

Crossed complexes, and free crossed resolutions for amalgamated sums and HNN-extensions of groups

R. Brown E.J. Moore T. Porter C.D. Wensley

July 3, 2002

Dedicated to Hvedri Inassaridze for his 70th birthday

Abstract

The category of crossed complexes gives an algebraic model of CW -complexes and cellular maps. Free crossed resolutions of groups contain information on a presentation of the group as well as higher homological information. We relate this to the problem of calculating non-abelian extensions. We show how the strong properties of this category allow for the computation of free crossed resolutions for amalgamated sums and HNN-extensions of groups, and so obtain computations of higher homotopical syzygies in these cases.

KEYWORDS: crossed complex, resolution, homotopy pushout, higher syzygies, HNN-extensions.

MATHSCI 2000 CLASS: 55U99, 20J99, 18G55.

Introduction

A general problem is the following, highlighted by Loday in [26]: if G is a group, construct a small model of a $K(G, 1)$, i.e. a connected cell complex with $\pi_1 \cong G$, $\pi_i = 0$ when $i > 1$. We want to be able to construct such models from scratch, and also to combine given models to get new ones. It is with this latter problem that this paper is mainly concerned. A further problem, whose solution is required in order to combine models, is to construct cellular maps $K(G, 1) \rightarrow K(H, 1)$ corresponding to morphisms $G \rightarrow H$.

Suppose for example that the group G is given as a free product with amalgamation:

$$G = A *_C B,$$

which we can alternatively describe as a pushout of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{j} & B \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ A & \xrightarrow{j'} & G. \end{array}$$

It is standard that i, j injective implies i', j' injective.

Given presentations $\mathcal{P}_Q = \langle X_Q \mid R_Q \rangle$ for $Q \in \{A, B, C\}$ we get a presentation \mathcal{P}_G of G as

$$\langle X_A \sqcup X_B \mid R_A \sqcup S(X_C) \sqcup R_B \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad S(X_C) = \{(ix)(jx)^{-1} \mid x \in X_C\}.$$

An elementary question is: what has happened to the relations for C ?

Again, given a cellular model $K(Q)$ for each of $Q \in \{A, B, C\}$, how do we get a cellular model for $A *_C B$? The morphisms i, j determine, up to homotopy, cellular maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(C) & \xrightarrow{K(j)} & K(B) \\ K(i) \downarrow & & \\ & & K(A). \end{array}$$

How do we write down any representatives for $K(i)$ and $K(j)$? Perhaps an algebraic model would clarify the situation? We shall see that free crossed resolutions seem to provide a useful model.

Since we do not know that $K(i), K(j)$ are injective, it is not sensible to take their pushout. In topological work it is standard to complete the above diagram to a **homotopy pushout** or **double mapping cylinder** construction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(C) & \xrightarrow{K(j)} & K(B) & (1) \\ K(i) \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow & \\ K(A) & \longrightarrow & M(i, j) \end{array}$$

where $I = [0, 1]$ and

$$M(i, j) = K(A) \sqcup (I \times K(C)) \sqcup K(B),$$

with the ends of the cylinder $I \times K(C)$ glued to $K(A), K(B)$ using $K(i), K(j)$. It is important that $K(A), K(B)$ are subcomplexes of $M(i, j)$. In the latter space, and with a usual construction of $K(C)$ from the presentation, the loops x_c of $K(C)$ for c in the generating set X_C of C then contribute ‘cylindrical’ 2-cells $I \times x_c$ to $M(i, j)$. We can use free crossed resolutions of groups to model well $K(A)$, but what about this $M(i, j)$? It has two vertices, so we need to use

groupoids. Rather than causing additional difficulties, this in fact makes some aspects clearer.

Applying π_1 to diagram (1) we get the *homotopy pushout* in the category of groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{j} & B \\
 \downarrow i & \simeq & \downarrow \\
 A & \longrightarrow & A \widehat{*}_C B.
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

The interval I has groupoid analogue

$$\mathcal{I} \quad : \quad \text{objects} : \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{arrows} : \{1_0, 1_1, \iota : 0 \rightarrow 1, \iota^{-1} : 1 \rightarrow 0\}. \tag{3}$$

The groupoid $\widehat{G} = A \widehat{*}_C B$ is obtained by gluing the cylinder groupoid $\mathcal{I} \times C$ to A, B at each end. Thus \widehat{G} contains two vertex groups each isomorphic under conjugation in this groupoid and isomorphic to $G = A *_C B$. In fact \widehat{G} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I} \times G$.

Calculation in these cellular models relates to determining identities among relations and, in higher dimensions, what have been called *homotopical syzygies* by Loday [26]. To do calculations of such syzygies we use the technology of

free crossed resolution of a group G ,

namely an augmented crossed complex of the form:

$$\mathcal{F} = (F_-, \phi_-) \quad : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} G,$$

where ϕ_1 induces an isomorphism $F_1/(\text{Im } \phi_2) \cong G$.

Work of Whitehead, Wall and Baues, which we quote in section 3, allows us to replace the geometry of cellular models of $K(G, 1)$ s and their cellular maps by the algebra of free crossed resolutions and their morphisms. This enables us to do calculations since in the case of free crossed resolutions we mainly need to know the values of boundaries and morphisms on the elements of the free bases, and various algebraic rules for evaluating these. The corresponding geometry of the cellular models tends to be very difficult to imagine or even state.

Major advantages of free crossed resolutions are that there is a tensor product construction, $- \otimes -$, on such crossed resolutions (Definition 2.1), and also functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{cellular models} & \xrightarrow{\quad \Pi \quad} & \text{free crossed} \\
 \text{of groupoids} & & \text{resolutions} \xrightarrow{\quad \pi_1 \quad} \text{groupoids}
 \end{array}$$

such that

- 1) π_1 preserves colimits and sends $- \otimes -$ to $- \times -$

and the deep properties:

- 2) Π preserves sufficient colimits for our purposes,

$$3) \Pi(K \otimes L) \cong \Pi(K) \otimes \Pi(L).$$

These last two results give exact **non abelian local-to-global methods**.

The calculation of free crossed resolutions yields calculations of presentations for modules of identities among relations in the following way. The boundaries of the elements of the free basis in dimension 3 give generators for the module of identities among relations; the boundaries of those in dimension 4 give relations among those generators; and the higher dimensional bases give ‘higher homotopical syzygies’.

If $K(Q)$ is a cellular model of the group or groupoid Q then

$$\mathcal{F}(Q) = \Pi(K(Q))$$

is a free crossed resolution of Q . This gives a homotopy pushout of free crossed resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(C) & \xrightarrow{j''} & \mathcal{F}(B) \\ i'' \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(i, j). \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}(i, j)$ is obtained from

$$\mathcal{F}(A) \sqcup (\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{F}(C)) \sqcup \mathcal{F}(B)$$

by the obvious identifications, and is a free crossed resolution of the groupoid $A \widehat{*}_C B$.

Thus in dimension n we obtain generators a_n, b_n from those of $\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B)$ in dimension n , and also $\iota \otimes c_{n-1}$ from generators of $\mathcal{F}(C)$ in dimension $n - 1$.

So: a generator of C gives a relator of the groupoid $\widehat{G} = A \widehat{*}_C B$; a relation of C gives an identity among relations; and so on, thus answering our ‘elementary question’. Further we get corresponding results for each of the vertex groups of \widehat{G} . We can do sums with rules for expanding the boundary $\phi_n(\iota \otimes c_{n-1})$, and for example if $n = 2$ we can use derivation rules of the form

$$\iota \otimes cc' = (\iota \otimes c)^{1 \otimes c'} (\iota \otimes c').$$

The algebra matches the geometry.

