left(\int^{i} F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)\right) \\
& \cong \int^{i} \pi(F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I))
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\pi$ preserves coends.
Consider the functors

$$
I \times I^{\mathrm{op}} \frac{\pi(F(-) \times \operatorname{Ner}(-/ I))}{\pi(F(-)) \otimes \pi(\operatorname{Ner}(-/ I))} \mathrm{Crs}
$$

and note that there are natural transformations $a, b$ between these given by the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem

$$
\pi(F(j) \times \operatorname{Ner}(k / I)) \underset{b_{j, k}}{\stackrel{a_{j, k}}{\rightleftarrows}} \pi(F(j)) \otimes \pi(\operatorname{Ner}(k / I))
$$

which satisfy $b \circ a \cong$ id. Taking coends over $I$ thus gives homomorphisms

$$
\int^{i} \pi(F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)) \stackrel{\int^{i} a_{i, i}}{\int^{i} b_{i, i}} \int^{i} \pi(F(i)) \otimes \pi(\operatorname{Ner}(i / I))
$$

which satisfy $\left(\int^{i} b_{i, i}\right) \circ\left(\int^{i} a_{i, i}\right) \cong \mathrm{id}$. That is, we have

$$
\pi(\operatorname{hocolim}(F)) \underset{b}{\stackrel{a}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{hocolim}(F \circ \pi)
$$

with $b \circ a \cong \mathrm{id}$.
Similarly we have natural transformations

$$
\pi(F(j) \times \operatorname{Ner}(k / I)) \xrightarrow[1_{j, k}]{0_{j, k}} \mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(F(j) \times \operatorname{Ner}(k / I)) \xrightarrow{h_{j, k}} \pi(F(j) \times \operatorname{Ner}(k / I))
$$

satisfying $0 \circ h \cong a \circ b$ and $1 \circ h \cong \mathrm{id}$, and hence homomorphisms

$$
\int^{i} \pi(F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)) \Longrightarrow \int^{i} \mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I)) \longrightarrow \int^{i} \pi(F(i) \times \operatorname{Ner}(i / I))
$$

satisfying the corresponding relations. But $\mathcal{I} \otimes-$ also preserves coends, so these may be written as

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi(\operatorname{hocolim}(F)) \xrightarrow{h} \pi(\operatorname{hocolim}(F))
$$

with $h: a \circ b \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

It is this result which justifies our definition of homotopy colimits of diagrams of crossed complexes.

### 3.3.3 Twisted tensor products

We now apply the machinery of homotopy colimits in the category of crossed complexes to the functor

$$
H \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text { Cat } \xrightarrow{\text { Ner }} \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi} \text { Crs }
$$

where $\alpha: H \longrightarrow$ Cat: $e_{H} \longmapsto G$ is a functor corresponding to a group action. We know by corollary 3.2.11 and theorems 3.2.12 and 3.3.11 that the result is a stong deformation retract of the standard resolution of the Grothendieck construction on $\alpha$, which is just the semidirect product of $G$ by $H$. Thus we have a small resolution of the semidirect product.

We can give a presentation of hocolim $(\alpha \circ \operatorname{Ner} \circ \pi)$ as follows:
Proposition 3.3.12 Suppose $\alpha$ is a functor corresponding to an action of a group $G$ on a group $H$ as above. Then the homotopy colimit of $\alpha \circ C: H \longrightarrow \mathrm{Crs}$ is the crossed complex of groups given by generators $a_{p} \otimes b_{q}$ in dimension $n=p+q$ for all $a_{p}=\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(G)$ and $b_{q}=\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(H)$, subject to the relations:

1. $a_{p} \otimes b_{q}=*$, the identity element, if any of the $g_{i}$ or $h_{i}$ are identities
2. $\delta_{2}\left(a_{2} \otimes b_{0}\right)=\left(\left[g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)$

$$
\delta_{2}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{2}\right)=\left([] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]\right)
$$

$$
\delta_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes b_{1}\right)=\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right) \circ\left(\left[g_{1}^{h_{1}}\right] \otimes[]\right)
$$

$$
\delta_{3}\left(a_{1} \otimes b_{2}\right)=\left(\left[g_{1}^{h_{1}}\right] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right]\right) \circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{1} h_{1} h_{2}\right] \otimes[]} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\circ\left([] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{[] \otimes\left[h_{2}\right]}
$$

$$
\delta_{3}\left(a_{2} \otimes b_{1}\right)=\left(\left[g_{2}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{[] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]} \circ\left(\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)
$$

$$
\circ\left(\left[g_{1}^{h_{1}}, g_{2}^{h_{1}}\right] \otimes[]\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{2} h_{1}\right] \otimes[]}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\delta_{p}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{0}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{0}\right) \text { for } p \geq 3
$$

$$
\delta_{q}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{0} \otimes b_{q}\right) \text { for } q \geq 3
$$

$$
\delta_{p+q}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right) \circ\left(\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{p}} \text { otherwise }
$$

where the abbreviations $\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(c_{p} \otimes a_{q}\right)$ stand for the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\mathrm{h}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)= & \left(\left[g_{2}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{-1} \\
& \circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{\left[g_{p} h_{1} \ldots h_{q}\right] \otimes[]}\right)^{(-1)^{p+1}} \\
& \quad \circ \prod_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} g_{k+1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{(-1)^{k+1}} \\
\delta^{\mathrm{v}}\left(a_{p} \otimes b_{q}\right)= & \left(\left[g_{1}{ }^{h_{1}}, \ldots, g_{p} h_{1}\right] \otimes\left[h_{2}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{-1} \\
& \left.\circ\left(\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q-1}\right]\right)\right)^{[] \otimes\left[h_{q}\right]}\right)^{(-1)^{q+1}} \\
& \circ \prod_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p}\right] \otimes\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{q}\right]\right)^{(-1)^{k+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By comparing this presentation with that of proposition 3.1.4 it can be seen that the small resolution of a semidirect product of $G$ by $H$ differs from the tensor product $C(G) \otimes C(H)$ only in actions on the terms in the boundary relations, and that the presentation above reduces to the earlier one when the action is trivial. For this reason the crossed complex defined above will be termed a twisted tensor product of $C(G)$ by $C(H)$ over the action, and written as $C(G) \otimes_{\alpha} C(H)$.

Also it can be seen that our small resolution for the semidirect product is again free in that it has no relations except for those given by the degeneracies and the boundary formulae.

## Chapter 4

## Simplicial Enrichment for Crossed Complexes

### 4.0 Introduction

Much of the categorical machinery developed for homotopy theory is set in the context of simplicially enriched categories. In this chapter we begin an investigation of the extent to which such techniques apply to the category of crossed complexes. It is shown that the monoidal closed structure induces a simplicially enriched structure on Crs, and that the nerve functor

$$
\mathrm{Crs} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~N}} \text { SimpSet }
$$

can then be given a simplicial enrichment. The natural extension of the fundamental crossed complex functor to the simplicial homs does not respect the enriched composition except up to homotopy, but using the results of section 2.3.2 it is shown that these homotopies satisfy appropriate coherence conditions. The extension of the $\pi /$ nerve adjunction to the simplicially enriched context is also investigated.

Possible applications of the results found here include the abstract formulation of equivariant homotopy theory in Crs [7], and of homotopy colimits of homotopy coherent diagrams of crossed complexes analogous to the formulation for simplicially tensored categories in $[3,15,16,17]$.

The structure of this chapter is as follows. In the first section, we present a simplicial enrichment of the category of crossed complexes. In the second section, the enrichment of the nerve functor is given. The fundamental crossed complex functor is then shown to have a simplicially coherent enrichment. In the third section, the adjunction between these functors is extended to a deformation retraction of simplicial homs

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C) \simeq \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)
$$

The rest of the section is devoted to showing that this homotopy equivalence is natural in $C$ and 'coherently' natural in $K$.

### 4.1 A simplicial enrichment for Crs

In this section we show how the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem enables a simplicially-enriched structure to be given to the category of crossed complexes.

First we use the diagonal approximation map to define a natural transformation $\Omega^{t}$ from $\pi(\mathrm{N}(-) \times \mathrm{N}(-))$ to $-\otimes-$ as follows:

$$
\pi(\mathrm{N} C \times \mathrm{N} D) \xrightarrow{a} \pi(\mathrm{~N} C) \otimes \pi(\mathrm{N} D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{C} \otimes \varepsilon_{D}} C \otimes D
$$

where $\varepsilon_{C}$ is the counit map $\pi(\mathrm{N}(C)) \rightarrow C$ corresponding to $\operatorname{id}_{\mathrm{N}(C)}$ under the $\pi \dashv \mathrm{N}$ adjunction. Using the adjunction again, we thus obtain a natural transformation

$$
\mathrm{N} C \times \mathrm{N} D \xrightarrow{\Omega_{C, D}} \mathrm{~N}(C \otimes D)
$$

The natural transformation $\Omega$ has the following more explicit description:
Proposition 4.1.1 Suppose $\pi[n] \xrightarrow{f} C, \pi[n] \xrightarrow{g} D$ are elements of $\mathrm{N}(C)_{n}, \mathrm{~N}(D)_{n}$ respectively. Then the image of $(f, g)$ under $\Omega_{C, D}$ is given by the composite


