

Addendum

to

"In search of new "Homology" functors having a close relationship to K-theory"

by

R. Keith Dennis

I. For G any group, following Loday (Les matrices monomiales et le groupe de Whitehead Wh_2 , Lecture Notes in Math. 551, pp. 155-163), define $M_n(G)$ ($\approx G^n \rtimes S_n = G \wr S_n$) to be the $n \times n$ monomial matrices with entries in G and $M(G) = \varinjlim M_n(G)$. The subgroup consisting of elements whose associated permutation is alternating and the product of whose elements lies in the commutator subgroup of G , $[G, G]$, is the commutator subgroup of $M(G)$. This subgroup is perfect and will be denoted $E(G)$. Similarly $[M_n(G), M_n(G)] = E_n(G)$ can be so described and is perfect for $n \geq 5$. There then exist spaces $B_{M(G)}$ and $B_{M(G)}^+$ (+ with respect to $E(G)$). For any group G there are canonical maps to and from the trivial group. Hence $\pi_i(B_{M(G)}^+)$ is canonically the direct sum of two groups $\pi_i(B_{M(\mathbb{1})}^+)$ (= the stable homotopy groups of spheres) and a second group which we denote $\bar{H}_i(G)$. It is clear that $\bar{H}_1(G) = H_1(M(G)) = H_1(G)$ and that $\bar{H}_2(G) = H_2(E(G))$. If $S(G)$ denotes the universal central extension of $E(G)$, then also $\bar{H}_3(G) = H_3(S(G))$. A computation analogous to that showing that K_2 of a field is generated by symbols (in fact, only that part dealing with the "Weyl group" W ;

See J. Milnor, Introduction to Algebraic K-theory, Annals of Math. Studies No. 72, pp. 76-78) shows that

$$\bar{H}_2(G) \approx \tilde{H}_2(G) \quad (\text{the } \tilde{H}_2 \text{ defined in the paper}).$$

In fact, $H_2 E_n(G) \approx H_2 E(G)$ for all $n \geq 5$.

Further as in §3, $S(G) = (E(G), E(G))$,

$(M(G), M(G)) \approx (E(G), E(G)) \times (M(G)^{ab}, M(G)^{ab})$ (note that $M(G)^{ab} \approx G^{ab}$) and hence there is a canonical split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2 E(G) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2 M(G) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2 M(G)^{ab} \rightarrow 1.$$

Further one can show that the functors $\bar{H}_i(G)$ have the properties:

- 1) There is a homomorphism $\bar{H}_i(G) \rightarrow H_i(G)$ (only known to be surjective for $i \leq 2$);
- 2) Given a ring R there are maps $\bar{H}_i(R^*) \rightarrow K_i(R)$;
- 3) For G abelian, $\bar{H}_*(G)$ has a multiplicative structure and the map $\bar{H}_*(R^*) \rightarrow K_*(R)$ is a ring homomorphism for R a commutative ring.

These 3 remarks are immediate from results of Loday appearing in the paper mentioned above and in "K-théorie algébrique et représentations de groupes", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 9 (1976), 309-377.

It is not yet clear that this is the "correct" sequence of functors sought in the original note.

II. The following computations are a variation on those of Loday which he showed me on Feb. 18, 1977. We will prove that relation (3) is a consequence of relations (1) and (2). In fact, we will prove a

somehow stronger statement. Recall the relations

- (1) $\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle$
- (2) $\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \langle x, z \rangle^{-1}$ (2') $\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle^{-1} \langle x, z \rangle$
- (3) $\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \langle z, x \rangle \langle x, [y, z] \rangle^{-1}$

Let (3*) denote the "more natural" relation

$$(3^*) \quad \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle^{-1} \langle x, [y, z] \rangle^{-1}$$

We will now show that (3*) is a consequence of (2) and (2'). In particular, it follows that (3) is a consequence of (1) and (2).

First $\langle y \cdot y^{-1} x y, z \rangle \equiv \langle y^{-1} x y, z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle$ by (2)

(*) or $\langle x y, z \rangle \equiv \langle x, y z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle$

Thus $\langle z^{-1} z, x \rangle \equiv \langle z^{-1}, z x \rangle \langle z, x \rangle$ by (*)

$1 = \langle 1, x \rangle \equiv \langle z^{-1}, z x \rangle \langle z, x \rangle$ by (2)

(**) $1 \equiv \langle z^{-1}, z x \rangle \langle z, x \rangle$ as above by (2)

and $1 \equiv \langle x, z \rangle \langle z x, z^{-1} \rangle$ similarly by (2')