Thus one aim of this paper is to advertise the notion of free crossed resolution, as a working tool for certain problems in combinatorial group theory. This requires giving a brief background in *crossed complexes*, which are an analogue of chain complexes of modules over a group ring, but with a non abelian part, a *crossed module*, at the bottom dimensions. This allows for crossed complexes to contain in that part the data for a presentation of a group, and to contain in other parts higher homological data. The non abelian nature, and also the generalisation to groupoids rather than just groups, allows for a closer representation of the geometry, and this, combined with very convenient properties of

the category of crossed complexes, allows for more and easier calculations than are available in the standard theory of chain complexes of modules.

The notion of *crossed complex* of groups was defined by A.L.Blakers in 1946 [3] (under the term ‘group system’) and Whitehead [34], under the term ‘homotopy system’ (except that he restricted to the free case). Blakers used these as a way of systematising known properties of relative homotopy groups $\pi_n(X_n, X_{n-1}, p)$, $p \in X_0$, of a filtered space

$$X_* \quad : \quad X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_\infty .$$

It is significant that he used the notion to establish relations between homotopy and homology of a space. Whitehead was strongly concerned with realisability, that is, with the passage between algebra and geometry and back again. He explored the relations between crossed complexes and chain complexes with a group of operators and established remarkable realisability properties, some of which we explain later. The relation of Whitehead’s work to the notion of identities among relations was given an exposition by Brown and Huebschmann in [10]. Calculating homotopical syzygies in dimension 2 is the same as calculating generators for the module of identities among relations, which is often done by the method of pictures as in [21].

There was another stream of interest in crossed complexes, but in a broader algebraic framework, in work of Fröhlich [18] and Lue [27]. This gave a general formulation of cohomology groups relative to a variety in terms of equivalence classes of certain exact sequences. However the relation of these equivalence classes with the usual cohomology of groups was not made explicit till papers of Holt [22] and Huebschmann [24]. The situation is described in Lue’s paper [28].

Since our interest is in the relation with homotopy theory, we are interested in the case of groups rather than other algebraic systems. However there is one key change we have to make, as stated above, namely that we have to generalise to groupoids rather than groups. This makes for a more effective modelling of the geometry, since we need to use *CW*-complexes which are non reduced, i.e. have more than one 0-cell, for example universal covering spaces, and simplices. This also gives the category of crossed complexes better algebraic properties, principally that it is a monoidal closed category in the sense of having an internal hom which is adjoint to a tensor product. This is a generalisation of a standard property of groupoids: if \mathbf{Gpd} denotes the category of groupoids, then for any groupoids A, B, C there is a natural bijection

$$\mathbf{Gpd}(A \times B, C) \cong \mathbf{Gpd}(A, \mathbf{GPD}(B, C))$$

where $A \times B$ is the usual product of groupoids, and $\mathbf{GPD}(B, C)$ is the groupoid whose objects are the morphisms $B \rightarrow C$ and whose arrows are the natural equivalences (or conjugacies) of morphisms.

A more computational use of groupoids is that, even if we start with an amalgamated sum of groups, which is a particular kind of pushout of groups,

we must work with the *homotopy pushout* of the free crossed resolutions of these groups, which is a free crossed resolution of the homotopy pushout of the groups, which is itself a groupoid. The category of groupoids has a *unit interval object*, written \mathcal{I} . Once this apparently trivial groupoid is allowed as a natural extension of the usual consideration of the family of groups, then essentially all groupoids are allowed, since any groupoid is obtained by identifications from a disjoint union of copies of \mathcal{I} . The point is that groupoids allow for transitions, whereas groups are restricted to symmetries.

The exponential law for groupoids is modelled in the category \mathbf{Crs} of crossed complexes by a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Crs}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{Crs}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})),$$

that is, \mathbf{Crs} is a monoidal closed category, as proved by Brown and Higgins in [7]. The groupoid \mathcal{I} determines a crossed complex, also written \mathcal{I} , and so a homotopy theory for crossed complexes in terms of a cylinder object $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and homotopies of the form $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For our purposes, the key result is the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$. This has a complicated formal definition, reflecting the algebraic complexity of the definition of crossed complex. However, for the purposes of calculating with free crossed complexes, it is sufficient to know the boundaries of elements of the free bases, and also the value of morphisms on these elements. Thus the great advantage is that the free crossed resolutions model Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces and their cellular maps (see Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.6), and give modes of calculating with these which would be very difficult geometrically.

The end point of this paper (section 4) is to show how these methods enable one to compute higher homotopical syzygies for amalgamated sums and HNN-extensions of groups. This is developed for graphs of groups in Moore's thesis [11, 29]. One inspiration for this work was Holz's thesis [23] where identities among relations for presentations of certain arithmetic groups were studied using graphs of groups and chain complex methods.

This paper is closely related to [4] which gives higher homotopical syzygies for graph products of groups.

1 Definitions and basic properties

A *crossed complex* $\mathcal{C} = (C_-, \chi_-)$ (of groupoids) is a sequence of morphisms of groupoids, each with object set C_0

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_n & \xrightarrow{\chi_n} & C_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \xrightarrow{\chi_2} & C_1 \\ & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau & & & & \downarrow \tau & & \sigma \downarrow \tau \\ & & C_0 & & C_0 & & & & C_0 & & C_0 . \end{array}$$

For $n \geq 2$ the groupoid C_n is a family of groups, so the base point map τ gives source and target, and for each $p \in C_0$ we have groups $C_n(p) = \tau^{-1}(p)$. The

groupoid C_1 need not be disconnected, and has source and target maps σ, τ . A groupoid operation of C_1 on each family of groups C_n for $n \geq 2$ is also required, such that:

- (i) each χ_n is a morphism over the identity on C_0 ;
- (ii) $(\chi_2 : C_2 \rightarrow C_1)$ is a crossed module of groupoids;
- (iii) C_n is a C_1 -module for $n \geq 3$;
- (iv) χ_n is an operator morphism for $n \geq 3$;
- (v) $\chi_{n-1} \chi_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-2}$ is trivial for $n \geq 3$;
- (vi) $\chi_2 C_2$ acts trivially on C_n for $n \geq 3$.

Because of condition (iii) we shall write the composition in C_n additively for $n \geq 3$, but we will use multiplicative notation in dimensions 1 and 2 (except when giving the rules for the tensor product). Note that if $a : p \rightarrow q$, $b : q \rightarrow r$ in C_1 then the composite arrow is written $ab : p \rightarrow r$. If further $x \in C_n(p)$ then $x^a \in C_n(q)$ and the usual laws of an action apply. We write $C_1(p) = C_1(p, p)$, and C_1 operates on this family of groups by conjugation. Condition (ii) implies that $\chi_2(x^a) = a^{-1}(\chi_2 x)a$, that $x^{-1}yx = y^{\chi_2(x)}$ for $x, y \in C_2(p)$, $a \in C_1(p, q)$, and hence that $\chi_2(C_2)$ is normal in C_1 , and $\text{Ker } \chi_2$ is central in C_2 and is operated on trivially by $\chi_2(C_2)$.

Let $\mathcal{C} = (C_-, \chi_-)$ be a crossed complex. Its *fundamental groupoid* $\pi_1 \mathcal{C}$ is the quotient of the groupoid C_1 by the normal, totally disconnected subgroupoid $\chi_2 C_2$. The rules for a crossed complex give C_n , for $n \geq 3$, and also $\text{Ker } \chi_2$, the induced structure of a $\pi_1 \mathcal{C}$ -module.

The crossed complex \mathcal{C} is *reduced* if C_0 is a singleton, so that all the groupoids $C_n, n \geq 1$, are groups. This was the case considered in [3, 34] and many other sources.