Proof: For $K$ a simplicial set, elements of $K_{n}$ correspond to simplicial maps $[n] \rightarrow K$, and elements of $\mathrm{N} \pi(K)_{n}$ correspond to homomorphisms $\pi[n] \rightarrow \pi(K)$. The unit $\eta$ of the $\pi \dashv \mathrm{N}$ adjunction may thus be considered as given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
K \xrightarrow{\eta_{K}} \\
\left([n] \xrightarrow{k_{n}} K\right) \longmapsto\left(\pi[n] \xrightarrow{\pi\left(k_{n}\right)} \pi(K)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\Omega$ is given by $\Omega^{t}$ under the adjunction, we have $\Omega \cong \eta \circ N \Omega^{t}$, that is

where $a$ is the diagonal approximation map. Given an element $\sigma=(f, g)$ of $(\mathrm{N} C \times \mathrm{N} D)_{n}$ corresponding to a simplicial map

$$
[n] \xrightarrow{d}[n] \times[n] \xrightarrow{k_{n} \times l_{n}} \mathrm{~N} C \times \mathrm{N} D
$$

we have the following commutative diagram by the naturality of the diagonal approximation


The upper path is the image of $\sigma$ under $\Omega_{C, D}=\eta \circ \mathrm{N}(a) \circ \mathrm{N}(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$; the lower path is the composite of $\pi(d) \circ a$ with $\left(\eta_{\mathrm{N} C} \circ \mathrm{~N} \varepsilon_{C}\right)(f) \otimes\left(\eta_{\mathrm{N} D} \circ \mathrm{~N} \varepsilon_{D}\right)(g)$. But $\eta_{\mathrm{N}} \circ \mathrm{N} \varepsilon$ is the identity, so the proposition follows.

Given any crossed complex homomorphism $C \otimes D \xrightarrow{m} E$ the natural transformation $\Omega$ defines a simplicial map from $\mathrm{N} C \times \mathrm{N} D$ to $\mathrm{N} E$ by

$$
\mathrm{N} C \times \mathrm{N} D \xrightarrow{\Omega_{C, D}} \mathrm{~N}(C \otimes D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N} m} \mathrm{~N} E
$$

Using the same arguments as above, it is clear that this construction agrees with that considered in section 2.2.3.

Corollary 4.1.2 Suppose $\pi[n] \xrightarrow{f} C, \pi[n] \xrightarrow{g} D$ are elements of $\mathrm{N}(C)_{n}, \mathrm{~N}(D)_{n}$ respectively and $m$ is a homomorphism from $C \otimes D$ to $E$ as above. Then the image of $(f, g)$ under $\Omega_{C, D} \circ \mathrm{~N} m$ is given by the composite


The natural transformation $\Omega$ also satisfies an associative law

Proposition 4.1.3 Given crossed complexes $C, D, E$, the following diagram commutes


Proof: By the naturality of $a$ and using $\pi \Omega \circ \varepsilon=\Omega^{\mathrm{t}}=a \circ(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ we have the following commutative diagram

in which the lower path corresponds to $(\mathrm{id} \times \Omega) \circ \Omega$ under the adjunction. There is a similar diagram for $(\Omega \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \Omega$ and so the result follows by the associativity of $a$ and $\otimes$.

We now use these results together with the internal hom structure to define a simplicial enrichment of Crs.

There are natural homomorphisms

$$
[D, E] \otimes[C, D] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}}[D, E] \otimes D \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} E
$$

where the evaluation map $\operatorname{ev}_{C, D}$ is the counit map $[C, D] \otimes C \rightarrow D$ corresponding to $\operatorname{id}_{[C, D]}$ under the tensor product-internal hom adjunction in Crs. These give the internal composition maps of the monoidal closed structure on Crs

$$
[D, E] \otimes[C, D] \xrightarrow{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Crs}}[C, E]
$$

Definition 4.1.4 For crossed complexes $C, D$ the simplicial hom-set $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D)=\mathrm{N}[C, D]
$$

and for crossed complexes $C, D, E$ the enriched composition is defined by


Note that this does indeed define a simplicial enrichment for Crs, since both $\Omega$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ Crs satisfy an associative law and $\Omega$ is a natural bijection in dimension zero.

A more explicit definition of the enriched composition may be given using the following natural bijections of hom-sets

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D)_{n} \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n],[C, D]) \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n] \otimes C, D)
$$

Proposition 4.1.5 Under the correspondence above, the enriched composition in $\mathbf{C r s}_{S}$ takes a pair of homomorphisms $(\pi[n] \otimes D \xrightarrow{y} E, \pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{x} D)$ to the homomorphism $x \cdot y$ given by the composite

$$
\pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\lambda_{C}} \pi[n] \otimes \pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes x} \pi[n] \otimes D \xrightarrow{y} E
$$

where $\lambda$ is the (ordinary) natural transformation whose components $\lambda_{C}$ are defined using the diagonal approximation map a as follows:

$$
\pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{\pi(d) \otimes \mathrm{id}} \pi([n] \times[n]) \otimes C \xrightarrow{a \otimes \mathrm{id}} \pi[n] \otimes \pi[n] \otimes C
$$

Proof: Let $x, y$ correspond to the homomorphisms $\pi[n] \xrightarrow{f}[C, D], \pi[n] \xrightarrow{g}[D, E]$ respectively. Then $x \cdot y$ corresponds to the homomorphism

$$
\pi[n] \longrightarrow \pi[n] \otimes \pi[n] \xrightarrow{g \otimes f}[D, E] \otimes[C, D] \xrightarrow{\circ_{\mathrm{Crs}}}[C, E]
$$

by corollary 4.1.2. Thus $x \cdot y$ may be written as the upper path around the following diagram.


By the identities $\left(f \otimes \operatorname{id}_{C}\right) \circ \operatorname{ev}_{C, D}=x$ and $\left(g \otimes \operatorname{id}_{E}\right) \circ \operatorname{ev}_{D, E}=y$, the lower path around this diagram is $\lambda_{C} \circ(\mathrm{id} \otimes x) \circ y$, and we have the result as required.

Note that for $n=1$ this description of the enriched composition is identical to the description of horizontal composition of homotopies given in section 2.1.1.

### 4.2 Enrichment of $\pi$ and Nerve

In this section we discuss how the fundamental crossed complex and nerve functors between SimpSet and Crs can be extended to the corresponding simplicially enriched categories. For $\pi$ this will not work 'on the nose' but will involve the coherent systems of higher homotopies of theorem 2.3.9.

Consider first the nerve functor from crossed complexes to simplicial sets.
Proposition 4.2.1 The nerve functor extends to a simplicial functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Crs}_{S} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~N}_{S}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S} \\
\operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n] \otimes C, D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}_{n}} \operatorname{SimpSet}([n] \times \mathrm{N} C, \mathrm{~N} D)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{n}$ takes a homomorphism $\pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{f} D$ to the simplicial map

$$
[n] \times \mathrm{N} C \xrightarrow{\zeta_{[n], C}} \mathrm{~N}(\pi[n] \otimes C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(f)} \mathrm{N} D
$$

and $\zeta$ is the natural transformation with $\zeta_{K, C}$ given by

$$
K \times \mathrm{N} C \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{~N} \pi(K \times \mathrm{N} C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(a)} \mathrm{N}(\pi K \otimes \pi \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)} \mathrm{N}(\pi K \otimes C)
$$

Proof: Clearly $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ defines a simplicial map on each hom-object. Also since $a$ corresponds to the identity if either component is of dimension zero, we have

$$
\zeta_{[0], C} \cong \eta_{\mathrm{N} C} \circ a \circ \mathrm{~N}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)=\mathrm{id}
$$

using the triangle identity. Thus $N_{0} \cong N$. It remains to show that $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ respects the enriched composition structures in $\mathbf{C r s}_{S}$ and $\operatorname{SimpSet}{ }_{S}$, and for this we will need the fact that $\zeta$ satisfies a type of associativity condition.

Lemma 4.2.2 For simplicial sets $K, L$ and crossed complexes $C$ the following diagram commutes


Proof: Consider (id $\times \zeta$ ) ○ . From the definition of $\zeta$ and naturality we have the following commutative diagram:


Thus $(\mathrm{id} \times \zeta) \circ \zeta=\eta \circ \mathrm{N}(a) \circ \mathrm{N}(a \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)$ by the triangle identity and the associativity of $a$, and the result follows by the naturality of $\varepsilon$.