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle &\equiv \langle x y, z \rangle \langle x, z \rangle^{-1} && \text{by (2)} \\ &\equiv \langle x, y z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \langle x, z \rangle^{-1} && \text{by (*)} \\ &\equiv \langle x, y z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \langle z x, z^{-1} \rangle && \text{by (**)} \\ &\equiv \langle x, y z \rangle \langle [y, z] z x, [y, z] z^{-1} \rangle \langle y, z \rangle && \text{by (6) which follows} \\ &\quad \star && \text{from (2) and (2')} \\ &= \langle x, y z \rangle \langle y z x, y z z^{-1} \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Next applying (2') as in the derivation of (*) yields

$$\langle x, y z \rangle \equiv \langle x, z \rangle \langle z x, y \rangle \quad \text{by (2')}$$

which implies

$$\langle \sigma, \alpha \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma, \beta \rangle^{-1} \langle \sigma, \alpha \beta \rangle$$

Taking $\alpha = y z z^{-1}$, $\beta = y z$, $\sigma = x$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y z x, y z z^{-1} \rangle &\equiv \langle x, y z \rangle^{-1} \langle x, y z z^{-1} \cdot y z \rangle \\ &\equiv \langle x, y z \rangle^{-1} \langle x, [y, z] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus continuing our computation from point \star above

$$\langle x_y, x_z \rangle \equiv \langle x, yz \rangle \langle x, yz \rangle^{-1} \langle x, [y, z] \rangle \langle y, z \rangle$$

or $\langle x_y, x_z \rangle \equiv \langle x, [y, z] \rangle \langle y, z \rangle$ which is (3^*) .

III. Let G be a perfect group. Then we know $\hat{H}_2 G = H_2 G$ and hence relation (4) $\langle x, x \rangle$ is a consequence of (1)–(3) [and hence of (1)–(2) in view of remark II above].

In fact, the relations (2) and (2') suffice to define the universal cover (G, \hat{G}) of G . This is easy to see from universal properties as the extension of G defined using (2) and (2') is already known to be central [see equation (6), p. 7, of the original note].

More precisely, define for any group G , $G \otimes G$ to be the free group on the pairs $G \times G$ modulo the relations (2) and (2'). If G is abelian, this really is $G \otimes G$! In general, $G \otimes G$ is not abelian, of course.

Denote the images of the pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$ in $G \otimes G$ by $x \otimes y$.

For $x, y \in G$, let $\{x, y\} = x \otimes y \cdot y \otimes x \in G \otimes G$. This is a central element of $G \otimes G$ by equation (6).

Thus $\{x, y\} = \{y, x\}$ (conjugate $\{x, y\}$ by $x \otimes y$).

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, yz\} &= x \otimes yz \cdot yz \otimes x \\ &= x \otimes y \cdot y(x \otimes z) \cdot y(z \otimes x) \cdot y \otimes x \\ &= x \otimes y \cdot y \{x, z\} \cdot y \otimes x \\ &= \{x, y\} \cdot y \{x, z\} \quad (\text{by centrality}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\{xy, z\} = x \{y, z\} \{x, z\}$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, [y, z]\} &= x \otimes [y, z] \cdot [y, z] \otimes x \\ &= x(y \otimes z) \cdot (y \otimes z)^{-1} \cdot (z \otimes y)^{-1} \cdot x(z \otimes y) \end{aligned}$$

$$= x(y \otimes z) \{z, y\}^{-1} x(z \otimes y)$$

$$= x \{y, z\} \{x, z\}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{If } x^2 = 1, \text{ then } \{x, x\} &= x \otimes x \cdot x \otimes x \\
 &= x(x \otimes x) \cdot x \otimes x \\
 &= x^2 \otimes x \\
 &= 1 \otimes x = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Via (6) we have $z\{x, y\} = \{x, y\}z \quad \forall z \in [G, G]$.

Thus these elements $\{x, y\}$ satisfy

M0. They commute.

$$M1. \{x, y\} = \{y, x\}$$

$$M2. \{xy, z\} = x\{y, z\}\{x, z\} \quad M2'. \{x, yz\} = \{x, y\}z\{x, z\}$$

$$M3. z\{x, y\} = \{x, y\}z \quad \forall z \in [G, G]$$

$$M4. \{x, x\} = 1 \quad \forall x^2 = 1$$

$$M5. \{x, [y, z]\} = x\{y, z\}\{y, z\}^{-1} \quad (\text{follows from } M1 + M2)$$

Let $L(G)$ denote the group with this presentation. There is then an exact sequence

$$L(G) \rightarrow G \otimes G \rightarrow (G, G) \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus if G is perfect, by M3, M2, M2', $\{, \}$ is bilinear in each variable. But $L(G)$ is abelian and G is perfect, hence $L(G) = 1$.

If $[G, G]$ is perfect, then arguments similar to those in R.C. Alperin and R.K. Dennis, K_2 of quaternion algebras, preprint, Corollary 1.3, p.3, show that $\{, \}$ is bilinear.

If G is abelian, then (modulo errors) the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow L(G) \rightarrow G \otimes G \rightarrow (G, G) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. Is this true for arbitrary G ?