A *morphism* $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of crossed complexes is a family of groupoid morphisms $\{f_n : B_n \rightarrow C_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ which preserves all the structure. This defines the category Crs of crossed complexes. The fundamental groupoid now gives a functor $\pi_1 : \text{Crs} \rightarrow \text{Gpd}$. This functor is left adjoint to the functor $i_1 : \text{Gpd} \rightarrow \text{Crs}$ where for a groupoid G the crossed complex $i_1 G$ agrees with G in dimensions 0 and 1, and is otherwise trivial.

An *m-truncated* crossed complex \mathcal{C} consists of all the structure defined above but only for $n \leq m$, and there are functors $i_m : \text{Crs}_m \rightarrow \text{Crs}$. In particular, an *m-truncated* crossed complex is for $m = 0, 1, 2$ simply a set, a groupoid, and a crossed module respectively.

One basic algebraic example of a crossed complex comes from the notion of *identities among relations* for a group presentation. (For more details on the following, see [10].) Let $\mathcal{P} = \langle X_1 \mid \omega \rangle$ be a presentation of a group G where ω is a function from a set X_2 to $F(X_1)$, the free group on the set X_1 of generators of G . The natural epimorphism $\phi_1 : F(X_1) \rightarrow G$ has kernel $N(R)$, the normal closure in $F(X_1)$ of the set $R = \omega(X_2)$.

The free $F(X_1)$ -operator group on the set X_2 is the free group $H(\omega) = F(X_2 \times F(X_1))$. Let $\phi'_2 : H(\omega) \rightarrow F(X_1)$ be determined by $(x, u) \mapsto u^{-1}(\omega x)u$, so that the image of ϕ'_2 is exactly $N(R)$. The action of $F(X_1)$ on $H(\omega)$ is given by $(x, u)^v = (x, uv)$, so that:

$$\mathbf{CM1}) \quad \phi'_2(w^u) = u^{-1}(\phi'_2 w)u \quad \text{for all } w \in H(\omega), u \in F(X_1).$$

We say that $(\phi'_2 : H(\omega) \rightarrow F(X_1))$ is a *precrossed module*.

We now define *Peiffer commutators*, for $w_1, w_2 \in H(\omega)$, by

$$\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle = w_1^{-1} w_2^{-1} w_1 w_2 \phi'_2 w_1.$$

Then ϕ'_2 vanishes on Peiffer commutators. Also the subgroup $P = \langle H(\omega), H(\omega) \rangle$ generated by the Peiffer commutators is a normal $F(X_1)$ -invariant subgroup of $H(\omega)$. So we can define $C(\omega) = H(\omega)/P$ and obtain the exact sequence

$$C(\omega) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F(X_1) \xrightarrow{\phi_1} G \rightarrow 1.$$

The morphism ϕ_2 satisfies

$$\mathbf{CM2}) \quad c^{-1}dc = d^{\phi_2 c} \quad \text{for all } c, d \in C(\omega).$$

The rules CM1), CM2) are the laws for a *crossed module*, so the boundary morphism ϕ_2 together with the induced operation of $F(X_1)$ on $C(\omega)$ determines $\mathcal{F}(\omega) = (\phi_2 : C(\omega) \rightarrow F(X_1))$, called the *free crossed $F(X_1)$ -module on ω* . The map $\omega_2 : X_2 \rightarrow C(\omega)$ is such that $\phi_2 \omega_2 = \omega$ and is known to be injective [10, Proposition 6]. It has the universal property that if $\mathcal{M} = (\mu : M \rightarrow F(X_1))$ is a crossed module and $\psi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow M$ is a function such that $\mu \psi_2 = \omega$, then there is a unique crossed module morphism $(\mu_2, 1_{F(X_1)}) : \mathcal{F}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu_2 \omega_2 = \psi_2$. The elements of $C(\omega)$ are ‘formal consequences of the relators’

$$c = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i^{\varepsilon_i})^{u_i}$$

where $n \geq 0$, $x_i \in X_2$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, $u_i \in F(X_1)$, $\phi_2((x_i^{\varepsilon_i})^{u_i}) = u_i^{-1}(\omega x_i)^{\varepsilon_i} u_i$, subject to CM2).

The kernel $\pi(\mathcal{P})$ of ϕ_2 is abelian and in fact obtains the structure of a G -module – it is known as the G -module of *identities among relations* for the presentation. (Note: this additional use of π is standard terminology.)

When there is no question of repeated or trivial relators we may dispense with the function ω , denote the presentation by $\mathcal{P} = \langle X_1 \mid R \rangle$, and write $C(R)$ for $C(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$ for $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$. The reader is encouraged to draw a commutative diagram exhibiting all these maps.

Now suppose given a resolution of $\pi(\mathcal{P})$ by free G -modules:

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_4 \xrightarrow{\phi_4} F_3 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \pi(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We may splice this resolution to the free crossed module as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \rightarrow & F_n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & F_{n-1} & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & F_4 & \xrightarrow{\phi_4} & F_3 & \xrightarrow{\phi_3} & C(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & F(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & G & \rightarrow & 1 . \\ & & & & & & & & & \searrow^{\phi'_3} & & \nearrow_{\triangle} & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \pi(\mathcal{P}) & & & & & \end{array}$$

We have constructed a *free crossed resolution* $\mathcal{F}(G) = (F_-, \phi_-)$, comprising a crossed complex (F_-, ϕ_-) where $F_2 = C(\omega)$, $F_1 = F(X_1)$ and ϕ_3 is the composite of ϕ'_3 and the inclusion, plus the natural epimorphism ϕ_1 .

One way of obtaining a resolution of $\pi_2 = \pi(\mathcal{P})$ is as follows. Choose a set of generators X_3 for π_2 as a G -module, and take F_3 to be the free G -module on X_3 , inducing $\phi'_3 : F_3 \rightarrow \pi_2$. Then set $\pi_3 = \text{Ker } \phi'_3$ and iterate. This construction is analogous to the usual construction of higher order syzygies and free resolutions for modules, but taking into account the non abelian nature of the group and its presentation, and in particular the action of $F(X_1)$ on $N(R)$. Choosing a set of generators for a kernel, rather than the whole of the kernel, can be a difficult problem, and is attacked by different methods for modules of identities among relations in [13, 17]. Our overall method is to avoid this inductive process.

There is a notion of homotopy for morphisms of crossed complexes which we will explain later. Assuming this we can state one of the basic homological results, namely the uniqueness up to homotopy equivalence of free crossed resolutions of a group G .

There is a *standard free crossed resolution* $\mathcal{F}^{\text{st}}(G) = (F_-^{\text{st}}, \phi_-^{\text{st}})$ of a group G [13, Theorem 11.1] in which

- F_1^{st} is the free group on G with generators $[a]$, $a \in G$, and $\phi_1^{\text{st}}[a] = a$;
- F_2^{st} is the free crossed F_1^{st} -module on $\omega : G \times G \rightarrow F_1^{\text{st}}$ given by

$$\omega(a, b) = [a][b][ab]^{-1}, \quad a, b \in G ;$$

- for $n \geq 3$, F_n^{st} is the free G -module on G^n , with

$$\phi_3^{\text{st}}[a, b, c] = [a, bc][ab, c]^{-1}[a, b]^{-1}[b, c]^{[a]^{-1}} ;$$

- for $n \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n^{\text{st}}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] &= [a_2, \dots, a_n]^{a_1^{-1}} + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n] + \\ &+ (-1)^n [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}] . \end{aligned}$$

We can now see the advantage of this setup in considering the notion of non abelian 2-cocycle on the group G with values in a group K . According to

standard definitions, this is a pair of functions $k^1 : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$, $k^2 : G \times G \rightarrow K$ satisfying certain properties. But suppose G is infinite; then it is difficult to know how to specify these functions and check the required properties.