Returning to the proof of proposition 4.2.1, suppose we have homomorphisms

$$
\pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{f} D \quad \pi[n] \otimes D \xrightarrow{g} E
$$

Then the result $\mathrm{N}_{n}(f \circ g)=\mathrm{N}_{n}(f) \circ \mathrm{N}_{n}(g)$ may be seen by the commutativity of the following diagram

and so $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ defines a simplicial enrichment of the nerve functor.
For the fundamental crossed complex functor, SimpSet $\xrightarrow{\pi}$ Crs, the situation is more complicated. We can still extend $\pi$ to a collection of simplicial maps on the hom
objects

$$
\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Trs}_{S}
$$

$$
\operatorname{SimpSet}([n] \times K, L) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}} \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n] \otimes \pi K, \pi L)
$$

by defining $\pi_{n}([n] \times K \xrightarrow{f} L)$ to be the homomorphism

$$
\pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{b} \pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{\pi f} \pi L
$$

where $b$ is given by the shuffle map, the homotopy inverse to the diagonal approximation $a$ in the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem. However the maps $\pi_{n}$ do not respect the enriched composition structures. For simplicial maps

$$
[n] \times K \xrightarrow{f} L \quad[n] \times L \xrightarrow{g} M
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n}(f \circ g) & =b \circ \pi(d \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \pi(\mathrm{id} \times f) \circ \pi(g) \\
\pi_{n}(f) \circ \pi_{n}(g) & =\pi d \otimes \mathrm{id} \circ a \otimes \mathrm{id} \circ \mathrm{id} \otimes(b \circ \pi f) \circ b \circ \pi g
\end{aligned}
$$



The 'squares' in this diagram commute by the naturality and associativity of $b$; the double arrow in the upper-right triangle is given by the homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi([n] \times[n]) \xrightarrow{h_{[n],[n]}} \pi([n] \times[n])
$$

from the identity to $a \circ b$. We thus have a natural homotopy $h(f, g): \pi_{n}(f \circ g) \simeq$ $\pi_{n}(f) \circ \pi_{n}(g)$ given by

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{\pi d \otimes \mathrm{id}\left(h_{[n],[n]} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\pi K}\right)^{b \cdot \pi(\mathrm{id} \times f \cdot g)}} \pi M
$$

We will show that these homotopies form a coherent system, where the coherence information is given by the higher homotopies of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem 2.3.9. For example given simplicial maps $[n] \times K_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i}} K_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we can form the composite homotopies

$$
\text { and } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ f_{3}\right) \simeq \pi\left(f_{1}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{2} \circ f_{3}\right) \simeq \pi\left(f_{1}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{2}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{3}\right) \\
& \left.f_{2} \circ f_{3}\right) \simeq \pi\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{3}\right) \simeq \pi\left(f_{1}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{2}\right) \circ \pi\left(f_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are not equal, although they are themselves homotopic via a double homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{0} \xrightarrow{h\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)} \pi K_{3}
$$

Let us generalise the notion of an $r$-fold homotopy to that of an $(r, n)$-homotopy, where an $(r, n)$-homotopy $h$ is a crossed complex homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{h} D
$$

where $C, D$ are crossed complexes.
Clearly there are $(r-1, n)$-homotopies $\delta_{i}^{ \pm}(h)$ and $(r, n-1)$-homotopies $d_{i}(h)$ induced from $h$ by considering the $2 r$ faces of the $r$-cube and the $n$ faces of the $n$-simplex. Also given a $(p, n)$-homotopy $k_{1}$ and a $(q, n)$-homotopy $k_{2}$ as follows

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes p} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{k_{1}} D \quad \mathcal{I}^{\otimes q} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes D \xrightarrow{k_{2}} E
$$

then we can define a $(p+q, n)$-homotopy $k_{1} \circ k_{2}$ by the following diagram


Note that composition of two $(0, n)$-homotopies in this way agrees with the definition of the enriched composition of degree $n$ maps in $\mathrm{Crs}_{S}$, since the symmetry of the tensor product acts as the identity when one of the factors has dimension zero.

Using these notions, we make the following definition of what we mean by a simplicially coherent (or lax) functor from $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}$ to $\mathrm{Crs}_{S}$.

Definition 4.2.3 $A$ simplicially coherent functor $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{C r s}_{S}$ is given by the following data:

- A crossed complex $F(K)$ for each simplicial set $K$
- $A n(r-1, n)$-homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(r-1)} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes F\left(K_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)} F\left(K_{r}\right)
$$

for each $n \geq 0$ and each r-tuple $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right), f_{i} \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}\left(K_{i-1}, K_{i}\right)_{n}$. such that the $F_{n}$ commute with the simplicial face and degeneracy operators, and the following cubical boundary relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{i}^{-}\left(F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\right) & =F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots,\left(f_{r-i} \circ f_{r-i+1}\right), \ldots, f_{r}\right) \\
\partial_{i}^{+}\left(F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\right) & =F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r-i}\right) \circ F_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\circ$ here means enriched composition and composition of $(k, n)$-homotopies respectively.
The simplicially coherent functor $F$ is said to provide a simplicially coherent enrichment of an ordinary functor $\operatorname{SimpSet} \xrightarrow{G}$ Crs if the following conditions hold:

- $F(K)=G(K)$ for each simplicial set $K$
- every $(r-1,0)$-homotopy $F_{0}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ factors through the corresponding homomorphism $G\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{r}\right)$


Suppose $f$ is an $r$-tuple $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ of degree $n$ maps and $F$ is a simplicially coherent functor as above. Then the enriched composition in $\boldsymbol{S i m p S e t}_{S}$ and $\mathbf{C r s}_{S}$ give for each $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r-1}$ an element $F_{\alpha}(f)$ of $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}\left(F\left(K_{0}\right), F\left(K_{r}\right)\right)_{n}$ defined by

$$
F_{n}\left(f_{i_{0}+1} \circ f_{i_{0}+2} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_{1}}\right) \circ F_{n}\left(f_{i_{1}+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_{2}}\right) \circ \ldots \circ F_{n}\left(f_{i_{k}+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_{k+1}}\right)
$$

where $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$ are those $i$ such that $\alpha_{r-i}=1$, and $i_{0}=0, i_{k+1}=r$. Also there is a $(0, n)$-homotopy $F_{\alpha}^{\prime}(f)$ given by the $(r-1, n)$-homotopy $F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ at the corner of the $(r-1)$-cube given by $\alpha$. The following proposition follows from the cubical boundary relations satisfied by $F$.

Proposition 4.2.4 The degree $n$ map of $\mathbf{C r s}_{S}$ corresponding to $F_{\alpha}^{\prime}(f)$ is precisely $F_{\alpha}(f)$. Thus, the $(r-1, n)$-homotopies $F_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ given by a simplicially coherent functor $F$ for $r \geq 2$ record all the coherent homotopy information between the various enriched composites of its values on 1-tuples.

We now use the coherent system of homotopies of theorem 2.3.9 to define a simplicially coherent enrichment of $\pi$ which on 1-tuples agrees with the definition of $\pi_{S}$ above. We write $[n]^{r}$ for the $r$-fold (cartesian) product of the representable simplicial set [ $n$ ] with itself, and $h_{r}$ for the $r$-fold homotopy obtained from theorem 2.3.9 by setting $K_{0}=K_{1}=\ldots=K_{r}=[n]$.

Theorem 4.2.5 There is a simplicially coherent enrichment $\pi: \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Crs}_{S}$ of the fundamental crossed complex functor with $\pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ given by the following commutative diagram:

where $d^{r}:[n] \rightarrow[n]^{r}$ is the $r$-fold diagonal and $[f]_{1}^{r}$ is the simplicial map given by
$[n]^{r} \times K_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}^{r-1} \times f_{1}}[n]^{r-1} \times K_{1} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot[n]^{2} \times K_{r-2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times f_{r-1}}[n] \times K_{r-1} \xrightarrow{f_{r}} K_{r}$
Proof: We have to show that the cubical boundary relations of definition 4.2.3 hold as a consequence of the relations on the $n$-fold homotopies $h$ in theorem 2.3.9. First consider the $\delta_{i}^{-}$boundaries, and let $d^{(i)}:[n]^{r-1} \longrightarrow[n]^{r}$ be the map induced by the diagonal on the $i$ th factor. By the relation $\delta_{i}^{-} h_{[n], \ldots,[n]}=h_{[n], \ldots,[n]^{2}, \ldots,[n]}$ and the naturality
of $h$ and $b$ we have the following commutative diagram


The vertical composite on the right of the above diagram may be written as $\pi[g]_{1}^{r-1}$, where $g$ is the $r-1$-tuple obtained from $f$ by replacing $f_{r-i}$ and $f_{r-i+1}$ by their enriched composite. Thus the upper path through the diagram gives $\pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i} \circ\right.$ $\left.f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. Also the lower path is $\delta_{i}^{-} \pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, since $d^{r-1} \circ d^{(i)}=d^{r}$, so we have the required relation.

The relations for $\delta_{i}^{+}$are slightly more complicated to show. Consider the diagram in figure 4.1, which commutes by naturality of $a$ and $s$, by the boundary relation for $\delta_{i}^{+} h$, by the definition of $*$, and by associativity and naturality of $b$. By naturality of $\otimes$, the composite from the "top right" to the "bottom left" of the diagram is just the composite of id $\otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i}\right)$ with $\pi_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. Thus the long path around the diagram is $\pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i}\right) \circ \pi_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. The short "vertical" path is $\delta_{i}^{+} \pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ and so the relation follows.

### 4.3 The coherent adjunction $\pi \dashv \mathrm{N}$

The adjunction between the nerve and the fundamental crossed complex functors takes place at the level of unenriched categories. In this section we will see that when considering SimpSet and Crs as simplicially-enriched categories the adjunction does not respect the enrichment precisely, but only up to a system of coherent homotopies.

For all simplicial sets $K$ and crossed complexes $C$ the ordinary adjunction gives a natural bijection of hom-sets

$$
\operatorname{Crs}(\pi K, C) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)
$$



It is quite easy by using the diagonal approximation and shuffle maps to extend this to the simplicial hom objects. Recall that there are natural bijections of sets giving us the following representations of the enriched homs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)_{n} \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n],[\pi K, C]) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n] \otimes \pi K, C) \\
& \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)_{n} \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}([n] \times K, \mathrm{~N} C)
\end{aligned} \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi([n] \times K), C)
$$

Proposition 4.3.1 Given a crossed complex $C$ and a simplicial set $K$ there is a homotopy equivalence between the simplicial sets $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)$ and $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)$ which is a natural bijection in dimension zero. Moreover, the homotopy is a deformation retraction.

Proof: The simplicial maps $a^{*}$ and $b^{*}$ between the enriched homs are given in each dimension by

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)_{n} \cong \operatorname{Crs}(\pi[n] \otimes \pi K, C) \underset{b_{n}^{*}}{\stackrel{a_{n}^{*}}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{Crs}(\pi([n] \times K), C) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)_{n}
$$

These are defined by precomposing the representing homomorphisms with the maps $a$ and $b$ of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{f} C) \stackrel{a_{n}^{*}}{\longmapsto}(\pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{a} \pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{f} C) \\
& (\pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{g} C) \stackrel{b_{n}^{*}}{\longmapsto}(\pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{b} \pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{g} C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly the composite simplicial map $a^{*} \circ b^{*}$ is the identity on $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)$, since $b \circ a$ is the identity on each $\pi[n] \otimes \pi K$. The simplicial homotopy between $b^{*} \circ a^{*}$ and the identity on $\operatorname{SimpSet}(K, N C)$

$$
[1] \times \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{H} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)
$$

is defined as follows. Suppose $(x, f)$ represents an element of dimension $n$ of the right hand side, where $x$ is a simplicial map $[n] \rightarrow[1]$ and $f$ is a homomorphism $\pi([n] \times K) \rightarrow$ $C$. Then $H_{n}(x, f)$ is the homomorphism given by

using the diagonal approximation again, together with the homotopy $h$ of theorem 2.3.1.

In the unenriched setting, the natural bijection of an adjunction $F \dashv G$ may be defined in terms of the functors $F$ and $G$ and the unit and counit maps. We will see in the next proposition that this is also true in our enriched situation.

For crossed complexes $C, D$ and simplicial sets $K, L$ we will use the notation $\eta^{*}$, $\eta_{*}, \varepsilon^{*}$ and $\varepsilon_{*}$ for the four simplicial maps

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(\mathrm{~N} \pi K, L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{limpSet}_{S}(K, L) \\
\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} \pi L) \\
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi \mathrm{~N} C, D) \\
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, \pi \mathrm{~N} D) \longrightarrow \operatorname{crs}_{S}(C, D)
\end{array}
$$

induced by the unit $\eta$ and the counit $\varepsilon$ on the enriched homs. For example, $\eta^{*}$ is the map which in each dimension $n$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(\mathrm{~N} \pi K, L)_{n} \xrightarrow{\eta_{n}^{*}} \\
& ([n] \times \mathrm{N} \pi K \xrightarrow{f} L) \longmapsto \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, L)_{n} \\
& ([n] \times K \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \eta}[n] \times \mathrm{N} \pi K \xrightarrow{f} L)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now state the proposition.
Proposition 4.3.2 The adjunction maps $a^{*}$ and $b^{*}$ are precisely the simplicial maps given by the composites

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C) \xrightarrow{N_{S}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(\mathrm{~N} \pi K, \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{\eta^{*}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C) \\
\text { and } \quad \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{\pi_{S}} \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, \pi \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{*}} \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)
\end{array}
$$

respectively.
Proof: $\quad$ Suppose $\pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{f} C$ represents an element of $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)_{n}$. Then from proposition 4.2.1 we have $\eta^{*}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{S}(f)\right)=(\mathrm{id} \times \eta) \circ \zeta_{[n], \pi K} \circ \mathrm{~N}(f)$. But $(\mathrm{id} \times \eta) \circ \zeta_{[n], \pi K}=$ $\eta \circ \mathrm{N}(a)$ by naturality and the triangle identity:


Thus $\eta^{*}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{S}(f)\right)=\eta \circ \mathrm{N}(a \circ f)$, which is the simplicial map $[n] \times K \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N} C$ representing $a^{*}(f)$ as required.

For $[n] \times K \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{~N} C$ representing an element of $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)$, the homomorphism $b^{*}(g)$ is given by

$$
\pi[n] \otimes \pi K \xrightarrow{b} \pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{\pi g} \pi \mathrm{~N} C \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C
$$

Which is just $\pi_{n}(g) \circ \varepsilon$.

Conversely we can reconstruct the definitions of $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ and $\pi_{S}$ from the adjunction maps $a^{*}$ and $b^{*}$.

Proposition 4.3.3 The maps $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ and $\pi_{S}$ are given precisely by the composite simplicial maps

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{*}} \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi \mathrm{~N} C, D) \xrightarrow{a^{*}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(\mathrm{~N} C, \mathrm{~N} D) \\
\text { and } \quad \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, L) \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} \pi L) \xrightarrow{b^{*}} \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, \pi L)
\end{array}
$$ respectively.

Proof: For $\pi[n] \otimes C \xrightarrow{f} D$ representing an element of $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D)_{n}$, the homomorphism $\pi([n] \times \mathrm{N} C) \xrightarrow{a^{*} \varepsilon^{*} f} D$ given by $a \circ(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ f$ corresponds to the simplicial map

$$
[n] \times \mathrm{N} C \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{~N} \pi([n] \times \mathrm{N} C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(a)} \mathrm{N}(\pi[n] \otimes \pi \mathrm{N} C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)} \mathrm{N}(\pi[n] \otimes C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}(f)} \mathrm{N} D
$$

which is $N_{S}(f)$ as required.
For $[n] \times K \xrightarrow{g} L$ representing an element of $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, L)_{n}$, the simplicial map $[n] \times K \xrightarrow{g \circ \eta} \mathrm{~N} \pi L$ corresponds to a homomorphism

$$
\pi([n] \times K) \xrightarrow{\pi g} \pi L \xrightarrow{\pi \eta} \pi \mathrm{~N} \pi L \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\pi}} \pi L
$$

which is just $\pi(g)$ by the triangle identity. Thus $b^{*}\left(\eta_{*}(g)\right)=b \circ \pi(g)$, which is precisely $\pi_{S}(g)$.

Since $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ is a simplicially enriched functor, we have for each simplicial set $K$ a pair of simplicially enriched functors

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S} \xrightarrow[\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N}(\cdot))]{\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, \cdot)} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}
$$

The following proposition follows from the relation between $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ and $a^{*}$.
Proposition 4.3.4 Let $K$ be a simplicial set. Then $a^{*}$ defines a simplicially enriched natural transformation from $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, \cdot)$ to $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N}(\cdot))$.

Proof: The enriched functoriality of $\mathrm{N}_{S}$ and the definition of $\eta^{*}$ give the following commutative diagram.


By proposition 4.3.2 the vertical composites are $\mathrm{N}_{S} \times a^{*}$ and $a^{*}$, so we have $a^{*}(f \circ g)=$ $a^{*} f \circ \mathrm{~N}_{S} g$ as required.

There is similar argument for $b^{*}$ and $\pi_{S}$ in the coherent rather than the strict setting.
Proposition 4.3.5 The maps

$$
\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C) \xrightarrow{b_{K, C}^{*}} \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)
$$

of proposition 4.3.1 can be given the structure of a coherent natural transformation in $K$.
That is, given a crossed complex $C$, simplicial sets $K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{r-1}, K_{r}=\mathrm{N} C$, and maps $f_{i} \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}\left(K_{i-1}, K_{i}\right)_{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ there is an $(r-1, n)$-homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(r-1)} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{0} \xrightarrow{b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)} C
$$

which for $r=1$ agrees with the definition of $b^{*}$ above, and which satisfies the cubical boundary relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{i}^{-}\left(b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\right) & =b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots,\left(f_{r-i} \circ f_{r-i+1}\right), \ldots, f_{r}\right) \\
\partial_{i}^{+}\left(b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\right) & =\pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r-i}\right) \circ b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We extend the relation $b^{*}=\pi_{s} \circ \varepsilon_{*}$ of proposition 4.3.3 and define $b_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ to be the $(r-1, n)$-homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(r-1)} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{0} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)} \pi \mathrm{N} C \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C
$$

using the simplicial coherence of $\pi_{S}$ given in theorem 4.2.5. The boundary relations follow.

Thus in particular for $f \in \operatorname{SimpSet}(K, L)$ and $g \in \operatorname{SimpSet}(L, \mathrm{~N} C)$ of the same degree we have a homotopy between $b^{*}(f \circ g)$ and $\pi_{S} f \circ b^{*} g$. In the general case the $2^{r-1}$ 'corners' of the above homotopies give all the possible results of applying $b^{*}$ to $f_{r}$ before or after composing with the other $f_{i}$.

The two cases left to deal with now are the naturality (or otherwise) of $a^{*}$ in $K$ and $b^{*}$ in $C$. We approach these from the following intermediate result, in which it is necessary to use the 'commutativity' of $a$ and $b$ as shown in proposition 2.2.13.

Lemma 4.3.6 Given maps $f \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, L)_{n}$ and $g \in \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi L, D)_{n}$, the maps $\pi_{S} f \circ g$ and $b^{*}\left(f \circ a^{*} g\right)$ in $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, D)_{n}$ are equal.

Proof: Suppose $f$ and $g$ are given by

$$
[n] \times K \xrightarrow{f} L \quad \pi[n] \otimes \pi L \xrightarrow{g} D
$$

then $\pi_{s} \circ g$ and $b^{*}\left(f \circ a^{*} g\right)$ correspond to the two paths around the following diagram


The bottom square of this diagram commutes since both paths correspond to the map $[n] \times L \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N} D$ representing $a^{*} g$. The other squares commute by naturality of $a$ and $b$ and by the commutativity relation between $a$ and $b$ given in proposition 2.2.13, and so we have the result.