However the 2-cocycle definition turns out to be equivalent to regarding (k^2, k^1) as specifying a morphism of reduced crossed complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_3^{\text{st}}(G) & \xrightarrow{\phi_3^{\text{st}}} & F_2^{\text{st}}(G) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2^{\text{st}}} & F_1^{\text{st}}(G) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow k^2 & & \downarrow k^1 \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \text{Aut}(K) \end{array}$$

(so that $\partial k^2 = k^1 \phi_2^{\text{st}}$, $k^2 \phi_3^{\text{st}} = 0$), where $(\partial : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K))$ is the inner automorphism crossed module. Further, equivalent cocycles are just homotopic morphisms. Equivalent data to the above is thus obtained by replacing the standard free crossed resolution by any homotopy equivalent free crossed resolution.

Example 1.1 Let T be the trefoil group with presentation $\mathcal{P}_T = \langle a, b \mid a^3 b^{-2} \rangle$. We show in the last section that there is a free crossed resolution of T of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \quad : \quad \cdots \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow C(r) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F\{a, b\} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} T \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_2 r = a^3 b^{-2} .$$

Hence a 2-cocycle on T with values in K can also be specified totally by elements $s \in K$, $c, d \in \text{Aut}(K)$ such that $\partial(s) = c^3 d^{-2}$, which is a finite description. It is also easy to specify equivalence of cocycles.

It is shown in [12] that the extension $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ determined by such a 2-cocycle is obtained by taking E to be the quotient of the semidirect product $F\{a, b\} \rtimes K$ by the relation $(a^3 b^{-2}, 1) = (1, s)$. (This is a case where there are no identities among relations. The general necessity to refer to identities among relations in this context was first observed by Turing [31].) \square

A similar method can be used to determine the 3-dimensional obstruction class $l^3 \in H^3(G, A)$ corresponding to a crossed module $(\mu : M \rightarrow P)$ with $\text{Coker } \mu = G$, $\text{Ker } \mu = A$. For this we need a small free crossed resolution of the group G . This method is successfully applied to the case with G finite cyclic in [14, 15].

2 Relation with topology

In order to give the basic geometric example of a crossed complex we first define a *filtered space* X_* . By this we mean a topological space X_∞ and an increasing sequence of subspaces

$$X_* \quad : \quad X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_\infty .$$

A map $f : X_* \rightarrow Y_*$ of filtered spaces consists of a map $f : X_\infty \rightarrow Y_\infty$ of spaces such that for all $i \geq 0$, $f(X_i) \subseteq Y_i$. This defines the category \mathbf{FTop} of filtered spaces and their maps. This category has a monoidal structure in which

$$(X_* \otimes Y_*)_n = \bigcup_{p+q=n} X_p \times Y_q,$$

where it is best for later purposes to take the product in the convenient category of compactly generated spaces, so that if X_*, Y_* are CW -spaces, then so also is $X_* \otimes Y_*$.

We now define the *fundamental, or homotopy, crossed complex* functor

$$\Pi : \mathbf{FTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Crs}.$$

If $(C_-, \chi_-) = \Pi(X_*)$, then $C_0 = X_0$, and C_1 is the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(X_1, X_0)$. For $n \geq 2$, $C_n = \pi_n X_*$ is the family of relative homotopy groups $\pi_n(X_n, X_{n-1}, p)$ for all $p \in X_0$. These come equipped with the standard operations of $\pi_1 X_*$ on $\pi_n X_*$ and boundary maps $\chi_n : \pi_n X_* \rightarrow \pi_{n-1} X_*$, namely the boundary of the homotopy exact sequence of the triple (X_n, X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}) . The axioms for crossed complexes are in fact those universally satisfied by this example, though this cannot be proved at this stage (see [6]).

This construction also explains why we want to consider crossed complexes of groupoids rather than just groups. The reason is exactly analogous to the reason for considering non reduced CW -complexes, namely that we wish to consider covering spaces, which automatically have more than one vertex in the non trivial case. Similarly, we wish to consider covering morphisms of crossed complexes as a tool for analysing presentations of groups, analogously to the way covering morphisms of groupoids were used for group theory applications by P.J. Higgins in 1964 in [19]. A key tool in this is the use of paths in a Cayley graph as giving elements of the free groupoid on the Cayley graph, so that one moves to consider presentations of groupoids. Further, as is shown by Brown and Razak in [13], higher dimensional information is obtained by regarding the free generators of the universal cover of a free crossed resolution as giving a higher order Cayley graph, i.e. a Cayley graph with higher order syzygies. This method actually yields computational methods, by using the geometry of the Cayley graph, and the notion of deformation retraction of this universal cover.

Thus crossed complexes give a useful algebraic model of the category of CW -complexes and cellular maps. This model does lose a lot of information, but its corresponding advantage is that it allows for algebraic description and computation, for example of morphisms and homotopies. This is the key aspect of the methods of [13]. See also the results in Theorems 3.4 - 3.6 here.

Thus we can say that crossed complexes:

- (i) give a first step to a full non abelian theory;
- (ii) have good categorical properties;

- (iii) give a ‘linear’ model of homotopy types;
- (iv) this model includes all homotopy 2-types;
- (v) are amenable to computation.

A further advantage of using crossed complexes of groupoids is that this allows for the category \mathbf{Crs} to be monoidal closed: there is a tensor product $- \otimes -$ and internal hom $\mathbf{CRS}(-, -)$ such that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Crs}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{Crs}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$$

for all crossed complexes $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$. Here $\mathbf{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})_0 = \mathbf{Crs}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$, the set of morphisms $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, while $\mathbf{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})_1$ is the set of ‘1-fold left homotopies’ $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Note that while the tensor product can be defined directly in terms of generators and relations, such a definition may make it difficult to verify essential properties of the tensor product, such as that the tensor product of free crossed complexes is free. The proof of this fact in [8] uses the above adjointness as a necessary step to prove that $- \otimes \mathcal{B}$ preserves colimits.

An important result is that if X_*, Y_* are filtered spaces, then there is a natural transformation

$$\eta : \Pi X_* \otimes \Pi Y_* \rightarrow \Pi(X_* \otimes Y_*) \quad (4)$$

which is an isomorphism if X_*, Y_* are CW -complexes (and in fact more generally [2]). In particular, the basic rules for the tensor product are modelled on the geometry of the product of cells $E^m \otimes E^n$ where E^0 is the singleton space, $E^1 = I$ is the interval $[0, 1]$ with two 0-cells $0, 1$ and one 1-cell, while $E^m = e^0 \cup e^{m-1} \cup e^m$ for $m \geq 2$. This leads to defining relations for the tensor product. To give these we first define a bimorphism of crossed complexes.

Definition 2.1 A *bimorphism* $\theta : (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of crossed complexes $\mathcal{A} = (A_-, \alpha_-)$, $\mathcal{B} = (B_-, \beta_-)$, $\mathcal{C} = (C_-, \chi_-)$ is a family of maps $\theta : A_m \times B_n \rightarrow C_{m+n}$ satisfying the following conditions, where $a, a' \in A_m$, $b, b' \in B_n$, $a_1 \in A_1$, $b_1 \in B_1$ (temporarily using additive notation throughout the definition):

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\theta(a, b)) &= \theta(a, \sigma b) & \text{and} & & \tau(\theta(a, b)) &= \theta(a, \tau b) & \text{if } m = 0, n = 1, \\ \sigma(\theta(a, b)) &= \theta(\sigma a, b) & \text{and} & & \tau(\theta(a, b)) &= \theta(\tau a, b) & \text{if } m = 1, n = 0, \\ & & & & \tau(\theta(a, b)) &= \theta(\tau a, \tau b) & \text{if } m + n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(a, b^{b_1}) &= \theta(a, b)^{\theta(\tau a, b_1)} & \text{if } m \geq 0, n \geq 2, \\ \theta(a^{a_1}, b) &= \theta(a, b)^{\theta(a_1, \tau b)} & \text{if } m \geq 2, n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\theta(a, b + b') = \begin{cases} \theta(a, b) + \theta(a, b') & \text{if } m = 0, n \geq 1 \text{ or } m \geq 1, n \geq 2, \\ \theta(a, b)^{\theta(\tau a, b')} + \theta(a, b') & \text{if } m \geq 1, n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\theta(a + a', b) = \begin{cases} \theta(a, b) + \theta(a', b) & \text{if } m \geq 1, n = 0 \text{ or } m \geq 2, n \geq 1, \\ \theta(a', b) + \theta(a, b)^{\theta(a', \tau b)} & \text{if } m = 1, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(iv) $\chi_{m+n}(\theta(a, b)) =$

$$\begin{cases} \theta(a, \beta_n b) & \text{if } m = 0, n \geq 2, \\ \theta(\alpha_m a, b) & \text{if } m \geq 2, n = 0, \\ -\theta(\tau a, b) - \theta(a, \sigma b) + \theta(\sigma a, b) + \theta(a, \tau b) & \text{if } m = n = 1, \\ -\theta(a, \beta_n b) - \theta(\tau a, b) + \theta(\sigma a, b)^{\theta(a, \tau b)} & \text{if } m = 1, n \geq 2, \\ (-1)^{m+1}\theta(a, \tau b) + (-1)^m\theta(a, \sigma b)^{\theta(\tau a, b)} + \theta(\alpha_m a, b) & \text{if } m \geq 2, n = 1, \\ \theta(\alpha_m a, b) + (-1)^m\theta(a, \beta_n b) & \text{if } m \geq 2, n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The *tensor product* of crossed complexes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} is given by the universal bi-morphism $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, $(a, b) \mapsto a \otimes b$. The rules for the tensor product are obtained by replacing $\theta(a, b)$ by $a \otimes b$ in the above formulae.

The conventions for these formulae for the tensor product arise from the derivation of the tensor product via another category of ‘cubical ω -groupoids with connections’, and the formulae are forced by our conventions for the equivalence of the two categories [5, 7]. It is in the latter category that the exponential law is easy to formulate and prove, as is the construction of the natural transformation η of (4).

It is proved in [7] that the bifunctor $- \otimes -$ is symmetric and that if a_0 is an object of \mathcal{A} then the morphism $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, $b \rightarrow a_0 \otimes b$, is injective.

Example 2.2 Let $\mathcal{P}_A = \langle X_A \mid R_A \rangle$, $\mathcal{P}_B = \langle X_B \mid R_B \rangle$ be presentations of groups A, B respectively, and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_A)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_B)$ be the corresponding free crossed modules, regarded as 2-truncated crossed complexes. The tensor product $\mathcal{C} = (C_-, \chi_-) = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is 4-truncated and is given as follows (where we now use additive notation in dimensions 3, 4 and multiplicative notation in dimensions 1, 2):

- C_1 is the free group on generating set $X_A \sqcup X_B$;
- C_2 is the free crossed C_1 -module on $R_A \sqcup (X_A \otimes X_B) \sqcup R_B$ with the boundaries on R_A, R_B as given before and

$$\chi_2(a \otimes b) = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \quad \text{for all } a \in X_A, b \in X_B;$$

- C_3 is the free $(A \times B)$ -module on generators $r \otimes b, a \otimes s$, $r \in R_A, s \in R_B$, with boundaries

$$\chi_3(r \otimes b) = r^{-1}r^b(\alpha_2 r \otimes b), \quad \chi_3(a \otimes s) = (a \otimes \beta_2 s)^{-1}s^{-1}s^a;$$

- C_4 is the free $(A \times B)$ -module on generators $r \otimes s$, with boundaries

$$\chi_4(r \otimes s) = (\alpha_2 r \otimes s) + (r \otimes \beta_2 s).$$

The important point is that we can if necessary calculate with these formulae, because elements such as $\alpha_2 r \otimes b$ may be expanded using the rules for the tensor product. Alternatively, the forms $\alpha_2 r \otimes b, a \otimes \beta_2 s$ may be left as they are, since they naturally represent subdivided cylinders.

Example 2.3 A more general situation is that if \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are free crossed resolutions of groups A, B then $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a free crossed resolution of $A \times B$, as proved by Tonks in [30]. This allows for presentations of modules of identities among relations for a product of groups to be read off from the presentations of the individual modules. There is a lot of work on generators for modules of identities (see for example [21]) but not so much on higher syzygies.

Example 2.4 Set $\mathcal{I} = \Pi(I)$ as in (3). A ‘1-fold left homotopy’ of morphisms $f_0, f_1 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is defined to be a morphism $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which takes the values of f_0 on $0 \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and f_1 on $1 \otimes \mathcal{B}$. The existence of this ‘cylinder object’ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ allows a lot of abstract homotopy theory [25] to be applied immediately to the category CrS . This is useful in constructing homotopy equivalences of crossed complexes, using for example gluing lemmas.

We shall later be concerned with the cylinder $\mathcal{C} = (C_-, \chi_-) = \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B} = (B_-, \beta_-)$ is a reduced crossed complex with $B_0 = \{*\}$. Then $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ has two vertices, $0 \otimes *$ and $1 \otimes *$, which we write as $0, 1$. We assume $b, b' \in B_n, b_1 \in B_1$ so that $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is generated by elements $\iota \otimes *$, written ι , in dimension 1; $0 \otimes b$ and $1 \otimes b$ in dimension n ; and $\iota \otimes b$ in dimension $n + 1, n \geq 1$. The laws are then as follows (now using multiplicative notation in dimensions 1 and 2):

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(0 \otimes b_1) &= 0, & \sigma(1 \otimes b_1) &= 1, & \sigma(\iota) &= 0; \\ \tau(0 \otimes b) &= 0, & \tau(1 \otimes b) &= 1, & \tau(\iota \otimes b) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $n \geq 2$,

$$0 \otimes b^{b_1} = (0 \otimes b)^{0 \otimes b_1}, \quad 1 \otimes b^{b_1} = (1 \otimes b)^{1 \otimes b_1}, \quad \iota \otimes b^{b_1} = (\iota \otimes b)^{1 \otimes b_1}.$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \otimes bb' &= (0 \otimes b)(0 \otimes b') & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ 0 \otimes (b + b') &= 0 \otimes b + 0 \otimes b' & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ 1 \otimes bb' &= (1 \otimes b)(1 \otimes b') & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ 1 \otimes (b + b') &= 1 \otimes b + 1 \otimes b' & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \iota \otimes (bb') &= (\iota \otimes b)^{1 \otimes b'} (\iota \otimes b') & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \iota \otimes (bb') &= \iota \otimes b + \iota \otimes b' & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \iota \otimes (b + b') &= \iota \otimes b + \iota \otimes b' & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_n(0 \otimes b) &= 0 \otimes \beta_n b, \\ \chi_n(1 \otimes b) &= 1 \otimes \beta_n b, \\ \chi_{n+1}(\iota \otimes b) &= \begin{cases} (1 \otimes b)^{-1} \iota^{-1} (0 \otimes b) \iota & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (\iota \otimes \beta_n b)^{-1} (1 \otimes b)^{-1} (0 \otimes b) \iota & \text{if } n = 2, \\ -(\iota \otimes \beta_n b) - (1 \otimes b) + (0 \otimes b) \iota & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

An important construction is the *simplicial nerve* $N(\mathcal{C})$ of a crossed complex \mathcal{C} . This is the simplicial set defined by

$$N(\mathcal{C})_n = \text{Crs}(\Pi \Delta^n, \mathcal{C}).$$

It directly generalises the nerve of a group. In particular this can be applied to the internal hom functor $\text{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ to give a simplicial set $N(\text{CRS}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$ and so turn the category Crs into a simplicially enriched category. This allows the full force of the methods of homotopy coherence to be used [16].