It follows from this that the maps $b^{*}$ are natural in $C$.
Proposition 4.3.7 Let $K$ be a simplicial set. Then $b^{*}$ defines a simplicially enriched natural transformation from $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N}(\cdot))$ to $\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, \cdot)$.

Proof: Suppose we have crossed complexes $C, D$ and maps $x \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)_{n}$, $y \in \operatorname{Crs}_{S}(C, D)_{n}$. Then taking $L=\mathrm{N} C$ and applying the lemma to the maps $x$ and $\varepsilon^{*}(y)$ gives

$$
\pi_{S} x \circ \varepsilon^{*} y=b^{*}\left(x \circ a^{*}\left(\varepsilon^{*} y\right)\right)
$$

It is clear from the definition of $\varepsilon^{*}$ and $\varepsilon_{*}$ that this may be written as

$$
\varepsilon_{*}\left(\pi_{S} x\right) \circ y=b^{*}\left(x \circ a^{*}\left(\varepsilon^{*} y\right)\right)
$$

By propositions 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 this is

$$
b^{*} x \circ y=b^{*}\left(x \circ \mathrm{~N}_{S} y\right)
$$

and so $b^{*}$ is natural in $C$ as required.

Now suppose $C$ is a crossed complex and consider the maps

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C) \xrightarrow{a_{K, C}^{*}} \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)
$$

for varying $K$. Note that $\operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}(K, \mathrm{~N} C)$ extends to a simplicially enriched functor in $K$, but that $\mathrm{Crs}_{S}(\pi K, C)$ does not since $\pi$ gives only a simplicially coherent functor. We will show however that $a^{*}$ may be given a coherent enriched structure such that it defines a kind of coherently natural enriched transformation between these functors.

Suppose that $K_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, are simplicial sets and that $f_{i} \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}\left(K_{i-1}, K_{i}\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Crs}_{S}\left(\pi K_{r}, C\right)$ are maps given by

$$
[n] \times K_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i}} K_{i} \quad \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{r} \xrightarrow{g} C
$$

Then we define a homomorphism $a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)$ from $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left([n] \times K_{0}\right)$ to $C$ by

where $[f]_{1}^{r}$ is the simplicial map $[n]^{r} \times K_{0} \longrightarrow K_{r}$ defined by the $f_{i}$ as in theorem 4.2.5, and $h_{k, K}^{r, n}$ is the $r$-fold homotopy $h_{[n]^{k},[n], \ldots,[n], K}$ as defined by theorem 2.3.9. On taking $r=0$ we note that $a_{n}^{*}(; g)$ reduces to $a_{n}^{*}(g)$ as defined in proposition 4.3.1.

By considering the boundary relations satisfied by these maps we will show that the 'corners' correspond to all the simplicial maps $[n] \times K_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N} C$ obtained by applying $a^{*}$ to $g$ before or after composing with the $f_{i}$. The $\delta_{i}^{-}$boundaries are quite clear:

Proposition 4.3.8 The homomorphisms $a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)$ defined above satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i}^{-}\left(a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)\right) & =a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i} \circ f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right) \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \\
\delta_{r}^{-}\left(a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)\right) & =f_{1} \circ a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equation is shorthand for the commutativity of


Proof: The proof is analogous to that for the $\delta_{i}^{-}$in proposition 4.2.5. For $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, we use the naturality of $h$ with the diagonal $d^{(i+1)}:[n]^{r} \longrightarrow[n]^{r+1}$ and get the following diagram


The right hand vertical composite may be written as id $\otimes \pi\left[f^{\prime}\right]_{1}^{r-1}$ where $f^{\prime}$ is the ( $r-1$ )tuple obtained from $f$ by replacing $f_{r-i}$ and $f_{r-i+1}$ by their enriched composite. Thus the $\delta_{i}^{-}$relation is given by the two paths around the diagram.

For $i=r$, we have a similar argument for the map $[n] \times K_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} K_{1}$, and we get the
diagram


The two paths around this diagram give precisely the $\delta_{r}^{-}$relation required.
Before discussing the $\delta_{i}^{+}$relations we need to extend our notation. Suppose we are given $f_{i} \in \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}\left(K_{i-1}, K_{i}\right)_{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and that $Z$ is a $(q, n)$-homotopy

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes q} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{p} \xrightarrow{Z} C
$$

Then we define the homomorphism $a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p} ; Z\right)$ from $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(q+p)} \otimes \pi\left([n] \times K_{0}\right)$ to $C$ by


Note that for $q=0, Z=g$ this reduces to the previous definition. In the next proposition we use the coherence of $\pi_{S}$ and take $Z$ to be composite of the ( $i-1, n$ )homotopy given by

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{r-i} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)} \pi K_{r}
$$

with the $(0, n)$-homotopy given by $\pi[n] \otimes \pi K_{r} \xrightarrow{g} C$.
Proposition 4.3.9 For maps $f_{i}$ and $g$ as above, the homomorphisms $a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} ; g\right)$ satisfy

$$
\delta_{i}^{+}\left(a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) ; g\right)=a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i} ; \pi_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \circ g\right)
$$

Proof: For $1 \leq i \leq r, h_{2, K_{0}}^{r, n}$ may be written as the composite

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r} \otimes \pi\left([n]^{r+1} \times K_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes h_{i+2, K_{0}}^{r-i, n}} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes i} \otimes \pi\left([n]^{r+1} \times K_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{h_{2,[n]^{r-i} \times K_{0}}^{i, n}} \pi\left([n]^{r+1} \times K_{0}\right)
$$

Thus $\delta_{i}^{+} h_{2, K_{0}}^{r, n}=\mathrm{id} \otimes h_{i+2, K_{0}}^{r-i, n} \circ \delta_{i}^{+} h_{2,[n]^{r-i} \times K_{0}}^{i, n}$, and this second term may in turn be written as the composite

$$
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes a^{(i+1)}\right) \circ\left(h_{[n]^{2},[n], \ldots,[n]} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ b
$$

Consider now the diagram in figure 4.2. The triangular region commutes by the above discussion, and the rectangles commute by naturality and by the commutativity relations between $a$ and $b$ and between $a$ and $h$. The lower path around the diagram is just $\delta_{i}^{+}\left(a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) ; g\right)$. After a further application of naturality with $[f]_{1}^{r-i}$, the upper path around the diagram can be seen to be $a_{n}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-i} ; \pi_{n}\left(f_{r-i+1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \circ g\right)$ and we have the result.

We can summarise the findings of this section as follows
Theorem 4.3.10 For simplicial sets $K$ and crossed complexes $C$ the strong deformation retraction

$$
\operatorname{Crs}_{S}\left(\pi_{S} K, C\right) \simeq \operatorname{SimpSet}_{S}\left(K, \mathrm{~N}_{S} C\right)
$$

is natural in $C$ and coherently natural in $K$.


## Chapter 5

## Homotopy Colimits and Coherent Diagrams

### 5.0 Introduction

The idea of considering 'lax' functors where the functoriality only holds up to higher dimensional equivalences (satisfying appropriate associativity/coherence relations) is already well known in the categories Cat [2], SimpSet [15] and Top [39], and homotopy limits and colimits for diagrams of this type have been defined in [35, 38, 16, 17, 39]. In this chapter we consider a definition of homotopy coherent diagrams in the category of crossed complexes and give a tentative definition of the homotopy colimit of such a diagram. We also show how such a theory relates to crossed resolutions of extensions of groups.

The structure of this chapter is as follows. In the first section we recall the definition of homotopy colimits of lax functors in Cat, and show that a group extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1
$$

corresponds to a lax functor $H \rightarrow$ Cat such that $e_{H} \mapsto G$. In the second section, we recall the definition of homotopy coherent diagrams in SimpSet and introduce a definition of homotopy coherent diagrams in Crs. It is shown how a lax functor in Cat induces a coherent functor in SimpSet which in turn gives a coherent functor in Crs. In the third section we recall the definition of homotopy colimits of coherent diagrams of simplicial sets, and discuss how this carries over to the category of crossed complexes. We end with some ideas for further development of this work.

### 5.1 Group Extensions and Lax Functors in Cat

In the chapter 3 it was seen how an investigation of small models for crossed resolutions of split extensions of groups leads to a definition of homotopy colimits of functors into crossed complexes, and results in a twisted tensor product. In this chapter we will
discuss a possible definition of homotopy colimits of lax functors into crossed complexes. In this section we provide a simple motivational example by explaining how any (not necessarily split) extension of groups corresponds to a lax functor.

Definition 5.1.1 $A$ lax functor $I \xrightarrow{F}$ Cat is given by

1. a category $F(i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$,
2. a functor $F(i) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(j)$ for each arrow $i \xrightarrow{f} j$ of $I$, such that $F(f)$ is the identity functor if $f$ is an identity arrow,
3. a natural transformation $F(f \circ g) \stackrel{F(f, g)}{\Longrightarrow} F(f) \circ F(g)$ for each pair of composable arrows $(f, g)$ of $I$, such that $F(f, g)$ is the identity natural transformation if either of $f, g$ are identity arrows, and such that for any triple $(f, g, h)$ of composable arrows the associative law holds:

$$
F(f g, h)_{a} \circ(F(h))\left(F(f, g)_{a}\right)=F(f, g h)_{a} \circ F(g, h)_{(F(f))(a)} \text { for } a \in \operatorname{Ob}(F(s f))
$$

Note that there is a more general definition of a lax functor (see for example [2]) which only requires that $F$ preserve the identity arrows up to a natural transformation, which must satisfy appropriate left and right identity relations. Also note that the associative law can be described as the equality of the following diagrams

which may also be read as asserting the commutativity of (the faces of) the obvious tetrahedron.

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups


Since $p$ is onto we can choose a function $j: H \longrightarrow E$ such that $j \circ p$ is the identity on $H$, and then by exactness we have a function $q: E \longrightarrow G$ which takes $x \in E$ to the unique $g \in G$ satisfying $i(g)=x \cdot(j(p x))^{-1} \in \operatorname{ker}(p)$. It is an old and well-known result [25]
that whereas split extensions of groups are characterised by a group action, a general group extension is characterised by the pair of functions

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
H \times G \xrightarrow{k_{1}} G & H \times H \xrightarrow{k_{2}} G \\
(h, g) \longmapsto g^{h} & \left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \longmapsto\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

given by

$$
j h \cdot i\left(g^{h}\right)=i g \cdot j h \text { and } j\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right) \cdot i\left(\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}\right)=j h_{1} \cdot j h_{2}
$$

In the following proposition we show how $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ translate into the language of lax functors.

Proposition 5.1.2 Given a group extension $E$ of $G$ by $H$ as above the assignments

1. $\alpha\left(e_{H}\right)=G$,
2. $\alpha(h)=\left(g \mapsto g^{h}\right)$,
3. $\alpha\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)_{e_{G}}=\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}$
define a lax functor $H \xrightarrow{\alpha}$ Cat.
Proof: For each (arrow) $h \in H$ and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ we have

$$
(j h)^{-1} \cdot i\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) \cdot j h=(j h)^{-1} \cdot i g_{1} \cdot j h \cdot(j h)^{-1} \cdot i g_{2} \cdot j h
$$

and so $\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)^{h}=g_{1}{ }^{h} \cdot g_{2}{ }^{h}$. Thus $\alpha(h)$ defines an endofunctor of $G$. Consider the following diagram in $E$ :

where we have omitted the $i(-)$ or $j(-)$ on each arrow for legibility. The diagram commutes in $E$ by definition of $g^{h}$ and $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}$, and so its perimeter commutes in $G$ by injectivity of $i$. Thus $\alpha\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ defines a natural transformation between $\alpha\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(h_{1}\right) \circ \alpha\left(h_{2}\right)$. Similarly the required associativity of this natural transformation is shown by the commutativity in $G$ of the perimeter of the following diagram in $E$ :


In the case that the extension splits, $j$ may be chosen to be a homomorphism and so $k_{2}$ is trivial and $\alpha$ reduces to an ordinary functor. Thus the above result includes that of proposition 3.1.6.

It is well known that the Grothendieck construction may also be applied to lax functors.

Definition 5.1.3 Suppose $I$ is a small category and $F$ is a lax functor from $I$ to Cat. Then the Grothendieck construction on $F$ is the category $\int^{I} F$ with objects the pairs $(i, x)$ with $i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)$ and $x \in \mathrm{Ob}(F i)$ and arrows $(f, a):\left(i_{0}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(i_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ for all $f \in I\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$ and $a \in \operatorname{Arr}\left(F i_{1}\right)$ with source $(F f)\left(x_{0}\right)$ and target $x_{1}$. The composite of the arrows

$$
\left(i_{0}, x_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{1}, a_{1}\right)}\left(i_{1}, x_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{2}, a_{2}\right)}\left(i_{2}, x_{2}\right)
$$

is defined by $\left(f_{1} \cdot f_{2}, F\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)_{x_{0}} \cdot\left(F f_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}\right) \cdot a_{2}\right)$.
Following [38] we can now define homotopy colimits of lax functors in Cat.

Definition 5.1.4 If $F: I \longrightarrow$ Cat is a lax functor, the homotopy colimit of $F$ is the category given by the Grothendieck construction on $F$.

Now return to the case where $\alpha: H \rightarrow$ Cat : $e_{H} \mapsto G$ is a lax functor given by a group extension $E$ as above. Then the Grothendieck construction on $\alpha$ has a single object $\left(e_{H}, e_{G}\right)$, arrows $(h, g)$ for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$, with composition of arrows given by

$$
\left(h_{1}, g_{1}\right)\left(h_{2}, g_{2}\right)=\left(h_{1} h_{2},\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\} g_{1}^{h_{2}} g_{2}\right)
$$

This category is isomorphic to $E$ via $(h, g) \mapsto j(h) \cdot i(g)$. Thus the homotopy colimit of $\alpha$ gives back the extension.

Our aim for the rest of this chapter will be as follows. Firstly, we want a suitable notion of coherent functors in Crs such that composing with the standard crossed resolution functor $C$ takes a lax functor in Cat to a coherent functor in Crs, and secondly we want to define homotopy colimits of coherent functors in Crs. We suspect (although we do not prove) that lax/coherent homotopy colimits are preserved (up to homotopy) by $C$, and so we should be able to replace the standard resolution of an arbitrary group extension $E$ by the homotopy colimit in Crs of the composite of $C$ with the lax functor $\alpha$ corresponding to the extension.

The 'intermediate' case of coherent diagrams and homotopy colimits in SimpSet will also be discussed.

### 5.2 Coherent Functors in SimpSet and Crs

In this section we define notions of lax or homotopy coherent functors from small categories into the categories of simplicial sets and crossed complexes of groupoids. Both of these will bear some resemblence to the notion of lax functors into the category of topological spaces given by Vogt in [39].

The simplicial case is based on [15]. First we note that the representable simplicial set $\Delta^{1}$ has a simplicial multiplication structure $\Gamma: \Delta^{1} \times \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \Delta^{1}$. Suppose $x, y$ are $n$-simplices of $\Delta^{1}$ given by monotonic functions $[n] \rightarrow[1]$. Then we define their product $x y$ to be the $n$-simplex given by the monotonic function

$$
(x y): k \mapsto \max (x(k), y(k))
$$

We can extend this to maps between the $n$-fold cartesian products of $\Delta^{1}$

$$
[1]^{n} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{r}^{n}}[1]^{n-1}
$$

where $\Gamma_{r}^{n}=\operatorname{id}_{[1]^{r-1}} \times \Gamma \times \mathrm{id}_{[1]^{n-r-1}}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, and we also write $\Gamma_{0}^{n}$ and $\Gamma_{n}^{n}$ for the projections onto all but the first and last factor respectively.

Also we have simplicial maps

for $1 \leq r \leq n$ induced by the two inclusions $\Delta^{0} \rightarrow \Delta^{1}$.
Definition 5.2.1 Let I be a small category. A simplicially coherent functor $F$ from $I$ to the category of simplicial sets is given by the following data

- a simplicial set $F(i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$
- a simplicial map

$$
F\left(i_{0}\right) \times[1]^{n-1} \xrightarrow{F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{k=1}^{n}}} F\left(i_{n}\right)
$$

for each $n$-simplex $\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]$ of the nerve of $I$
such that the following degeneracy and boundary relations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{s_{0}\left([]_{0}\right)} & =\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \\
F_{s_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} & =\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \times \Gamma_{r}^{n}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq n \\
\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \times \delta_{r}^{-}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} & =F_{d_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \\
\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \times \delta_{r}^{+}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} & =\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}} \times \operatorname{id}_{[1]^{n-r-1}}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{r+1}^{n}} \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

A simplicially coherent functor in fact corresponds to a simplicially enriched functor from a certain simplicial resolution $S(I)$ of $I$ to the category SimpSet regarded as being enriched over itself. The simplicially enriched category $S(I)$ was introduced in [18], and is defined as a comonadic resolution with respect to the free/forget adjoint pair between Cat and the category of graphs with distinguished identity loops. The degeneracy and $\delta_{r}^{-}$relations above can be seen as arising from the definition of $S(I)$ and the $\delta_{r}^{+}$relations as corresponding to the enriched functoriality of $S(I) \rightarrow$ SimpSet. See [15] for more details.

The following result is standard.
Proposition 5.2.2 Given two categories $A$, $B$, the nerve of the functor category $[A, B]$ is naturally isomorphic to the simplicial hom-object $[\operatorname{Ner} A, \operatorname{Ner} B]$.

Proof: Since the categorisation functor is both a one-sided inverse and an adjoint to the nerve, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cat}(C, D) \cong \operatorname{Cat}(c(\operatorname{Ner} C), D) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}(\operatorname{Ner} C, \operatorname{Ner} D)
$$

Thus there are isomorphisms
$\operatorname{Cat}([n] \times A, B) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}(\operatorname{Ner}([n] \times A), \operatorname{Ner} B) \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}\left(\Delta^{n} \times \operatorname{Ner} A, \operatorname{Ner} B\right)$ which are natural in $[n]$, so the result follows.