The *classifying space* $B(\mathcal{C})$ of a crossed complex \mathcal{C} is simply the geometric realisation $|N(\mathcal{C})|$ of the nerve of \mathcal{C} . This construction generalises at the same time: the classifying space of a group; an Eilenberg - Mac Lane space $K(G, n)$, $n \geq 2$; and the classifying space for local coefficients.

This construction also includes the notion of classifying space $B(\mathcal{M})$ of a crossed module $\mathcal{M} = (\mu : M \rightarrow P)$. Every connected CW -space has the homotopy 2-type of such a space, and so crossed modules classify all connected homotopy 2-types. This is one way in which crossed modules are naturally seen as 2-dimensional analogues of groups.

3 A Generalised Van Kampen Theorem

This theorem, proved by Brown and Higgins in [6], states roughly that the functor $\Pi : \text{FTop} \rightarrow \text{Crs}$ preserves certain colimits. This allows the calculation of certain crossed complexes, and in particular to see how free crossed complexes arise from CW -complexes. In [6] the overall assumption was made that filtered spaces were J_0 , meaning that each loop in X_0 is contractible in X_1 . We now find it clearer to put this assumption as part of the definition of connected filtration.

Definition 3.1 A filtered space X_* is called *connected* if the following conditions J_0 and $\varphi(X, m)$ hold for each $m \geq 0$:

- (i) J_0 : each loop in X_0 is contractible in X_1 ;
- (ii) $\varphi(X, 0)$: if $j > 0$, the map $\pi_0 X_0 \rightarrow \pi_0 X_j$, induced by inclusion, is surjective;

(iii) $\varphi(X, m)$, ($m \geq 1$) : if $j > m$ and $p \in X_0$, then the map

$$\pi_m(X_m, X_{m-1}, p) \rightarrow \pi_m(X_j, X_{m-1}, p)$$

induced by inclusion, is surjective. \square

The following result gives another useful formulation of this condition. We omit the proof.

Proposition 3.2 *A filtered space X is connected if and only if*

- *it is J_0 ;*
- *for all $n > 0$, the induced map $\pi_0 X_0 \rightarrow \pi_0 X_n$ is surjective; and*
- *for all $r > n > 0$ and $p \in X_0$, $\pi_n(X_r, X_n, p) = 0$.*

The filtration of a CW -complex by skeleta is a standard example of a connected filtered space.

Suppose for the rest of this section that X_* is a filtered space. Let $X = X_\infty$.

We suppose given a cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of X such that the interiors of the sets of \mathcal{U} cover X . For each $\zeta \in \Lambda^n$ we set

$$U^\zeta = U^{\zeta_1} \cap \dots \cap U^{\zeta_n}, \quad U_i^\zeta = U^\zeta \cap X_i.$$

Then $U_0^\zeta \subseteq U_1^\zeta \subseteq \dots$ is called the *induced filtration* U_*^ζ of U^ζ . Consider the following Π -*diagram* of the cover:

$$\bigsqcup_{\zeta \in \Lambda^2} \Pi U_*^\zeta \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{b} \end{array} \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Pi U_*^\lambda \xrightarrow{c} \Pi X_* \quad (5)$$

Here \bigsqcup denotes disjoint union (which is the same as coproduct in the category of crossed complexes); a, b are determined by the inclusions $a_\zeta : U^\lambda \cap U^\mu \rightarrow U^\lambda$, $b_\zeta : U^\lambda \cap U^\mu \rightarrow U^\mu$ for each $\zeta = (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda^2$; and c is determined by the inclusions $c_\lambda : U^\lambda \rightarrow X$.

The following result constitutes a generalisation of the Van Kampen Theorem for the fundamental groupoid on a set of base points.

Theorem 3.3 (The coequaliser theorem for crossed complexes: Brown and Higgins [6])

Suppose that for every finite intersection U^ζ of elements of \mathcal{U} the induced filtration U_^ζ is connected. Then*

(C) X_* is connected, and

(I) in the above Π -diagram of the cover, c is the coequaliser of a, b in \mathbf{Crs} .

The proof of this theorem uses the category of cubical ω -groupoids with connections [5], since it is this category which is adequate for two key elements of the proof, the notion of ‘algebraic inverse to subdivision’, and the ‘multiple compositions of homotopy addition lemmas’ [6].

In this paper we shall take as a corollary that the coequaliser theorem applies to the case when X is a CW -complex with skeletal filtration and the U^λ form a family of subcomplexes which cover X .

In order to apply this result to free crossed resolutions, we need to replace free crossed resolutions by CW -complexes. A fundamental result for this is the following, which goes back to Whitehead [35] and Wall [32], and which is discussed further by Baues in [1, Chapter VI, §7]:

Theorem 3.4 *Let X_* be a CW -filtered space, and let $f : \Pi X_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a homotopy equivalence to a free crossed complex with a preferred free basis. Then there is a CW -filtered space Y_* , and an isomorphism $\Pi Y_* \cong \mathcal{C}$ of crossed complexes with preferred basis, such that f is realised by a homotopy equivalence $X_* \rightarrow Y_*$.*

In fact, as pointed out by Baues, Wall states his result in terms of chain complexes, but the crossed complex formulation seems more natural, and avoids questions of realisability in dimension 2, which are unsolved for chain complexes.

Corollary 3.5 *If \mathcal{A} is a free crossed resolution of a group A , then \mathcal{A} is realised as free crossed complex with preferred basis by some CW -filtered space Y_* .*

Proof We only have to note that the group A has a classifying CW -space $B(A)$ whose fundamental crossed complex $\Pi B(A)$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathcal{A} . \square

Baues also points out in [1, p.657] an extension of these results which we can apply to the realisation of morphisms of free crossed resolutions.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $X = K(G, 1)$, $Y = K(H, 1)$ be CW -models of Eilenberg - Mac Lane spaces and let $h : \Pi X_* \rightarrow \Pi Y_*$ be a morphism of their fundamental crossed complexes with the preferred bases given by skeletal filtrations. Then $h = \Pi g$ for some cellular $g : X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof Certainly h is homotopic to Πf for some $f : X \rightarrow Y$ since the set of pointed homotopy classes $X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective with the morphisms of groups $G \rightarrow H$. The result follows from [1, p.657, (**)] (‘if f is Π -realisable, then each element in the homotopy class of f is Π -realisable’). \square

Note that from the computational point of view we will start with a morphism $G \rightarrow H$ of groups and then lift that to a morphism of free crossed resolutions. It is important for our methods that such a morphism is exactly realised by a cellular map of the cellular models of these resolutions. Thus these results now give a strategy of weaving between spaces and crossed complexes. The key problem is to prove that a construction on free crossed resolutions yields an aspherical free crossed complex, and so also a resolution. The previous result allows us to replace the free crossed resolutions by CW -complexes. We can also replace morphisms of free crossed resolutions by cellular maps. We have

a result of Whitehead [33] which allows us to build up $K(G, 1)$ s as pushouts of other $K(A, 1)$ s, provided the induced morphisms of fundamental groups are injective. The Coequaliser Theorem now gives that the resulting fundamental crossed complex is exactly the one we want. More precise details are given in the last section.

Note also an important feature of this method: *we use colimits rather than exact sequences*. This enables precise results in situations where exact sequences might be inadequate, since they often give information only up to extension.

The relation of crossed complex methods to the more usual chain complexes with operators is studied in [9], developing work of Whitehead [34].

4 Free products with amalgamation and HNN-extensions

We illustrate the use of crossed complexes of groupoids with the construction of a free crossed resolution of a free product with amalgamation, given free crossed resolutions of the individual groups, and a similar result for HNN-extensions. These are special cases of results on graphs of groups which are given in [11, 29], but these cases nicely show the advantage of the method and in particular the necessary use of groupoids.

Suppose the group G is given as a free product with amalgamation

$$G = A *_C B,$$

which we can alternatively describe as a pushout of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{j} & B \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ A & \xrightarrow{j'} & G. \end{array}$$

We are assuming the maps i, j are injective so that, by standard results, i', j' are injective. Suppose we are given free crossed resolutions $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}(B)$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}(C)$. The morphisms i, j may then be lifted (non uniquely) to morphisms $i'' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $j'' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. However we cannot expect that the pushout of these morphisms in the category \mathbf{Crs} gives a free crossed resolution of G .

To see this, suppose that these crossed resolutions are realised by CW -filtrations $K(Q)$ for $Q \in \{A, B, C\}$, and that i'', j'' are realised by cellular maps $K(i) : K(C) \rightarrow K(A)$, $K(j) : K(C) \rightarrow K(B)$, as in Proposition 3.6. However, the pushout in topological spaces of cellular maps does not in general yield a CW -complex — for this it is required that one of the maps is an inclusion of a subcomplex, and there is no reason why this should be true in this case. The

standard construction instead is to take the double mapping cylinder $M(i, j)$ given by the *homotopy pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(C) & \xrightarrow{K(j)} & K(B) \\ K(i) \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\ K(A) & \longrightarrow & M(i, j) \end{array}$$

where $M(i, j)$ is obtained from $K(A) \sqcup (I \times K(C)) \sqcup K(B)$ by identifying $(0, x) \sim K(i)(x)$, $(1, x) \sim K(j)(x)$ for $x \in K(C)$. This ensures that $M(i, j)$ is a *CW-complex* containing $K(A)$, $K(B)$ and $\{\frac{1}{2}\} \times K(C)$ as subcomplexes and that the composite maps $K(C) \rightarrow M(i, j)$ given by the two ways round the square are homotopic cellular maps.

It follows that the appropriate construction for crossed complexes is obtained by applying Π to this homotopy pushout: this yields a homotopy pushout in *Crs*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{j''} & \mathcal{B} \\ i'' \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(i, j) \end{array}$$

Since $M(i, j)$ is aspherical we know that $\mathcal{F}(i, j)$ is aspherical and so is a free crossed resolution. Of course $\mathcal{F}(i, j)$ has two vertices $0, 1$. Thus it is not a free crossed resolution of G but is a *free crossed resolution of the homotopy pushout* in the category *Gpd*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{j} & B \\ i \downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & G(i, j) \end{array}$$

which is obtained from the disjoint union of the groupoids A , B , $\mathcal{I} \times C$ by adding the relations $(0, c) \sim i(c)$, $(1, c) \sim j(c)$ for $c \in C$. The groupoid $G(i, j)$ has two objects $0, 1$ and each of its object groups is isomorphic to the amalgamated product group G , but we need to keep its two object groups distinct. This idea of forming a fundamental groupoid is due to Higgins in the case of a graph of groups [20], where it is shown that it leads to convenient normal forms for elements of this fundamental groupoid. This view is pursued in [29], from which this section is largely taken.

The two crossed complexes of groups $\mathcal{F}(i, j)(0)$, $\mathcal{F}(i, j)(1)$, which are the parts of $\mathcal{F}(i, j)$ lying over $0, 1$ respectively, are free crossed resolutions of the groups $G(i, j)(0)$, $G(i, j)(1)$. From the formulae for the tensor product of crossed complexes we can identify free generators for $\mathcal{F}(i, j)$: in dimension n we get

- free generators a_n at 0 where a_n runs through the free generators of A_n ;
- free generators b_n at 1 where b_n runs through the free generators of B_n ;
- free generators $\iota \otimes c_{n-1}$ at 1 where c_{n-1} runs through the free generators of C_{n-1} .

Example 4.1 Let A, B, C be infinite cyclic groups, written multiplicatively. The trefoil group T given in section 1 can be presented as a free product with amalgamation $A *_C B$ where the morphisms $C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B$ have cokernels of orders 3 and 2 respectively. The resulting homotopy pushout we call the *trefoil groupoid*. We immediately get a free crossed resolution of length 2 for the trefoil groupoid, whence we can by a retraction argument deduce the free crossed resolution $\mathcal{F}(T)$ of the trefoil group T stated in Example 1.1.

More elaborate examples and discussion are given in [11, 29].

Now we consider HNN-extensions. Let A, B be subgroups of a group G and let $k : A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism. Then we can form a pushout of groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0, 1\} \times A & \xrightarrow{(k_0, k_1)} & G \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\ \mathcal{I} \times A & \xrightarrow{f} & *_k G \end{array} \quad (6)$$

where

$$k_0(0, a) = ka, \quad k_1(1, a) = a, \quad \text{and } i \text{ is the inclusion.}$$

In this case of course $*_k G$ is a group, known as the HNN-extension.

It can also be described as the factor group

$$(Z * G) / \{z^{-1}a^{-1}z(ka) \mid a \in A\}$$

of the free product, where Z is the infinite cyclic group generated by z .

Now suppose we have chosen free crossed resolutions $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G}$ of A, B, G respectively. Then we may lift k to a crossed complex morphism $k'' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and k_0, k_1 to

$$k''_0, k''_1 : \{0, 1\} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} .$$

Next we form the pushout in the category of crossed complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0, 1\} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{(k''_0, k''_1)} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow i'' & & \downarrow j'' \\ \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f''} & \otimes_{k''} \mathcal{G} \end{array} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 4.2 *The crossed complex $\otimes_{k''} \mathcal{G}$ is a free crossed resolution of the group $*_k G$.*

The proof will be given in [11] as a special case of a theorem on the resolutions of the fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups. Here we show that Theorem 4.2 gives a means of calculation. Part of the reason for this is that we do not need to know in detail the definition of free crossed resolution and of tensor products, we just need free generators, boundary maps, values of morphisms on free generators, and how to calculate in the tensor product with \mathcal{I} using the rules given previously.

Example 4.3 The Klein Bottle group K has a presentation

$$\text{gp}\langle a, z \mid z^{-1}a^{-1}za^{-1} \rangle.$$

Thus $K = *_k A$ where $A = \langle a \rangle$ is infinite cyclic and $ka = a^{-1}$. This yields a free crossed resolution

$$\mathcal{K} : \cdots \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow C(r) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F\{a, z\} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} K$$

where $\phi_2 r = z^{-1}a^{-1}za^{-1}$. Of course this was already known since K is a surface group, and also a one relator group whose relator is not a proper power, and so is aspherical. \square

Example 4.4 Developing the previous example, let

$$L = \text{gp}\langle c, z \mid c^p, z^{-1}c^{-1}zc^{-1} \rangle.$$

Then $L = *_k C_p$ where C_p is the cyclic group of order p generated by c and $k : C_p \rightarrow C_p$ is the isomorphism $c \mapsto c^{-1}$. A small free crossed resolution of C_p is given in [14] as

$$\mathcal{C}_p : \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[C_p] \xrightarrow{\chi_n} \mathbb{Z}[C_p] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[C_p] \xrightarrow{\chi_2} A \xrightarrow{\chi_1} C_p$$

with a free generator a of A in dimension 1; with $\chi_1 a = c$; free generators c_n in dimension $n \geq 2$; and

$$\chi_n c_n = \begin{cases} a^p & \text{if } n = 2, \\ c_{n-1}(1 - c) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ c_{n-1}(1 + c + c^2 + \cdots + c^{p-1}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The isomorphism k lifts to a morphism $k'' : \mathcal{C}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$ which is also inversion in each dimension. Hence L has a free crossed resolution

$$\mathcal{L} = (L_-, \lambda_-) = \otimes_{k''} \mathcal{C}_p.$$

This has free generators a, z in dimension 1; generators $c_2, z \otimes a$ in dimension 2; and generators $c_n, z \otimes c_{n-1}$ in dimension $n \geq 3$. The extra boundary rules are