Now suppose $I \xrightarrow{G}$ Cat is a lax functor as in definition 5.1.1. Then applying the nerve functor gives us a simplicial set $\operatorname{Ner}(G i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$ and a simplicial map $\operatorname{Ner}(G i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ner}(G j)$ for each arrow $i \rightarrow j$ of $I$. Also the natural transformation $G\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ for each pair of arrows of $I$ corresponds by the above proposition to a simplicial map

$$
\operatorname{Ner}\left(G i_{0}\right) \times \Delta^{1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ner}\left(G i_{2}\right)
$$

In fact this data uniquely specifies a simplicially coherent functor (cf. [36]):
Proposition 5.2.3 Let $I$ be a small category and $I \xrightarrow{G}$ Cat a lax functor as above. Then there is a unique simplicially coherent functor

$$
I \xrightarrow{G \circ \mathrm{Ner}} \text { SimpSet }
$$

such that $(G \circ \operatorname{Ner})(i)=\operatorname{Ner}(G i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$, and for $n=1$ and $n=2$ the simplicial maps $(G \circ \operatorname{Ner})_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}}$ are defined by the $G\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ as above.

Proof: Suppose $I \xrightarrow{F}$ SimpSet is a simplicially coherent functor such that $F(i)=$ $\operatorname{Ner}(G i)$ for $i \in \operatorname{Ob}(I)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{SimpSet}\left(F\left(i_{0}\right) \times[1]^{n-1}, F\left(i_{n}\right)\right) & \cong \operatorname{SimpSet}\left([1]^{n-1},\left[\operatorname{Ner}\left(G i_{0}\right), \operatorname{Ner}\left(G i_{n}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Cat}\left(c\left([1]^{n-1}\right),\left[G i_{0}, G i_{n}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The category $c\left([1]^{n-1}\right)$ has object set $\{0,1\}^{n-1}$ and is generated by the arrows

$$
\left\{\alpha \xrightarrow{(\alpha, r, \beta)} \beta: 0 \leq r \leq n-1, \alpha_{j}=\beta_{j} \text { for } j \neq r, \alpha_{r}=0, \beta_{r}=1\right\}
$$

subject to the relations given by commutative diagrams of the form


Thus specifying the simplicial maps $F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}}$ is equivalent to specifying them on the vertices and edges of the ( $n-1$ )-cube - that is, to specifying functors and natural transformations

$$
G i_{0} \xrightarrow{G\left(\alpha ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} G i_{n} \quad G\left(\alpha ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{G\left(\alpha, r, \beta ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} G\left(\beta ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)
$$

satisfying the appropriate commutativity, degeneracy and boundary relations. The boundary relations here show that the data (and the degeneracy relations) for $n \geq 3$ are given by those for $n=1,2$. Thus the uniqueness part of the proposition holds. For existence it only remains to note that the commutativity, degeneracy and boundary relations required for $n=1,2$ follow from the associativity, identity and source and target relations of definition 5.1.1.

We now turn to coherent diagrams in the category of crossed complexes of groupoids. We first define a multiplication structure on the crossed complex $\mathcal{I}$ which is given on the usual generators by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Gamma & \mathcal{I} \\
\alpha \otimes \beta \longmapsto & \begin{array}{cl}
\max (\alpha, \beta) & \text { if } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_{0} \\
\iota & \text { if }\{\alpha, \beta\}=\{0, \iota\} \\
e_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{1} & \text { if }\{\alpha, \beta\}=\{\iota, 1\} \\
e_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{2} & \text { if } \alpha=\beta=\iota
\end{array}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\Gamma$ (or the projection homomorphisms for $r=0$ or $n$ ) we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{r}^{n}} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)}
$$

for $0 \leq r \leq n$.
Note that the homomorphisms $\Gamma$ can be defined from the shuffle map $b$ and the simplicial multiplication structure above, via

$$
\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{I} \cong \pi \Delta^{1} \otimes \pi \Delta^{1} \longrightarrow \pi\left(\Delta^{1} \times \Delta^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{~} \stackrel{b(\Gamma)}{ } \pi\left(\Delta^{1}\right) \cong \mathcal{I}
$$

Also we have the usual 'co-face' homomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \underset{\delta_{r}^{+}}{\delta_{r}^{-}} \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}
$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$.
Using these, we can define what we mean by a coherent diagram in Crs.
Definition 5.2.4 Let $I$ be a small category. A coherent functor $I \xrightarrow{F}$ Crs is given by the following data

- a crossed complex of groupoids $F(i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$
- a crossed complex homomorphism

$$
F\left(i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \xrightarrow{F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{k=1}^{n}}} F\left(i_{n}\right)
$$

for each $n$-simplex $\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]$ of the nerve of $I$
such that the following degeneracy and boundary relations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{s_{0}\left([]_{0}\right)} & =\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \\
F_{s_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} & =\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \otimes \Gamma_{r}^{n}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq n \\
\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \otimes \delta_{r}^{-}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} & =F_{d_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)} \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \\
\left(\operatorname{id}_{F\left(i_{0}\right)} \otimes \delta_{r}^{+}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} & =\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{]}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{[1]^{n-r-1}}\right) \circ F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{r+1}^{n}} \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the shuffle homomorphism $b$ from chapter 2 , the following proposition shows that the fundamental crossed complex functor takes a simplicially coherent functor to a coherent diagram in Crs.

$$
I \xrightarrow{G} \text { SimpSet } \xrightarrow{\pi} \text { Crs }
$$

Proposition 5.2.5 Suppose $I \xrightarrow{G}$ SimpSet is a simplicially coherent functor as in definition 5.2.1. Then there is a coherent functor $G \circ \pi$ into $\mathbf{C r s}$ with $(G \circ \pi)(i)=\pi(G i)$ for each object $i$ of $I$ and with the homomorphisms $(G \circ \pi)_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}}$ for $\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right] \in$ $\operatorname{Ner}(I)_{n}$ given by

$$
\pi\left(G i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \xrightarrow{b^{n-1}} \pi\left(G i_{0} \times[1]^{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}}\right)} \pi\left(G i_{n}\right)
$$

Proof: The shuffle map $b$ respects the above structure on (tensor) products of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\Delta^{1}$, and we have the following commutative diagrams:


The required degeneracy and boundary relations for $G \circ \pi$ thus follow from those for $G$.

This justifies our definition of coherent functors into Crs.

### 5.3 Homotopy Colimits for Coherent Functors

In chapter 3 we gave suitable algebraic models for the homotopy colimits of functors $F$ from a small category $I$ to the category of crossed complexes. Models had generators $a_{p} \otimes b_{q}$ in dimension $p+q$, for $a_{p}$ an element of an object in the image of $F$ and $b_{q}$ a $q$-simplex of the nerve of $I$, and the boundaries of these generators were given by elements of the form $\delta a_{p} \otimes b_{q}, a_{p}{ }^{f_{1}} \otimes d_{0} b_{q}$ and $a_{p} \otimes d_{i} b_{q}$. In this chapter we will see that this description may be extended to give models for homotopy colimits of coherent functors as described in the previous section. The effect on the models of replacing strict functors by homotopy coherent ones will be that the shape $-\otimes \pi \Delta^{q}$ for the generators will become $-\otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes q}$, each element $b_{q}$ of the nerve now indexing a $q$-dimensional cube rather than a $q$-simplex. Similarly, instead of having just a twisted $d_{0}$ face, the generators will now have the $\delta_{i}^{+}$faces of the cube 'twisted' to varying degrees by the higher coherence data. (Note however that if the coherence data is all trivial, i.e. the functor is strict, then a standard embedding of simplices into cubes with degenerate $\delta_{i}^{+}$faces shows that our model for the homotopy colimit will be isomorphic to that of chapter 3).

We will discuss the simplicial case first. Suppose we have a simplicially coherent functor

$$
I \xrightarrow{F} \text { SimpSet }
$$

given by simplicial sets $F i$ for $i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)$ together with simplicial maps

$$
F i_{0} \times[1]^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} F i_{n}
$$

for $\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(i)_{n}$.
Definition 5.3.1 The homotopy colimit hocolim $(F)$ of a simplicially coherent functor $F$ is given by the $\operatorname{Ner}(I)$-indexed coproduct of simplicial sets

$$
\coprod_{n} \coprod_{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]} F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}
$$

(whose elements we will write as

$$
\left(a,\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)
$$

for $a \in F i_{0}, x_{k} \in \Delta^{1}$ ), quotiented by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a,\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ; s_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n-1}\right)\right) & =\left(a, \Gamma_{r}^{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n-1}\right) \\
\left(a, \delta_{r}^{-}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right) & =\left(a,\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ; d_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right)\right) \\
\left(a, \delta_{r}^{+}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right) & =\left(F_{\left[f_{k} \cdot 1\right.}^{\cdot r}\left(a, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}\right),\left(x_{r}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{r+1}^{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this is essentially the definition of homotopy colimits of homotopy coherent functors in Top-enriched categories given by Vogt in [39], which has been presented for simplicially enriched categories, in a much more categorical framework, by Cordier in [16].

For the crossed complex case we do not have a simplicially enriched structure except up to higher homotopies, as made precise in chapter 4, and so the indexed-limit machinery of $[16,3,24]$ does not give a definition for homotopy colimits of coherent functors in Crs. In the rest of this chapter we will suggest a 'bare-hands' definition, and leave the necessary generalisation of the work of Cordier et al. as a subject which requires further investigation.