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2(z \otimes a) &= z^{-1} a^{-1} z a^{-1}, \\ \lambda_3(z \otimes c_2) &= (z \otimes a^p)^{-1} c_2^{-1} (c_2^{-1})^z, \\ \lambda_{n+1}(z \otimes c_n) &= -(z \otimes \chi_n c_n) - c_n - c_n^z \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the identities among relations for this presentation of L are generated by

$$c_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3(z \otimes c_2) = (z \otimes \chi_2 c_2)^{-1} c_2^{-1} (c_2^{-1})^z.$$

Similarly, relations for the module of identities are generated by

$$c_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_4(z \otimes c_3) = -(z \otimes c_2(1 - c)) - c_3 - c_3^z.$$

Of course we can expand expressions such as $(z \otimes \chi_n c_n)$ using the rules for the cylinder given in Example 2.4. Further examples are developed in [29]. \square

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the following grants. Four INTAS grants: 00-566 ‘Algebraic K-theory, Groups and Algebraic Homotopy Theory’, 99-01222 ‘Involutive systems of differential and algebraic equations’, 97-31961 ‘Algebraic Homotopy, Galois Theory and Descent’, 93-436 ‘Algebraic K-theory, groups and categories’; ARC grant 965 (with Bielefeld) ‘Global actions and algebraic homotopy’; and EPSRC studentship 97003346 for E.J. Moore.

References

- [1] BAUES, H.J., *Algebraic homotopy*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 15, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] BAUES, H.J. AND BROWN, R., ‘On the relative homotopy groups of the product filtration and a formula of Hopf’, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 89 (1993) 49-61.
- [3] BLAKERS, A.L., ‘Relations between homology and homotopy groups’, *Annals of Math.* 49 (1948) 428-461.
- [4] BROWN, R., BULLEJOS, M. AND PORTER, T., ‘Crossed complexes, free crossed resolutions and graph products of groups’, (submitted).
- [5] BROWN, R. AND HIGGINS, P.J., ‘The algebra of cubes’, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 21 (1981) 233-260.

- [6] BROWN, R. AND HIGGINS, P.J., ‘Colimit theorems for relative homotopy groups’, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 22 (1981) 11-41.
- [7] BROWN, R. AND HIGGINS, P.J., ‘Tensor products and homotopies for ω -groupoids and crossed complexes’, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 47 (1987) 1-33.
- [8] BROWN, R. AND HIGGINS, P.J., ‘The classifying space of a crossed complex’, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 110 (1991) 95-120.
- [9] BROWN, R. AND HIGGINS, P.J., ‘Crossed complexes and chain complexes with operators’, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 107 (1990) 33-57.
- [10] BROWN, R. AND HUEBSCHMANN, J., ‘Identities among relations’, in *Low-dimensional topology*, ed. R.Brown and T.L.Thickstun, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982) 153-202.
- [11] BROWN, R., MOORE, E.J. AND WENSLEY, C.D., ‘Free crossed resolutions for the fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups’ (in preparation).
- [12] BROWN, R. AND PORTER, T., ‘On the Schreier theory of non-abelian extensions: generalisations and computations’, *Proc. Royal Irish Academy* 96 (1996) 213-227.
- [13] BROWN, R. AND RAZAK SALLEH, A., ‘Free crossed resolutions of groups and presentations of modules of identities among relations’, *LMS J. Comput. Math.* 2 (1999) 28-61.
- [14] BROWN, R. AND WENSLEY, C.D., ‘Finite induced crossed modules and the homotopy 2-type of mapping cones’, *Theory and Applications of Categories* 1 (1995) 51-74.
- [15] BROWN, R. AND WENSLEY, C.D., ‘Computing crossed modules induced by an inclusion of a normal subgroup, with applications to homotopy 2-types’, *Theory and Applications of Categories* 2 (1996) 3-16.
- [16] CORDIER, J.-M. AND PORTER, T., ‘Homotopy coherent category theory’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997) 1–54.
- [17] ELLIS, G.J. AND KHOLODNA, I., ‘Three-dimensional presentations for the groups of order at most 30’, *LMS J. Comput. Math.* 2 (1999) 93-117.
- [18] FROHLICH, A., ‘Non abelian homological algebra. I. Derived functors and satellites’, *Proc. London Math Soc.* (3) 11 (1961) 239-275.
- [19] HIGGINS, P. J., ‘Presentations of groupoids, with applications to groups’. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 60 (1964) 7-20.
- [20] HIGGINS, P. J., ‘The fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 13 (1976) 145-149.

- [21] HOG-ANGELONI, C., METZLER, W. AND SIERADSKI, A.J. (Editors), *Two dimensional homotopy and combinatorial group theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 197, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [22] HOLT, D.F., ‘An interpretation of the cohomology groups $H^n(G, M)$ ’, *J. Algebra* 60 (1979) 307-320.
- [23] HOLZ, S., *Endliche identifizierbarkeit von gruppen*, PhD thesis, Universität Bielefeld (1985).
- [24] HUEBSCHMANN, J., ‘Crossed n -fold extensions and cohomology’, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 55 (1980) 302-314.
- [25] KAMPS, H. AND PORTER, T., *Abstract Homotopy and Simple Homotopy Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1996).
- [26] LODAY, J.-L., ‘Homotopical syzygies’, in *Une dégustation topologique [Topological morsels]: homotopy theory in the Swiss Alps (Arolla, 1999)*, 99-127, Contemp. Math. 265, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [27] LUE, ABRAHAM S.-T., ‘Cohomology of algebras relative to a variety’, *Math. Z.* 121 (1971) 220-232.
- [28] LUE, ABRAHAM S.-T., ‘Cohomology of groups relative to a variety’, *J. Algebra* 69 (1981) 155-174.
- [29] MOORE, E.J., *Graphs of Groups: Word Computations and Free Crossed Resolutions*, PhD Thesis, University of Wales, Bangor (2001), available from <http://www.informatics.bangor.ac.uk/public/math/research/ftp/theses/moore.ps.gz> .
- [30] TONKS, A., *Theory and applications of crossed complexes*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales, Bangor (1994), available from <http://www.informatics.bangor.ac.uk/public/math/research/ftp/theses/tonks.ps.gz> .
- [31] TURING, A., ‘The extensions of a group’, *Compositio Mathematica* 5 (1938) 357-367.
- [32] WALL, C.T.C., ‘Finiteness conditions for CW -complexes II’, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A* 295 (1966) 149-166.
- [33] WHITEHEAD, J.H.C., ‘On the asphericity of regions in a 3-sphere’, *Fund. Math.* 32 (1939) 149-166.
- [34] WHITEHEAD, J.H.C., ‘Combinatorial homotopy II’, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949) 453-496.
- [35] WHITEHEAD, J.H.C., ‘Simple homotopy types’, *Amer. J. Math.* 72 (1950) 1-57.

Ronnie Brown, Emma Moore, Tim Porter, Chris Wensley
Mathematics Division,
School of Informatics,
University of Wales,
Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 1UT,
U.K.

emails: {r.brown,t.porter,c.d.wensley}@bangor.ac.uk, emmajmoore@yahoo.co.uk.