Suppose we have a coherent functor

$$
I \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Crs}
$$

given by crossed complexes Fi for $i \in \mathrm{Ob}(I)$ together with homomorphisms

$$
F i_{0} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}} F i_{n}
$$

for $\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right] \in \operatorname{Ner}(i)_{n}$.
Definition 5.3.2 The homotopy colimit hocolim $(F)$ of a coherent diagram $F$ of crossed complexes is given by the $\operatorname{Ner}(I)$-indexed coproduct

$$
\coprod_{n} \coprod_{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]} F i_{0} \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}
$$

(whose elements we will write as

$$
\left(a \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n}\right)
$$

for $a \in F i_{0}, x_{k} \in \mathcal{I}$ ), quotiented by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} ; s_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n-1}\right)\right) & =\left(a \otimes \Gamma_{r}^{n}\left(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n-1}\right) \\
\left(a \otimes \delta_{r}^{-}\left(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right) & =\left(a \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} ; d_{r}\left(\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right)\right) \\
\left(a \otimes \delta_{r}^{+}\left(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n}\right) ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{n+1}\right) & =\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}}\left(a \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r-1}\right) \otimes x_{r} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} ;\left[f_{k}\right]_{r+1}^{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that a group extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1
$$

corresponds by proposition 5.1.2 (and by the Grothendieck construction) to a lax functor $\alpha$, and hence gives a coherent functor

$$
F=\alpha \circ \text { Ner } \circ \pi: H \longrightarrow \mathrm{Crs}
$$

which takes the unique object $e_{H}$ of the category $H$ to the crossed complex $C(G)$. The reader is invited to consider the two diagrams used in the proof of proposition 5.1.2 as cubes depicting elements in dimension three of the crossed complex hocolim $(F)$. Since $n$-tuples of elements of $G$ and $H$ index $n$-simplices and $n$-cubes respectively in the homotopy colimit, the diagrams can be thought of as representing generators given by

$$
[g] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad[] \otimes\left[h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right]
$$

together with their boundary relations. Thus although we have not proved that $C(E)$ and $\operatorname{hocolim}(F)$ are homotopy equivalent, the latter certainly contains all the composition and associativity information in $E$ and we have some justification for calling hocolim $(F)$ a small resolution of $E$ and thinking of it as a twisted tensor product of $C(G)$ by $C(H)$.

We end by giving a comparison map between the homotopy colimits of coherent diagrams of simplicial sets and of crossed complexes. We suspect that the fundamental crossed complex functor preserves these homotopy colimits (up to equivalence in homology, at least) although this is only a conjecture at the present time.
Proposition 5.3.3 Suppose $I \xrightarrow{F}$ SimpSet is a simplicially coherent functor, with $F \circ \pi$ the corresponding coherent functor into Crs given by proposition 5.2.5. Then there is a natural comparison map

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}(F \circ \pi) \longrightarrow \pi(\operatorname{hocolim} F)
$$

Proof: Consider the shuffle homomorphisms

$$
\pi\left(F i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{b^{n}} \pi\left(F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}\right)
$$

for $i_{0}$ an object of $I$. Since $\pi$ preserves coproducts, we get a homomorphism

$$
\coprod_{n} \coprod_{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]} \pi\left(F i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \quad \theta\left(\coprod_{n} \underset{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]}{ } F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}\right)
$$

To show that this defines a comparison map between the homotopy colimits, we must prove that $\theta$ respects the degeneracy and boundary relations. In fact we show that $\theta$ maps each side of each relation on $\operatorname{hocolim}(F \circ \pi)$ to the corresponding side of a corresponding relation on $\pi($ hocolim $F)$. For the degeneracy and $\delta_{r}^{-}$relations, and for the left hand side of the $\delta_{r}^{+}$relation, this follows from the commutativity of the following diagrams:


For the right hand side of the $\delta_{r}^{+}$relation we have the following diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi\left(F i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{b^{n}} \pi\left(F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}\right) \\
(F \circ \pi)_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{r}} \otimes \mathrm{id} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\|\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{r}} \times \mathrm{id}\right) \\
\pi\left(F i_{r}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes(n-r+1)} \\
\xrightarrow{b^{n-r+1}} \pi\left(F i_{r} \times[1]^{n-r+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

which commutes by definition of $(F \circ \pi)_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}^{r}}$ as $b^{r-1} \circ \pi\left(F_{\left[f_{k}\right]_{1}}\right)$.

In the reverse direction, we have the diagonal approximation maps

$$
\pi\left(F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{a^{n}} \pi\left(F i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}
$$

and so we get a homomorphism

$$
\pi\left(\coprod_{n} \coprod_{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]} F i_{0} \times[1]^{n}\right) \xrightarrow[n]{ } \coprod_{\left[i_{0}, f_{1}, i_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, i_{n}\right]} \pi\left(F i_{0}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}
$$

However this does not define a homomorphism between $\pi(\operatorname{hocolim} F)$ and $\operatorname{hocolim}(F \circ \pi)$ since the diagram

does not commute and so $\varphi$ does not respect the $\delta_{r}^{+}$relations. However the diagram does commute up to the system of higher homotopies between the composites $a^{k} \circ b^{k}$, and it would be interesting if the results of section 2.3.2 and chapter 4 could be used here.

### 5.4 Conclusions

In this thesis we have presented some new ways in which the algebraic structure of crossed complexes of groupoids can be used for modelling various situations in topology.

We have seen that a version of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for crossed complexes holds in a very similar way to the classical theorem for chain complexes, and have developed the notions of a double crossed complex and of crossed complex models for homotopy colimits. As an example of their use in non-abelian homological algebra we have explained how the crossed resolution of a group which arises as a product, a semidirect product or an extension may be replaced by a smaller model which does not have the 'diagonal cells'. One of the basic aims has been to work out some of the consequences of using tensor products instead of cartesian products wherever the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem makes this possible.

In this section we would like to give a few ideas, some of quite a speculative nature, for possible future developments of the work of this thesis. These possible developments are in two directions, which we may call the abstract development and the topological application.

Beginning with the applications, we would first like to extend the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem to a crossed complex version of the twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem, as proved for chain complexes in [5]. This could then be used to develop a non-abelian homological perturbation theory as mentioned in chapter 2 , leading to specific calculations.

Secondly we would like to be able to find a small crossed complex model of the total space $E$ of a Kan fibration of simplicial sets

$$
F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow B
$$

The model should have the form of a twisted tensor product of $\pi F$ by $\pi B$, and may arise as an application of the twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem or by development of the theory we have seen for small resolutions of an extension of groups.

Also we would like to investigate further the rôle in algebraic topology which might be played by crossed differential graded algebras.

The general aim here is to carry over much of the work which is regarded as 'mainstream' for chain complexes (and which seems to be regarded as only possible by making all spaces simply-connected and all groups abelian) to crossed complexes. The category of crossed complexes shares a lot of the formal properties of that of chain complexes, such as the monoidal closed structure, and may be seen as a quotient of the category of simplicial groupoids [19]. Thus on the one hand crossed complexes provide finer information on homotopy types than do chain complexes, including the action of the fundamental groupoid, but on the other hand they may be regarded as simply one step towards a good algebraic structure which models all homotopy types.

From the abstract point of view, we believe that the material presented in chapters 4 and 5, together with section 2.3.2, should admit a more categorical treatment. For example, the extension of the Eilenberg-Zilber homotopy $h_{K, L}$ to the system of coherent
homotopies $h_{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}}$ in theorem 2.3.9 has the same form as the extension of a lax functor to a simplicially coherent functor in proposition 5.2.3, except that the latter is carried out in the context of much more 'high-tech' machinery. Similarly we feel that there is more underlying the result of theorem 4.3.10 than the pages preceeding it make clear.

Cordier and others in $[3,16,17]$ define homotopy colimits for homotopy coherent functors in the setting of simplicially tensored enriched categories. That is, they assume that they are working with a simplicially enriched category $\mathbf{C}$ together with an enriched functor

$$
\text { SimpSet } \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \mathbf{C}
$$

such that there is a natural isomorphism of simplicial homs

$$
[K \bar{\otimes} C, D] \cong[K,[C, D]]
$$

for each simplicial set $K$ and objects $C, D$ of $\mathbf{C}$. They can then define homotopy colimits of homotopy coherent functors by a simplicially-enriched coend

$$
\operatorname{hocolim}(S(I) \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{C})=\int^{i} \operatorname{Diag}(Y(i)) \bar{\otimes} F(i)
$$

where $Y(i)$ is the following bisimplicial set defined using the enriched homs of the simplicial resolution $S(I)$ of $I$ :

$$
Y(i)_{n, \bullet}=\coprod_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}\left[i, i_{0}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[i_{n-1}, i_{n}\right]
$$

Now suppose instead that $\mathbf{C}$ is a monoidal closed category and $\pi$ is a functor from SimpSet to $\mathbf{C}$ which has a right adjoint Ner and which satisfies an Eilenberg-Zilber type theorem. Then we may define an ordinary functor $\bar{\otimes}$ by

$$
K \bar{\otimes} C=\pi K \otimes C
$$

With respect to the simplicially enriched structure on $\mathbf{C}$ defined by applying Ner to the internal hom, neither $\pi$ or $\otimes$ become enriched functors except up to some form of homotopy coherence, and so we do not get an enriched functor $\bar{\otimes}$. Furthermore the isomorphism of simplicial homs above is only a coherently-natural homotopy equivalence. However since we are trying to define homotopy colimits, it is nice to imagine that there is an extension of the theory such that homotopy colimits of coherent functors into $\mathbf{C}$ may be defined in terms of some form of homotopy coherent coend of homotopy coherent functors.
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